

---

**Clinical Study Report**

Drug substance: Quetiapine

EUDRACT No.: 2004-005122-45

Edition No.: 1.1

Study code: D1441L00032

Date: 30.05.2007

---

---

**RACE: Rapid Dose Escalation of Quetiapine versus Conventional Escalation  
in the Treatment of Patients with Acute Schizophrenia –  
a Multicentre, Double-blind, Parallel group, Randomized Study**

---

Study dates:

First subject enrolled: 13<sup>th</sup> June 2005

Last subject enrolled: 5<sup>th</sup> January 2006

Phase of development:

II

National Co-ordinating Investigator:

[REDACTED]

Sponsor's Responsible Medical  
Officer:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This study was performed in compliance with Good Clinical Practice.

## SYNOPSIS

# **RACE: Rapid Dose Escalation of Quetiapine versus Conventional Escalation in the Treatment of Patients with Acute Schizophrenia – a Multicentre, Double-blind, Parallel group, Randomized Study**

### National co-ordinating investigator

Essen

**Study centre(s)**

A total of 30 patients were randomised at 6 sites

## Publications

None at the writing of this report.

### Study dates

**First subject enrolled** 13<sup>th</sup> June 2005

### Phase of development

## Therapeutic exploratory (II)

**Last subject completed** 17<sup>th</sup> January 2006

## Objectives

### Primary objective

The primary objective was to compare the safety and tolerability of IR (Immediate-Release) quetiapine in a rapid escalation scheme with 300 mg on day 1, 600 mg on day 2 and 800 mg on day 3 to the current approved label titration with 50 mg on day 1, 100 mg on day 2, 200 mg on day 3, 300 mg on day 4, 400 mg on day 5, 600 mg on day 6 and 800 mg on day 7.

## Secondary objectives

### Safety and Tolerability

- To evaluate if the initiation with 300 mg on day 1 is safe and well tolerated in the treatment of severely ill acute schizophrenic patients.
- To evaluate if increasing the dosing up to 800 mg on day 3 is safe and has a similar tolerability to the current label titration in the treatment of severely ill acute schizophrenic patients.
- To evaluate if dosing with 800 mg from day 3 to day 7 is safe and has a similar tolerability to the current label titration in the treatment of severely ill acute schizophrenic patients.
- To evaluate if there is a comparable safety and tolerability during the 12 days of treatment.
- To evaluate if there is a comparable safety/tolerability profile between both titration schemes on EPS (measured by SAS and BARS).

### Efficacy

- To evaluate if the faster titration provides a faster onset of efficacy

### Study design

This was a 12-days, phase II, multicentre, double-blind, randomised, parallel-group study comparing the safety and tolerability of IR (Immediate-Release) quetiapine in a rapid escalation scheme to the current label titration. The titration phase (day 1 to 7) was double-blinded.

### Target subject population and sample size

Male or female subjects, aged  $\geq 18$  to  $\leq 65$  years and hospitalized with a DSM-IV diagnosis of schizophrenia and acute agitation and psychosis were eligible for this study. Patients were severely ill, with a score  $\geq 4$  as assessed by a CGI Severity of illness.

A total of 30 patients with acute schizophrenia were enrolled into this study with 20 patients randomized to rapid escalation treatment scheme and 10 patients to the current label titration of quetiapine.

### Investigational product and comparator(s): dosage, mode of administration and batch numbers

- Quetiapine fumarate, tablets (see [Table S 1](#) for dosing and administration)
- Matching placebo, tablets

**Table S 1 Quetiapine dose regimen for Rapid Titration and Label Titration**

Group	Day 1		Day 2		Day 3		Day 4		Day 5		Day 6		Day 7	
Morning/ Evening dose	M	E	M	E	M	E	M	E	M	E	M	E	M	E
<b>Rapid Titration (mg)</b>	100	200	300	300	300	500	300	500	300	500	300	500	300	500
<b>Label Titration (mg)</b>	25	25	50	50	100	100	100	200	200	200	300	300	300	500

### Duration of treatment

Study treatment was assigned at randomisation (day 1). During the titration phase the treatment was blinded until day 7. On day 8 the patients entered the open label continuation phase and were treated with a daily dose of 800 mg quetiapine until day 12.

### Criteria for evaluation (outcome variables)

#### Primary variable:

- Proportion of patients who discontinued the study treatment due to adverse events (AEs) during the first week (day 1 to day 7) of treatment

#### Secondary variables:

#### Safety and Tolerability

- Number and type of adverse events
- Changes in vital signs and weight
- Clinically significant changes in ECG (reported as AE)
- Change of Simpson-Angus Scale (SAS) score
- Change of Barnes Akathisia Rating Scale (BARS) score

#### Efficacy

- Change of PANSS and PANSS-EC scores
- Change in CGI-Severity of Illness from baseline
- CGI-Improvement score at post-baseline visits
- Proportion of patients with a CGI-S score of 3 or less at day 12
- Proportion of patients with a CGI-I score of 1 or 2 at day 12
- Number of withdrawals due to lack of efficacy
- Number of patients who need an additional antipsychotic medication (except benzodiazepines)

#### Safety

Safety evaluation determined by adverse events, laboratory data and physical examination.

#### Statistical methods

The study was exploratory and was not powered to address any pre-defined hypothesis. No formal statistical testing was done and focus was instead on descriptive statistics.

All data collected in the study were appropriately summarized for each treatment group using tabulations, graphs and summary statistics.

The difference between treatment groups with respect to efficacy rating scales were estimated using Analysis of Covariance with the baseline score and treatment group assignment as covariates/factors, supported by appropriate 95% confidence intervals.

To evaluate the assumption that a faster titration provides a faster onset of efficacy, a response criterion was defined before the blind was broken. The time to response was analysed by means of Kaplan-Meier methods.

In case of dropouts the last available post-baseline observation was carried forward.

Safety data are presented by frequency tables together with appropriate 95% confidence.

## Subject population

Table S 2 shows the relevant data describing the study population.

**Table S 2 Subject population and disposition**

		Rapid titration		Label titration		Total	
<b>Population</b>							
N randomised (N planned)		20	(20)	10	(10)	30	(30)
<b>Demographic characteristics</b>							
Sex (n and % of subjects)							
Age (years)	Mean (SD)	33.7 (10.8)		29.8 (14.9)		32.4 (12.2)	
Race (n and % of subjects)	Caucasian	19 (95.0%)		9 (90.0%)		28 (93.3%)	
<b>Baseline characteristics</b>							
Height (cm)	Mean (SD)	175.6 (8.6)		176.4 (8.4)		175.9 (8.4)	
Weight (kg)	Mean (SD)	79.0 (19.2)		75.9 (20.8)		77.9 (19.5)	
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	Mean (SD)	26.0 (6.1)		24.3 (5.6)		25.4 (5.9)	
<b>Disposition</b>							
N (%) of subjects who	Completed	14	(70%)	8	(80%)	22	(73.3%)
	Discontinued	6	30%	2	(20%)	8	(26.7%)
N analysed for safety <sup>a</sup>		20		10		30	
N analysed for efficacy (ITT)		20		10		30	

<sup>a</sup> Number of subjects who took at least 1 dose of study treatment and had at least 1 data point after dosing  
ITT=Intention to treat; N=Number

Regarding comparability of the treatment groups, the analyses of demographic and baseline characteristics and compliance to treatment regimen showed no remarkable differences between the two groups. Overall, remarkably more men were included than women

In the rapid titration group most patients who withdrew were not willing to continue with the study (20%), while two were withdrawn due to adverse events (10%). In the label titration group one of the discontinuing patients (10%), the other was withdrawn due to an adverse event (10%).

## **Efficacy and pharmacokinetic results**

Most results of the efficacy variables (CGI-I, CGI-S, PANSS total score, PANSS-EC at day 12) indicate that rapid titration of quetiapine provides a faster onset of efficacy and a more pronounced effect at the final visit compared to label titration. In contrast, change in PANSS-EC score from baseline was larger in the label titration group at day 2, 4, and 8, indicating a faster onset of efficacy regarding this variable. However, exploratory statistical analyses of differences between treatment groups resulted in p-values > 0.05 for all measured efficacy parameters.

[Table S 3](#) shows the mean values of the efficacy variables over time and mean differences between baseline and final visit.

**Table S 3 Efficacy parameters (CGI-I, CGI-S, PANSS-EC, PANSS)**

		<b>Rapid titration (n = 18)</b>	<b>Label titration (n = 10)</b>
<b>CGI-I</b>			
Day 2	Mean (SD)	3.6 (0.9)	3.5 (0.7)
Day 4	Mean (SD)	3.1 (1.0)	3.3 (0.5)
Day 8	Mean (SD)	2.9 (1.2)	3.0 (0.7)
Day 12	Mean (SD)	2.4 (0.9)	3.1 (1.4)
<b>CGI-S</b>			
Day 2	Mean (SD)	5.2 (0.7)	5.4 (0.7)
Day 4	Mean (SD)	4.8 (0.9)	5.4 (0.7)
Day 8	Mean (SD)	4.7 (0.9)	4.9 (0.9)
Day 12	Mean (SD)	4.2 (0.9)	4.7 (1.2)
Difference between baseline and day 12	Mean (SD)	-1.0 (0.8)	-0.8 (1.1)
<b>PANSS-EC</b>			
Day 1	Mean (SD)	18.4 (3.8)	18.1 (2.7)
Day 2	Mean (SD)	17.9 (5.3)	16.3 (3.1)
Day 4	Mean (SD)	16.1 (5.0)	13.7 (4.0)
Day 8	Mean (SD)	13.4 (3.2)	11.1 (5.3)
Day 12	Mean (SD)	12.7 (4.4)	13.0 (6.8)
Difference between baseline and day 12	Mean (SD)	-5.9 (4.3)	-5.4 (6.6)
<b>PANSS total score</b>			
Day 12	Mean (SD)	82.8 (19.6)	91.9 (24.5)
Difference between baseline and day 12	Mean (SD)	-26.9 (21.1)	-19.6 (25.3)

### Safety results

Discontinuation rate due to AEs during the first week, the primary safety variable, was 5% (n = 1) in the rapid titration and 0% in the label titration group. 95% CI intervals were 5.00 to 24.87 for the rapid titration group and 0 to 30.85 for the label titration group respectively (p = 1.0).

Table S 4 and Table S 5 show number and type of AEs per treatment group.



**Table S 4**      **Number (%) of subjects who had at least 1 adverse event in any category, and total numbers of adverse events (safety analysis set)**

Category of adverse event	Number (%) of subjects who had an adverse event in each category <sup>a</sup>	
	Rapid titration (n=20)	Label titration (n=10)
Any adverse events	<u>19 (95%)</u>	<u>7 (70%)</u>
Serious adverse events	<u>0</u>	<u>1 (10%)</u>
Serious adverse events leading to death	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Serious adverse events not leading to death	<u>0</u>	<u>1 (10%)</u>
Discontinuations of study treatment due to adverse events	<u>2 (10%)</u>	<u>1 (10%)</u>
Other significant adverse event	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Total number of adverse events		
Any adverse events	<u>59</u>	<u>12</u>
Serious adverse events	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>

a      Subjects with multiple events in the same category are counted only once in that category. Subjects with events in more than 1 category are counted once in each of those categories.

**Table S 5**      **Number (%) of subjects with the most commonly reported<sup>a</sup> adverse events, sorted by decreasing order of frequency as summarised over all treatment groups (safety analysis set)**

Preferred term	Rapid titration (n=20)		Label titration (n=10)	
	n	(%)	n	(%)
Somnolence	6	(30)	2	(20)
Fatigue	5	(25)	0	(0)
Dizziness	4	(20)	0	(0)
Headache	2	(10)	1	(10)
Dry mouth	2	(10)	1	(10)
Insomnia	2	(10)	1	(10)
Anxiety	2	(10)	0	(0)
Weight increased	2	(10)	0	(0)
Pharyngolaryngeal pain	2	(10)	0	(0)
Toothache	1	(5)	1	(10)

Preferred term	Rapid titration (n=20)		Label titration (n=10)	
	n	(%)	n	(%)
Restlessness	1	(5)	1	(10)
Sinus tachycardia	1	(5)	1	(10)
Akathisia	1	(5)	0	(0)
Dizziness postural	1	(5)	0	(0)
Dysarthria	1	(5)	0	(0)
Abdominal discomfort	1	(5)	0	(0)
Aphthous stomatitis	1	(5)	0	(0)
Constipation	1	(5)	0	(0)
Flatulence	1	(5)	0	(0)
Glossodynia	1	(5)	0	(0)
Nausea	1	(5)	0	(0)
Asthenia	1	(5)	0	(0)
ALAT increased	1	(5)	0	(0)
ASAT increased	1	(5)	0	(0)
Heart rate increased	1	(5)	0	(0)
Pleocytosis	1	(5)	0	(0)
Panic attack	1	(5)	0	(0)
Sleep disorder	1	(5)	0	(0)
Tachycardia	1	(5)	0	(0)
Herpes zoster infection neurological	1	(5)	0	(0)
Q fever	1	(5)	0	(0)
Rhinitis	1	(5)	0	(0)
Goitre	1	(5)	0	(0)
Accommodation disorder	1	(5)	0	(0)
Skin papilloma	1	(5)	0	(0)
Dysuria	1	(5)	0	(0)
Dysmenorrhoea	1	(5)	0	(0)
Acne	1	(5)	0	(0)
Orthostatic hypotension	1	(5)	0	(0)
Sedation	0	(0)	1	(10)

Preferred term	Rapid titration (n=20)		Label titration (n=10)	
	n	(%)	n	(%)
Contusion	0	(0)	1	(10)

<sup>a</sup> Events with a total frequency of  $\geq 5\%$  across all treatment groups are included in this table.

Overall both treatment regimens were safe and well tolerated. Most AEs were of mild to moderate intensity and resolved without further action taken within a few days. Frequency and type of AEs were comparable to the known AE-profile of quetiapine. However relatively more AEs occurred in the rapid titration group (2.95 AEs per patient) compared with the label titration group (1.2 AEs per patient).

One serious AE, which was not drug-related but led to study discontinuation, was reported in the label titration group. Two cases of study discontinuation due to AEs in the rapid titration group were drug-related.

Additionally the occurrence of EPS assessed by SAS and BARS did not change substantially between baseline and final visit in both treatment groups (see [Table S 6](#)).

**Table S 6      Neurological safety parameters (SAS, BARS)**

		Rapid titration (n = 18)	Label titration (n = 10)
<b>SAS</b>			
Baseline	Mean (SD)	0.1 (0.3)	0.7 (2.2)
Day 12	Mean (SD)	0.3 (0.6)	0.8 (1.5)
Difference between baseline and day 12	Mean (SD)	0.2 (0.5)	0.1 (0.9)
<b>BARS</b>			
Baseline	Mean (SD)	0.4 (0.9)	0.6 (0.8)
Day 12	Mean (SD)	0.4 (0.9)	0.1 (0.3)
Difference between baseline and day 12	Mean (SD)	0 (1.0)	-0.5 (0.7)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

### Date of the report

30th May 2007