

Trial record 1 of 1 for: COLO400A2426

[Previous Study](#) | [Return to List](#) | [Next Study](#)

## Liver Fibrosis in Patients Transplanted for Hepatitis C Receiving Either Cyclosporine Microemulsion or Tacrolimus

**This study has been terminated.**

*(Study was prematurely terminated due to poor recruitment.)*

**Sponsor:**

Novartis Pharmaceuticals

**Information provided by (Responsible Party):**

Novartis ( Novartis Pharmaceuticals )

**ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier:**

NCT00260208

First received: November 30, 2005

Last updated: December 2, 2011

Last verified: December 2011

[History of Changes](#)

[Full Text View](#)
[Tabular View](#)
[Study Results](#)
[Disclaimer](#)
[How to Read a Study Record](#)

Results First Received: September 14, 2011

<b>Study Type:</b>	Interventional
<b>Study Design:</b>	Allocation: Randomized; Endpoint Classification: Safety/Efficacy Study; Intervention Model: Parallel Assignment; Masking: Open Label; Primary Purpose: Prevention
<b>Conditions:</b>	Liver Transplant Hepatitis C
<b>Interventions:</b>	Drug: Cyclosporine A Drug: Tacrolimus

### Participant Flow

 [Hide Participant Flow](#)

#### Recruitment Details

Key information relevant to the recruitment process for the overall study, such as dates of the recruitment period and locations

No text entered.

#### Pre-Assignment Details

Significant events and approaches for the overall study following participant enrollment, but prior to group assignment

361 patients were randomized, 185 to the cyclosporin A arm and 176 to tacrolimus. Five patients (1 cyclosporine A, 4 tacrolimus) did not receive any dose of study medication and were therefore excluded from the safety population.

#### Reporting Groups

	Description
<b>Cyclosporin A</b>	The first administration of Cyclosporin A (CsA) was within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 10-15mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally, via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the study, it was recommended that the dose of CsA was adjusted, as necessary, to achieve and maintain the C2 or C0 blood CsA concentration within the target ranges.
<b>Tacrolimus</b>	Tacrolimus was administered within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 0.1-0.15 mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally or via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily

(b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the course of the study, the dose of tacrolimus was adjusted as necessary to achieve and maintain the C0 tacrolimus concentrations within target ranges.

### Participant Flow: Overall Study

	Cyclosporin A	Tacrolimus
<b>STARTED</b>	<b>184</b> <sup>[1]</sup>	<b>172</b>
<b>Intent to Treat (ITT) Population</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>169</b>
<b>Modified ITT</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>96</b>
<b>COMPLETED</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>138</b>
<b>NOT COMPLETED</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>34</b>
Subject withdrew consent	11	7
Lost to Follow-up	6	1
Death	12	10
Missing	18	16

<sup>[1]</sup> "Started" indicates safety population.

### Baseline Characteristics

 Hide Baseline Characteristics

#### Population Description

Explanation of how the number of participants for analysis was determined. Includes whether analysis was per protocol, intention to treat, or another method. Also provides relevant details such as imputation technique, as appropriate.

No text entered.

#### Reporting Groups

	Description
<b>Cyclosporin A</b>	The first administration of Cyclosporin A (CsA) was within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 10-15mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally, via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the study, it was recommended that the dose of CsA was adjusted, as necessary, to achieve and maintain the C2 or C0 blood CsA concentration within the target ranges.
<b>Tacrolimus</b>	Tacrolimus was administered within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 0.1-0.15 mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally or via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the course of the study, the dose of tacrolimus was adjusted as necessary to achieve and maintain the C0 tacrolimus concentrations within target ranges.
<b>Total</b>	Total of all reporting groups

#### Baseline Measures

	Cyclosporin A	Tacrolimus	Total
<b>Number of Participants</b> [units: participants]	<b>182</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>351</b>
<b>Age</b> <sup>[1]</sup> [units: years] Mean (Standard Deviation)	<b>54.4</b> (6.9)	<b>54.4</b> (7.1)	<b>54.4</b> (7.0)
<b>Age, Customized</b> [units: Participants]			
< 65 years	163	154	317
≥ 65 years	19	15	34

Gender [units: participants]			
Female	57	48	105
Male	125	121	246

- [1] Baseline measurements were based on intent-to-treat population which included all patients as randomized that were transplanted, received at least one dose of study drug and had at least one post-baseline efficacy assessment.

## Outcome Measures

 Hide All Outcome Measures

1. Primary: Number of Participants With Fibrosis Score 2 or Above [Ishak-Knodell Fibrosis Score (FS)  $\geq$  2] Within 1 Year Post-transplant [ Time Frame: 1 year post-transplant ]

Measure Type	Primary
Measure Title	Number of Participants With Fibrosis Score 2 or Above [Ishak-Knodell Fibrosis Score (FS) $\geq$ 2] Within 1 Year Post-transplant
Measure Description	Assessment of hepatic fibrosis was performed with liver biopsies at Day 1, Month 6, 12 and 24, read centrally by two independent pathologists blinded to treatment arm and time of biopsy. Ishak-Knodell score was used to stage liver disease; 0= None; 1= Portal fibrosis (some); 2= Portal fibrosis (most); 3= Bridging fibrosis (few); 4= Bridging fibrosis (many); 5 = Incomplete cirrhosis; 6 = Cirrhosis. Higher score indicates greater fibrosis. Logistic regression on the presence of IK $\geq$ 2 was applied based on central biopsy readings only.
Time Frame	1 year post-transplant
Safety Issue	No

## Population Description

Explanation of how the number of participants for analysis was determined. Includes whether analysis was per protocol, intention to treat, or another method. Also provides relevant details such as imputation technique, as appropriate.

The modified intent-to-treat population (mITT) included patients treated with study drug at least up to 30 days before Month 12 visit and a liver biopsy had to be performed at this visit. Also included were patients with an earlier biopsy that showed an Ishak-Knodell fibrosis score  $\geq$  2 and treated at least up to 30 days before that biopsy was taken.

## Reporting Groups

	Description
Cyclosporin A	The first administration of Cyclosporin A (CsA) was within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 10-15mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally, via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the study, it was recommended that the dose of CsA was adjusted, as necessary, to achieve and maintain the C2 or C0 blood CsA concentration within the target ranges.
Tacrolimus	Tacrolimus was administered within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 0.1-0.15 mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally or via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the course of the study, the dose of tacrolimus was adjusted as necessary to achieve and maintain the C0 tacrolimus concentrations within target ranges.

## Measured Values

	Cyclosporin A	Tacrolimus
Number of Participants Analyzed [units: participants]	88	77
Number of Participants With Fibrosis Score 2 or Above [Ishak-Knodell Fibrosis Score (FS) $\geq$ 2] Within 1 Year Post-transplant [units: Participants]	63	52

**No statistical analysis provided for Number of Participants With Fibrosis Score 2 or Above [Ishak-Knodell Fibrosis Score (FS)  $\geq$  2] Within 1 Year Post-transplant**

2. Secondary: Number of Participants With Combined Endpoint of Death or Graft Loss or Fibrosis Score (FS)  $\geq$  2 [ Time Frame: 1 year post-transplant ]

<b>Measure Type</b>	Secondary
<b>Measure Title</b>	Number of Participants With Combined Endpoint of Death or Graft Loss or Fibrosis Score (FS) $\geq$ 2
<b>Measure Description</b>	The number of participants with combined end point of death or graft loss or presented with a Ishak-Knodell fibrosis score (FS) $\geq$ 2 was calculated. Graft loss was considered to have occurred when allograft was presumed to be lost if a patient had liver retransplant or died. Assessment of hepatic fibrosis was performed with liver biopsies read centrally. Ishak-Knodell FS was used to stage liver disease; 0=none; 1=portal fibrosis (some); 2=portal fibrosis (most); 3=bridging fibrosis (few); 4=bridging fibrosis (many); 5=Incomplete cirrhosis; 6=cirrhosis. Higher score indicates greater fibrosis.
<b>Time Frame</b>	1 year post-transplant
<b>Safety Issue</b>	Yes

#### Population Description

**Explanation of how the number of participants for analysis was determined. Includes whether analysis was per protocol, intention to treat, or another method. Also provides relevant details such as imputation technique, as appropriate.**

Intent-to-treat (ITT) population: all patients as randomized that were transplanted, received at least one dose of study drug and had at least one post-baseline efficacy assessment.

#### Reporting Groups

	Description
<b>Cyclosporin A</b>	The first administration of Cyclosporin A (CsA) was within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 10-15mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally, via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the study, it was recommended that the dose of CsA was adjusted, as necessary, to achieve and maintain the C2 or C0 blood CsA concentration within the target ranges.
<b>Tacrolimus</b>	Tacrolimus was administered within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 0.1-0.15 mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally or via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the course of the study, the dose of tacrolimus was adjusted as necessary to achieve and maintain the C0 tacrolimus concentrations within target ranges.

#### Measured Values

	Cyclosporin A	Tacrolimus
<b>Number of Participants Analyzed</b> [units: participants]	182	169
<b>Number of Participants With Combined Endpoint of Death or Graft Loss or Fibrosis Score (FS) <math>\geq</math> 2</b> [units: Participants]	77	71

**No statistical analysis provided for Number of Participants With Combined Endpoint of Death or Graft Loss or Fibrosis Score (FS)  $\geq$  2**

3. Secondary: Number of Participants With Fibrosing Cholestatic Hepatitis [ Time Frame: 1 year post-transplantation ]

<b>Measure Type</b>	Secondary
<b>Measure Title</b>	Number of Participants With Fibrosing Cholestatic Hepatitis

<b>Measure Description</b>	Fibrosing cholestatic hepatitis (FCH) is characterized by progressive jaundice with a rapid decline in liver function leading to liver failure, most often associated with markedly elevated viral levels detected in the bloodstream (e.g. more than 20 times pre-liver transplantation levels) and in the liver tissue as well. The presence of FCH was reported based on the diagnosis given by the investigator.
<b>Time Frame</b>	1 year post-transplantation
<b>Safety Issue</b>	No

**Population Description**

**Explanation of how the number of participants for analysis was determined. Includes whether analysis was per protocol, intention to treat, or another method. Also provides relevant details such as imputation technique, as appropriate.**

Intent-to-treat population: all patients as randomized that were transplanted, received at least one dose of study drug and had at least one post-baseline efficacy assessment.

**Reporting Groups**

	<b>Description</b>
<b>Cyclosporin A</b>	The first administration of Cyclosporin A (CsA) was within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 10-15mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally, via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the study, it was recommended that the dose of CsA was adjusted, as necessary, to achieve and maintain the C2 or C0 blood CsA concentration within the target ranges.
<b>Tacrolimus</b>	Tacrolimus was administered within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 0.1-0.15 mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally or via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the course of the study, the dose of tacrolimus was adjusted as necessary to achieve and maintain the C0 tacrolimus concentrations within target ranges.

**Measured Values**

	<b>Cyclosporin A</b>	<b>Tacrolimus</b>
<b>Number of Participants Analyzed</b> [units: participants]	<b>182</b>	<b>169</b>
<b>Number of Participants With Fibrosing Cholestatic Hepatitis</b> [units: Participants]	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>

**No statistical analysis provided for Number of Participants With Fibrosing Cholestatic Hepatitis**

4. Secondary: Number of Participants With Death, Graft Loss, Death or Graft Loss, Graft Loss With Re-transplantation [ Time Frame: 1 year post-transplant ]

<b>Measure Type</b>	Secondary
<b>Measure Title</b>	Number of Participants With Death, Graft Loss, Death or Graft Loss, Graft Loss With Re-transplantation
<b>Measure Description</b>	Graft loss was considered to have occurred when allograft was presumed to be lost if a patient had a liver re-transplant or died.
<b>Time Frame</b>	1 year post-transplant
<b>Safety Issue</b>	Yes

**Population Description**

**Explanation of how the number of participants for analysis was determined. Includes whether analysis was per protocol, intention to treat, or another method. Also provides relevant details such as imputation technique, as appropriate.**

Intent-to-treat (ITT) population: all patients as randomized that were transplanted, received at least one dose of study drug and had at least one post-baseline efficacy assessment.

**Reporting Groups**

	Description
<b>Cyclosporin A</b>	The first administration of Cyclosporin A (CsA) was within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 10-15mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally, via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the study, it was recommended that the dose of CsA was adjusted, as necessary, to achieve and maintain the C2 or C0 blood CsA concentration within the target ranges.
<b>Tacrolimus</b>	Tacrolimus was administered within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 0.1-0.15 mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally or via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the course of the study, the dose of tacrolimus was adjusted as necessary to achieve and maintain the C0 tacrolimus concentrations within target ranges.

**Measured Values**

	Cyclosporin A	Tacrolimus
<b>Number of Participants Analyzed</b> [units: participants]	<b>182</b>	<b>169</b>
<b>Number of Participants With Death, Graft Loss, Death or Graft Loss, Graft Loss With Re-transplantation</b> [units: Participants]		
<b>Death</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Graft loss</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Death or Graft loss</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>Graft loss with re-transplantation</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>

No statistical analysis provided for Number of Participants With Death, Graft Loss, Death or Graft Loss, Graft Loss With Re-transplantation

5. Secondary: Number of Participants With Treated Acute Rejection, Biopsy Proven Acute Rejection (BPAR), and Sub-clinical Rejection [ Time Frame: 1 year post-transplant ]

<b>Measure Type</b>	Secondary
<b>Measure Title</b>	Number of Participants With Treated Acute Rejection, Biopsy Proven Acute Rejection (BPAR), and Sub-clinical Rejection
<b>Measure Description</b>	Treated acute rejection is defined as an acute rejection, clinically suspected, whether biopsy-proven or not, which has been treated and confirmed by the investigator according to the response to therapy. BPAR was defined as a treated acute rejection confirmed by biopsy. The local pathologist graded biopsies according to the Banff (1997) criteria. A sub-clinical rejection was defined as a rejection identified by center driven biopsy, i.e. a biopsy performed routinely at some pre-defined time points after transplantation as per center practice in the absence of any clinical signs of rejection.
<b>Time Frame</b>	1 year post-transplant
<b>Safety Issue</b>	Yes

**Population Description**

**Explanation of how the number of participants for analysis was determined. Includes whether analysis was per protocol, intention to treat, or another method. Also provides relevant details such as imputation technique, as appropriate.**

Intent-to-treat (ITT) population: all patients as randomized that were transplanted, received at least one dose of study drug and had at least one post-baseline efficacy assessment.

**Reporting Groups**

	Description
<b>Cyclosporin A</b>	The first administration of Cyclosporin A (CsA) was within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 10-15mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally, via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the study, it was recommended that the dose of CsA was adjusted, as necessary, to achieve and maintain the C2 or C0 blood CsA concentration within the

	target ranges.
<b>Tacrolimus</b>	Tacrolimus was administered within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 0.1-0.15 mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally or via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the course of the study, the dose of tacrolimus was adjusted as necessary to achieve and maintain the C0 tacrolimus concentrations within target ranges.

**Measured Values**

	<b>Cyclosporin A</b>	<b>Tacrolimus</b>
<b>Number of Participants Analyzed</b> [units: participants]	<b>182</b>	<b>169</b>
<b>Number of Participants With Treated Acute Rejection, Biopsy Proven Acute Rejection (BPAR), and Sub-clinical Rejection</b> [units: Participants]		
<b>Treated acute rejection</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>Biopsy prove acute rejection (BPAR)</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Sub-clinical rejection</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>

No statistical analysis provided for Number of Participants With Treated Acute Rejection, Biopsy Proven Acute Rejection (BPAR), and Sub-clinical Rejection

6. Secondary: Number of Participants With Combined Endpoint of Death or Graft Loss or Biopsy Proven Acute Rejection (BPAR) [ Time Frame: 1 year post-transplant ]

<b>Measure Type</b>	Secondary
<b>Measure Title</b>	Number of Participants With Combined Endpoint of Death or Graft Loss or Biopsy Proven Acute Rejection (BPAR)
<b>Measure Description</b>	BPAR was defined as a treated acute rejection confirmed by biopsy. The local pathologist graded biopsies according to the Banff (1997) criteria. Graft loss was considered to have occurred when allograft was presumed to be lost if a patient had a liver re-transplant or died.
<b>Time Frame</b>	1 year post-transplant
<b>Safety Issue</b>	Yes

**Population Description**

<b>Explanation of how the number of participants for analysis was determined. Includes whether analysis was per protocol, intention to treat, or another method. Also provides relevant details such as imputation technique, as appropriate.</b>
Intent-to-treat (ITT) population: all patients as randomized that were transplanted, received at least one dose of study drug and had at least one post-baseline efficacy assessment.

**Reporting Groups**

	<b>Description</b>
<b>Cyclosporin A</b>	The first administration of Cyclosporin A (CsA) was within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 10-15mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally, via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the study, it was recommended that the dose of CsA was adjusted, as necessary, to achieve and maintain the C2 or C0 blood CsA concentration within the target ranges.
<b>Tacrolimus</b>	Tacrolimus was administered within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 0.1-0.15 mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally or via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the course of the study, the dose of tacrolimus was adjusted as necessary to achieve and maintain the C0 tacrolimus concentrations within target ranges.

**Measured Values**

	Cyclosporin A	Tacrolimus
<b>Number of Participants Analyzed</b> [units: participants]	182	169
<b>Number of Participants With Combined Endpoint of Death or Graft Loss or Biopsy Proven Acute Rejection (BPAR)</b> [units: Participants]	45	42

No statistical analysis provided for Number of Participants With Combined Endpoint of Death or Graft Loss or Biopsy Proven Acute Rejection (BPAR)

7. Secondary: Number of Participants With Death or Re-transplantation Due to Recurrence of Hepatitis C Cirrhosis [ Time Frame: 1 year post-transplant ]

<b>Measure Type</b>	Secondary
<b>Measure Title</b>	Number of Participants With Death or Re-transplantation Due to Recurrence of Hepatitis C Cirrhosis
<b>Measure Description</b>	Cirrhosis was resulted due to the recurrence of the hepatitis C virus infection in the transplanted liver.
<b>Time Frame</b>	1 year post-transplant
<b>Safety Issue</b>	Yes

**Population Description**

Explanation of how the number of participants for analysis was determined. Includes whether analysis was per protocol, intention to treat, or another method. Also provides relevant details such as imputation technique, as appropriate.

Intent-to-treat (ITT) population: all patients as randomized that were transplanted, received at least one dose of study drug and had at least one post-baseline efficacy assessment.

**Reporting Groups**

	Description
<b>Cyclosporin A</b>	The first administration of Cyclosporin A (CsA) was within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 10-15mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally, via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the study, it was recommended that the dose of CsA was adjusted, as necessary, to achieve and maintain the C2 or C0 blood CsA concentration within the target ranges.
<b>Tacrolimus</b>	Tacrolimus was administered within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 0.1-0.15 mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally or via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the course of the study, the dose of tacrolimus was adjusted as necessary to achieve and maintain the C0 tacrolimus concentrations within target ranges.

**Measured Values**

	Cyclosporin A	Tacrolimus
<b>Number of Participants Analyzed</b> [units: participants]	182	169
<b>Number of Participants With Death or Re-transplantation Due to Recurrence of Hepatitis C Cirrhosis</b> [units: Participants]	16	17

No statistical analysis provided for Number of Participants With Death or Re-transplantation Due to Recurrence of Hepatitis C Cirrhosis

8. Secondary: Number of Participants With Fibrosis Score 2 or Above [Ishak-Knodell Fibrosis Score (FS)  $\geq 2$ ] Within 1 Year Post-transplant (Intent to Treat Population) [ Time Frame: 1 year post-transplant ]

<b>Measure Type</b>	Secondary
<b>Measure Title</b>	Number of Participants With Fibrosis Score 2 or Above [Ishak-Knodell Fibrosis Score (FS) $\geq$ 2] Within 1 Year Post-transplant (Intent to Treat Population)
<b>Measure Description</b>	Assessment of hepatic fibrosis was performed with liver biopsies at Day 1, Month 6, 12 and 24, read centrally by two independent pathologists blinded to treatment arm and time of biopsy. Ishak-Knodell score was used to stage liver disease; 0= None; 1= Portal fibrosis (some); 2= Portal fibrosis (most); 3= Bridging fibrosis (few); 4= Bridging fibrosis (many); 5 = Incomplete cirrhosis; 6 = Cirrhosis. Higher score indicates greater fibrosis.
<b>Time Frame</b>	1 year post-transplant
<b>Safety Issue</b>	No

**Population Description**

Explanation of how the number of participants for analysis was determined. Includes whether analysis was per protocol, intention to treat, or another method. Also provides relevant details such as imputation technique, as appropriate.

The Intent-To-Treat (ITT) population consisted of all patients as randomized that were transplanted, received at least one dose of study drug and had at least one post-baseline efficacy assessment.

**Reporting Groups**

	Description
<b>Cyclosporin A</b>	The first administration of Cyclosporin A (CsA) was within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 10-15mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally, via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the study, it was recommended that the dose of CsA was adjusted, as necessary, to achieve and maintain the C2 or C0 blood CsA concentration within the target ranges.
<b>Tacrolimus</b>	Tacrolimus was administered within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 0.1-0.15 mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally or via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the course of the study, the dose of tacrolimus was adjusted as necessary to achieve and maintain the C0 tacrolimus concentrations within target ranges.

**Measured Values**

	Cyclosporin A	Tacrolimus
<b>Number of Participants Analyzed</b> [units: participants]	182	169
<b>Number of Participants With Fibrosis Score 2 or Above [Ishak-Knodell Fibrosis Score (FS) <math>\geq</math> 2] Within 1 Year Post-transplant (Intent to Treat Population)</b> [units: Participants]	63	54

No statistical analysis provided for Number of Participants With Fibrosis Score 2 or Above [Ishak-Knodell Fibrosis Score (FS)  $\geq$  2] Within 1 Year Post-transplant (Intent to Treat Population)

## 9. Secondary: Mean Value of Liver Function Tests at 1 Year Post-transplantation [ Time Frame: 1 year post-transplant ]

<b>Measure Type</b>	Secondary
<b>Measure Title</b>	Mean Value of Liver Function Tests at 1 Year Post-transplantation
<b>Measure Description</b>	<p>The mean value (in Units per liter, IU/L) of following tests were calculated at 1 year post-transplant:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase (SGPT)</li> <li>Serum Glutamic Oxaloacetic Transaminase (SGOT)</li> <li>Bilirubin</li> <li>Alkaline Phosphate</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <math>\gamma</math>-Glutamyltransferase (GGT)</li> </ul>
<b>Time Frame</b>	1 year post-transplant
<b>Safety Issue</b>	No

**Population Description**

**Explanation of how the number of participants for analysis was determined. Includes whether analysis was per protocol, intention to treat, or another method. Also provides relevant details such as imputation technique, as appropriate.**

Intent-to-treat (ITT) population: all patients as randomized that were transplanted, received at least one dose of study drug and had at least one post-baseline efficacy assessment. "n" is number participants with assessable data in each category.

**Reporting Groups**

	Description
<b>Cyclosporin A</b>	The first administration of Cyclosporin A (CsA) was within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 10-15mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally, via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the study, it was recommended that the dose of CsA was adjusted, as necessary, to achieve and maintain the C2 or C0 blood CsA concentration within the target ranges.
<b>Tacrolimus</b>	Tacrolimus was administered within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 0.1-0.15 mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally or via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the course of the study, the dose of tacrolimus was adjusted as necessary to achieve and maintain the C0 tacrolimus concentrations within target ranges.

**Measured Values**

	Cyclosporin A	Tacrolimus
<b>Number of Participants Analyzed</b> [units: participants]	112	115
<b>Mean Value of Liver Function Tests at 1 Year Post-transplantation</b> [units: IU/L] Mean (Standard Deviation)		
SGPT (n= 112, 112)	100.5 (178.8)	81.7 (82.5)
SGOT (n= 112,112)	92.0 (122.3)	72.8 (98.2)
Bilirubin (n= 111, 115)	40.3 (85.5)	19.3 (27.9)
Alkaline Phosphate (n= 111, 115)	174.7 (152.9)	152.9 (127.3)
GGT (n= 103, 110)	182.2 (224.3)	168.5 (278.7)

No statistical analysis provided for Mean Value of Liver Function Tests at 1 Year Post-transplantation

10. Secondary: Log-transformed Hepatitis C Virus Ribonucleic Acid (HCV RNA) Values up to 1 Year Post Transplant [ Time Frame: Pre-transplant (Day 1), Day , Day 8, Day 29, Month 6 and 12 post- transplant ]

<b>Measure Type</b>	Secondary
<b>Measure Title</b>	Log-transformed Hepatitis C Virus Ribonucleic Acid (HCV RNA) Values up to 1 Year Post Transplant
<b>Measure Description</b>	HCV RNA was measured (IU/ $\mu$ L) centrally pre-transplant (Day 1) and at 48 hours (Day 3), Day 8 and 29, Month 6 and 12 post-transplant and concomitantly to any additional biopsies performed.
<b>Time Frame</b>	Pre-transplant (Day 1), Day , Day 8, Day 29, Month 6 and 12 post- transplant
<b>Safety Issue</b>	No

**Population Description**

**Explanation of how the number of participants for analysis was determined. Includes whether analysis was per protocol, intention to treat, or**

another method. Also provides relevant details such as imputation technique, as appropriate.

Intent-to-treat (ITT) population: all patients as randomized that were transplanted, received at least one dose of study drug and had at least one post-baseline efficacy assessment. "n" in each of the categories is the number of participants with data at the given time point.

## Reporting Groups

	Description
<b>Cyclosporin A</b>	The first administration of Cyclosporin A (CsA) was within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 10-15mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally, via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the study, it was recommended that the dose of CsA was adjusted, as necessary, to achieve and maintain the C2 or C0 blood CsA concentration within the target ranges.
<b>Tacrolimus</b>	Tacrolimus was administered within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 0.1-0.15 mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally or via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the course of the study, the dose of tacrolimus was adjusted as necessary to achieve and maintain the C0 tacrolimus concentrations within target ranges.

## Measured Values

	Cyclosporin A	Tacrolimus
<b>Number of Participants Analyzed</b> [units: participants]	<b>182</b>	<b>169</b>
<b>Log-transformed Hepatitis C Virus Ribonucleic Acid (HCV RNA) Values up to 1 Year Post Transplant</b> [units: IU/μL] Mean (Standard Deviation)		
Day 1 (n=116, 111)	0.71 (0.887)	0.62 (0.809)
Day 3 (n= 136, 120)	0.98 (1.112)	0.91 (1.024)
Day 8 (n= 122, 117)	1.58 (1.569)	1.45 (1.557)
Day 29 (n=128, 109)	2.56 (1.658)	2.74 (1.439)
Month 6 (n=96, 98)	3.45 (1.069)	3.14 (1.332)
Month 12 (n= 85, 88)	3.17 (1.246)	3.13 (1.385)

No statistical analysis provided for Log-transformed Hepatitis C Virus Ribonucleic Acid (HCV RNA) Values up to 1 Year Post Transplant

## 11. Secondary: Percentage of Participants With an Increase of at Least 1 Stage in Fibrosis [ Time Frame: Between 1 and 2 years ]

<b>Measure Type</b>	Secondary
<b>Measure Title</b>	Percentage of Participants With an Increase of at Least 1 Stage in Fibrosis
<b>Measure Description</b>	Assessment of hepatic fibrosis was performed with liver biopsies at Day 1, Month 6, 12 and 24, read centrally by two independent pathologists blinded to treatment arm and time of biopsy. Ishak-Knodell score was used to stage liver disease; 0= None; 1= Portal fibrosis (some); 2= Portal fibrosis (most); 3= Bridging fibrosis (few); 4= Bridging fibrosis (many); 5 = Incomplete cirrhosis; 6 = Cirrhosis. Higher score indicates greater fibrosis. An increase of at least 1 stage demonstrated a worsening of the disease, i.e. the transition from one score to the next higher one.
<b>Time Frame</b>	Between 1 and 2 years
<b>Safety Issue</b>	No

## Population Description

Explanation of how the number of participants for analysis was determined. Includes whether analysis was per protocol, intention to treat, or another method. Also provides relevant details such as imputation technique, as appropriate.

The outcome measure was not analyzed because of premature termination of study.

## Reporting Groups

	Description
<b>Cyclosporin A</b>	The first administration of Cyclosporin A (CsA) was within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 10-15mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally, via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the study, it was recommended that the dose of CsA was adjusted, as necessary, to achieve and maintain the C2 or C0 blood CsA concentration within the target ranges.
<b>Tacrolimus</b>	Tacrolimus was administered within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 0.1-0.15 mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally or via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the course of the study, the dose of tacrolimus was adjusted as necessary to achieve and maintain the C0 tacrolimus concentrations within target ranges.

**Measured Values**

	Cyclosporin A	Tacrolimus
<b>Number of Participants Analyzed</b> [units: participants]	0	0
<b>Percentage of Participants With an Increase of at Least 1 Stage in Fibrosis</b> [units: Percentage of participants]		

No statistical analysis provided for Percentage of Participants With an Increase of at Least 1 Stage in Fibrosis

12. Secondary: Mean Fibrosis Score [ Time Frame: At 1and 2 years and its evolution over time ]

<b>Measure Type</b>	Secondary
<b>Measure Title</b>	Mean Fibrosis Score
<b>Measure Description</b>	Assessment of hepatic fibrosis was performed with liver biopsies at Day 1, Month 6, 12 and 24, read centrally by two independent pathologists blinded to treatment arm and time of biopsy. Ishak-Knodell score was used to stage liver disease; 0= None; 1= Portal fibrosis (some); 2= Portal fibrosis (most); 3= Bridging fibrosis (few); 4= Bridging fibrosis (many); 5 = Incomplete cirrhosis; 6 = Cirrhosis. Higher score indicates greater fibrosis. The mean score was equivalent to mean of IK at 1 and 2 years (evolution over time).
<b>Time Frame</b>	At 1and 2 years and its evolution over time
<b>Safety Issue</b>	No

**Population Description**

Explanation of how the number of participants for analysis was determined. Includes whether analysis was per protocol, intention to treat, or another method. Also provides relevant details such as imputation technique, as appropriate.

This outcome was not analyzed because of premature termination of study.

**Reporting Groups**

	Description
<b>Cyclosporin A</b>	The first administration of Cyclosporin A (CsA) was within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 10-15mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally, via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the study, it was recommended that the dose of CsA was adjusted, as necessary, to achieve and maintain the C2 or C0 blood CsA concentration within the target ranges.
<b>Tacrolimus</b>	Tacrolimus was administered within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 0.1-0.15 mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally or via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the course of the study, the dose of tacrolimus was adjusted as necessary to achieve and maintain the C0 tacrolimus concentrations within target ranges.

**Measured Values**

	Cyclosporin A	Tacrolimus

Number of Participants Analyzed [units: participants]	0	0
Mean Fibrosis Score [units: units on a scale] Mean (Standard Deviation)		

No statistical analysis provided for Mean Fibrosis Score

## ► Serious Adverse Events

▢ Hide Serious Adverse Events

Time Frame	No text entered.
Additional Description	No text entered.

## Reporting Groups

	Description
<b>Cyclosporin A</b>	The first administration of Cyclosporin A (CsA) was within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 10-15mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally, via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the study, it was recommended that the dose of CsA was adjusted, as necessary, to achieve and maintain the C2 or C0 blood CsA concentration within the target ranges.
<b>Tacrolimus</b>	Tacrolimus was administered within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 0.1-0.15 mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally or via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the course of the study, the dose of tacrolimus was adjusted as necessary to achieve and maintain the C0 tacrolimus concentrations within target ranges.

## Serious Adverse Events

	Cyclosporin A	Tacrolimus
<b>Total, serious adverse events</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	148/184 (80.43%)	138/172 (80.23%)
<b>Blood and lymphatic system disorders</b>		
<b>Anaemia <sup>†1</sup></b>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Leukopenia <sup>†1</sup></b>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Lymphadenopathy <sup>†1</sup></b>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Neutropenia <sup>†1</sup></b>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Pancytopenia <sup>†1</sup></b>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	2/172 (1.16%)
<b>Thrombocytopenia <sup>†1</sup></b>		
# participants affected / at risk	2/184 (1.09%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Cardiac disorders</b>		
<b>Atrial tachycardia <sup>†1</sup></b>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Cardiac arrest <sup>†1</sup></b>		

# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	2/172 (1.16%)
Cardiac disorder † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Cardiac failure † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Cardio-respiratory arrest † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Cardiopulmonary failure † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Myocardial infarction † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Pericardial effusion † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Ventricular tachycardia † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Gastrointestinal disorders		
Abdominal adhesions † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Abdominal distension † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Abdominal pain † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	3/184 (1.63%)	9/172 (5.23%)
Abdominal pain upper † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	2/172 (1.16%)
Abdominal rigidity † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Ascites † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	2/184 (1.09%)	2/172 (1.16%)
Colitis † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Constipation † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Diarrhoea † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	2/172 (1.16%)
Duodenitis † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Dysphagia † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Epigastric discomfort † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Faeces pale † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Gastrointestinal haemorrhage † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	1/172 (0.58%)

Ileus † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	2/172 (1.16%)
Inguinal hernia † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	2/172 (1.16%)
Inguinal hernia, obstructive † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Intestinal strangulation † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Localised intraabdominal fluid collection † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	4/172 (2.33%)
Mechanical ileus † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Melaena † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Nausea † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	3/184 (1.63%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Pancreatitis † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Umbilical hernia † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Upper gastrointestinal haemorrhage † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Vomiting † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	6/184 (3.26%)	2/172 (1.16%)
General disorders		
Asthenia † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Chest pain † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Effusion † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
General physical health deterioration † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	2/172 (1.16%)
Hernia † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Hernia obstructive † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Hernia pain † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Impaired healing † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	2/184 (1.09%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Multi-organ failure † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	2/184 (1.09%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Non-cardiac chest pain † 1		

# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Oedema peripheral † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Pain † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Pyrexia † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	13/184 (7.07%)	9/172 (5.23%)
Hepatobiliary disorders		
Acute hepatic failure † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Bile duct necrosis † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Bile duct obstruction † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	3/172 (1.74%)
Bile duct stenosis † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	8/184 (4.35%)	3/172 (1.74%)
Bile duct stone † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Biliary cirrhosis † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Biliary ischaemia † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Biloma † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	2/172 (1.16%)
Cholangitis † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	9/184 (4.89%)	2/172 (1.16%)
Cholestasis † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Haemobilia † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Hepatic artery stenosis † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	2/184 (1.09%)	2/172 (1.16%)
Hepatic artery thrombosis † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	3/172 (1.74%)
Hepatic failure † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	5/184 (2.72%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Hepatic function abnormal † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	2/184 (1.09%)	3/172 (1.74%)
Hepatic haemorrhage † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Hepatic infiltration eosinophilic † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Hepatic vein thrombosis † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)

<b>Hepatitis † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Hepatitis cholestatic † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Hyperbilirubinaemia † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Hypertransaminaemia † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Ischaemic hepatitis † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Portal vein thrombosis † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Immune system disorders</b>		
<b>Drug hypersensitivity † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Liver transplant rejection † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	11/184 (5.98%)	7/172 (4.07%)
<b>Transplant rejection † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	2/184 (1.09%)	2/172 (1.16%)
<b>Infections and infestations</b>		
<b>Abdominal abscess † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	2/172 (1.16%)
<b>Abdominal sepsis † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Abdominal wall abscess † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Bacteraemia † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Bone abscess † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Bronchitis † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Bronchopneumonia † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Cellulitis † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	2/184 (1.09%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Clostridium difficile colitis † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Cytomegalovirus hepatitis † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	2/172 (1.16%)
<b>Cytomegalovirus infection † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	7/184 (3.80%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Cytomegalovirus viraemia † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)

<b>Enterobacter infection</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Enterocolitis infectious</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Escherichia sepsis</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Fungal infection</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Gastroenteritis</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	2/184 (1.09%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Gastroenteritis viral</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Groin abscess</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Hepatic infection</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Hepatitis C</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	77/184 (41.85%)	65/172 (37.79%)
<b>Herpes zoster</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Infective exacerbation of chronic obstructive airways disease</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Intervertebral discitis</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Liver abscess</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	3/184 (1.63%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Lung infection</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Neurological infection</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Osteomyelitis</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Pericarditis fungal</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Peritonitis bacterial</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Pneumonia</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	2/184 (1.09%)	7/172 (4.07%)
<b>Pneumonia bacterial</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Respiratory tract infection</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Sepsis</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	8/184 (4.35%)	5/172 (2.91%)

<b>Septic shock</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	2/172 (1.16%)
<b>Sinusitis</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Staphylococcal sepsis</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Tuberculosis liver</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Urinary tract infection</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	3/172 (1.74%)
<b>Wound infection</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Injury, poisoning and procedural complications</b>		
<b>Ankle fracture</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Biliary anastomosis complication</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	4/172 (2.33%)
<b>Chemical peritonitis</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	2/184 (1.09%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Collapse of lung</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Complications of transplanted liver</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	3/184 (1.63%)	10/172 (5.81%)
<b>Gastrointestinal injury</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Graft loss</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Hepatic haematoma</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	3/184 (1.63%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Incision site haemorrhage</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Incisional hernia</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	3/184 (1.63%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Limb traumatic amputation</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Post procedural bile leak</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	5/184 (2.72%)	4/172 (2.33%)
<b>Post procedural haemorrhage</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	2/184 (1.09%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Postoperative fever</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Procedural site reaction</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Seroma</b> † <sup>1</sup>		

# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Skin laceration † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Spinal compression fracture † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Subdural haematoma † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Therapeutic agent toxicity † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	2/172 (1.16%)
Vascular pseudoaneurysm † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Wound decomposition † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Investigations		
Blood bilirubin increased † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	2/172 (1.16%)
Blood creatine phosphokinase increased † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Blood creatinine increased † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	3/184 (1.63%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Blood pressure increased † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Hepatic enzyme increased † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	2/172 (1.16%)
Hepatitis C virus test positive † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Liver function test abnormal † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	14/184 (7.61%)	9/172 (5.23%)
Transaminases increased † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	2/184 (1.09%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Metabolism and nutrition disorders		
Dehydration † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Diabetes mellitus † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	4/172 (2.33%)
Diabetic ketoacidosis † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Fluid overload † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Hyperglycaemia † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Hyperkalaemia † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Hypovolaemia † <sup>1</sup>		

# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Malnutrition † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Type 2 diabetes mellitus † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders		
Back pain † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	3/172 (1.74%)
Myositis † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Neoplasms benign, malignant and unspecified (incl cysts and polyps)		
B-cell lymphoma † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Hepatic cancer metastatic † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Hepatic neoplasm malignant † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Lung neoplasm † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Lung neoplasm malignant † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Lymphoma † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	2/172 (1.16%)
Metastases to lung † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Prostate cancer † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Seminoma † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Tongue neoplasm malignant stage unspecified † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Transitional cell carcinoma † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Nervous system disorders		
Cerebral haemorrhage † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Cerebral ischaemia † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
Cerebrovascular accident † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
Convulsion † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	2/184 (1.09%)	1/172 (0.58%)

<b>Dizziness † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	2/172 (1.16%)
<b>Encephalopathy † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	2/184 (1.09%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Haemorrhage intracranial † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Haemorrhagic stroke † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Headache † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Hypertensive encephalopathy † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Migraine † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Neurological symptom † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Neurotoxicity † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	2/172 (1.16%)
<b>Reversible posterior leukoencephalopathy syndrome † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Somnolence † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Subarachnoid haemorrhage † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Transient ischaemic attack † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Tremor † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Psychiatric disorders</b>		
<b>Alcoholism † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Delirium † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Mental status changes † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	3/184 (1.63%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Psychotic disorder † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	2/184 (1.09%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Substance abuse † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Transient psychosis † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	3/184 (1.63%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Renal and urinary disorders</b>		
<b>Acute prerenal failure † 1</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)

<b>Anuria</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Nephrotic syndrome</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Renal failure</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	6/184 (3.26%)	3/172 (1.74%)
<b>Renal failure acute</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	14/184 (7.61%)	6/172 (3.49%)
<b>Renal impairment</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	2/184 (1.09%)	2/172 (1.16%)
<b>Renal tubular necrosis</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders</b>		
<b>Acute respiratory distress syndrome</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Acute respiratory failure</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Brain hypoxia</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Dyspnoea</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Haemothorax</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Hyperventilation</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Pleural effusion</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	5/184 (2.72%)	2/172 (1.16%)
<b>Pleurisy</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Pneumonitis</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Pulmonary embolism</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	2/172 (1.16%)
<b>Pulmonary oedema</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Respiratory distress</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Respiratory failure</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	5/184 (2.72%)	5/172 (2.91%)
<b>Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders</b>		
<b>Night sweats</b> † 1		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)

<b>Stasis dermatitis</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Vascular disorders</b>		
<b>Deep vein thrombosis</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	2/172 (1.16%)
<b>Haemodynamic instability</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Hypertension</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Hypertensive crisis</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Hypotension</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	2/184 (1.09%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Intra-abdominal haematoma</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	0/172 (0.00%)
<b>Intra-abdominal haemorrhage</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	2/184 (1.09%)	2/172 (1.16%)
<b>Malignant hypertension</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	1/184 (0.54%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Thrombosis</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Venoocclusive disease</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)
<b>Venous thrombosis</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	0/184 (0.00%)	1/172 (0.58%)

† Events were collected by systematic assessment

<sup>1</sup> Term from vocabulary, MedDRA

## Other Adverse Events

 Hide Other Adverse Events

<b>Time Frame</b>	No text entered.
<b>Additional Description</b>	No text entered.

## Frequency Threshold

Threshold above which other adverse events are reported	5%
---	----

## Reporting Groups

	Description
<b>Cyclosporin A</b>	The first administration of Cyclosporin A (CsA) was within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 10-15mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally, via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily (b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the study, it was recommended that the dose of CsA was adjusted, as necessary, to achieve and maintain the C2 or C0 blood CsA concentration within the target ranges.
<b>Tacrolimus</b>	Tacrolimus was administered within the first 24 hours post-transplantation at an initial dose of 0.1-0.15 mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses (twice daily at 12-hour interval) either orally or via a nasogastric (NG) tube or intravenously (i.v). Twice daily

(b.i.d.) administration was maintained throughout the study period. During the course of the study, the dose of tacrolimus was adjusted as necessary to achieve and maintain the C0 tacrolimus concentrations within target ranges.

## Other Adverse Events

	Cyclosporin A	Tacrolimus
<b>Total, other (not including serious) adverse events</b>		
# participants affected / at risk	182/184 (98.91%)	167/172 (97.09%)
<b>Blood and lymphatic system disorders</b>		
Anaemia † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	51/184 (27.72%)	54/172 (31.40%)
Coagulopathy † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	3/184 (1.63%)	9/172 (5.23%)
Leukopenia † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	17/184 (9.24%)	10/172 (5.81%)
Thrombocytopenia † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	23/184 (12.50%)	28/172 (16.28%)
<b>Gastrointestinal disorders</b>		
Abdominal distension † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	14/184 (7.61%)	7/172 (4.07%)
Abdominal pain † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	31/184 (16.85%)	44/172 (25.58%)
Abdominal pain upper † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	9/184 (4.89%)	14/172 (8.14%)
Ascites † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	31/184 (16.85%)	29/172 (16.86%)
Constipation † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	64/184 (34.78%)	62/172 (36.05%)
Diarrhoea † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	41/184 (22.28%)	57/172 (33.14%)
Dyspepsia † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	15/184 (8.15%)	14/172 (8.14%)
Nausea † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	55/184 (29.89%)	59/172 (34.30%)
Vomiting † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	30/184 (16.30%)	25/172 (14.53%)
<b>General disorders</b>		
Asthenia † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	15/184 (8.15%)	9/172 (5.23%)
Fatigue † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	24/184 (13.04%)	19/172 (11.05%)
Generalised oedema † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	12/184 (6.52%)	12/172 (6.98%)
Non-cardiac chest pain † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	7/184 (3.80%)	13/172 (7.56%)

<b>Oedema peripheral</b> ↑ <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	61/184 (33.15%)	58/172 (33.72%)
<b>Pyrexia</b> ↑ <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	52/184 (28.26%)	47/172 (27.33%)
<b>Hepatobiliary disorders</b>		
<b>Bile duct stenosis</b> ↑ <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	11/184 (5.98%)	12/172 (6.98%)
<b>Cholestasis</b> ↑ <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	10/184 (5.43%)	10/172 (5.81%)
<b>Hyperbilirubinaemia</b> ↑ <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	13/184 (7.07%)	7/172 (4.07%)
<b>Infections and infestations</b>		
<b>Cytomegalovirus infection</b> ↑ <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	16/184 (8.70%)	5/172 (2.91%)
<b>Hepatitis C</b> ↑ <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	19/184 (10.33%)	21/172 (12.21%)
<b>Nasopharyngitis</b> ↑ <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	10/184 (5.43%)	13/172 (7.56%)
<b>Pneumonia</b> ↑ <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	6/184 (3.26%)	15/172 (8.72%)
<b>Urinary tract infection</b> ↑ <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	24/184 (13.04%)	16/172 (9.30%)
<b>Injury, poisoning and procedural complications</b>		
<b>Incision site pain</b> ↑ <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	33/184 (17.93%)	27/172 (15.70%)
<b>Post procedural bile leak</b> ↑ <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	8/184 (4.35%)	9/172 (5.23%)
<b>Post procedural discharge</b> ↑ <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	6/184 (3.26%)	10/172 (5.81%)
<b>Procedural pain</b> ↑ <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	50/184 (27.17%)	59/172 (34.30%)
<b>Investigations</b>		
<b>Blood bilirubin increased</b> ↑ <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	15/184 (8.15%)	6/172 (3.49%)
<b>Blood creatinine increased</b> ↑ <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	35/184 (19.02%)	23/172 (13.37%)
<b>Blood glucose increased</b> ↑ <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	4/184 (2.17%)	9/172 (5.23%)
<b>Blood potassium decreased</b> ↑ <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	10/184 (5.43%)	9/172 (5.23%)
<b>Haemoglobin decreased</b> ↑ <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	8/184 (4.35%)	11/172 (6.40%)
<b>Liver function test abnormal</b> ↑ <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	31/184 (16.85%)	25/172 (14.53%)

Urine output decreased † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	17/184 (9.24%)	16/172 (9.30%)
Metabolism and nutrition disorders		
Decreased appetite † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	21/184 (11.41%)	16/172 (9.30%)
Diabetes mellitus † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	26/184 (14.13%)	28/172 (16.28%)
Fluid overload † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	11/184 (5.98%)	13/172 (7.56%)
Hyperglycaemia † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	46/184 (25.00%)	49/172 (28.49%)
Hyperkalaemia † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	27/184 (14.67%)	28/172 (16.28%)
Hypoalbuminaemia † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	13/184 (7.07%)	14/172 (8.14%)
Hypocalcaemia † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	17/184 (9.24%)	17/172 (9.88%)
Hypokalaemia † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	33/184 (17.93%)	29/172 (16.86%)
Hypomagnesaemia † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	39/184 (21.20%)	43/172 (25.00%)
Hyponatraemia † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	11/184 (5.98%)	6/172 (3.49%)
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders		
Arthralgia † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	10/184 (5.43%)	11/172 (6.40%)
Back pain † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	38/184 (20.65%)	25/172 (14.53%)
Muscle spasms † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	11/184 (5.98%)	16/172 (9.30%)
Musculoskeletal pain † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	13/184 (7.07%)	5/172 (2.91%)
Pain in extremity † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	11/184 (5.98%)	14/172 (8.14%)
Nervous system disorders		
Dizziness † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	8/184 (4.35%)	11/172 (6.40%)
Headache † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	45/184 (24.46%)	39/172 (22.67%)
Tremor † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	26/184 (14.13%)	30/172 (17.44%)
Psychiatric disorders		
Agitation † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	16/184 (8.70%)	24/172 (13.95%)

<b>Anxiety</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	30/184 (16.30%)	21/172 (12.21%)
<b>Confusional state</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	9/184 (4.89%)	15/172 (8.72%)
<b>Depression</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	17/184 (9.24%)	16/172 (9.30%)
<b>Insomnia</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	65/184 (35.33%)	64/172 (37.21%)
<b>Renal and urinary disorders</b>		
<b>Oliguria</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	22/184 (11.96%)	20/172 (11.63%)
<b>Renal failure</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	19/184 (10.33%)	18/172 (10.47%)
<b>Renal failure acute</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	23/184 (12.50%)	16/172 (9.30%)
<b>Renal impairment</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	21/184 (11.41%)	15/172 (8.72%)
<b>Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders</b>		
<b>Atelectasis</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	11/184 (5.98%)	11/172 (6.40%)
<b>Cough</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	14/184 (7.61%)	14/172 (8.14%)
<b>Dyspnoea</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	22/184 (11.96%)	24/172 (13.95%)
<b>Pleural effusion</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	25/184 (13.59%)	31/172 (18.02%)
<b>Pulmonary oedema</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	7/184 (3.80%)	9/172 (5.23%)
<b>Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders</b>		
<b>Pruritus</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	21/184 (11.41%)	19/172 (11.05%)
<b>Rash</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	11/184 (5.98%)	5/172 (2.91%)
<b>Vascular disorders</b>		
<b>Hypertension</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	92/184 (50.00%)	69/172 (40.12%)
<b>Hypotension</b> † <sup>1</sup>		
# participants affected / at risk	20/184 (10.87%)	15/172 (8.72%)

† Events were collected by systematic assessment

<sup>1</sup> Term from vocabulary, MedDRA

## Limitations and Caveats

 Hide Limitations and Caveats

**Limitations of the study, such as early termination leading to small numbers of participants analyzed and technical problems with measurement leading to unreliable or uninterpretable data**

This study was prematurely discontinued due to poor recruitment. Since only a small patient group could be analyzed for primary outcome measure, robust conclusions on the effect of the two calcineurin inhibitors on the fibrosis score cannot be drawn.

 **More Information**
 [Hide More Information](#)
**Certain Agreements:**

Principal Investigators are **NOT** employed by the organization sponsoring the study.

There **IS** an agreement between Principal Investigators and the Sponsor (or its agents) that restricts the PI's rights to discuss or publish trial results after the trial is completed.

The agreement is:



The only disclosure restriction on the PI is that the sponsor can review results communications prior to public release and can embargo communications regarding trial results for a period that is **less than or equal to 60 days**. The sponsor cannot require changes to the communication and cannot extend the embargo.



The only disclosure restriction on the PI is that the sponsor can review results communications prior to public release and can embargo communications regarding trial results for a period that is **more than 60 days but less than or equal to 180 days**. The sponsor cannot require changes to the communication and cannot extend the embargo.

Other disclosure agreement that restricts the right of the PI to discuss or publish trial results after the trial is completed.



**Restriction Description:** The terms and conditions of Novartis' agreements with its investigators may vary. However, Novartis does not prohibit any investigator from publishing. Any publications from a single-site are postponed until the publication of the pooled data (i.e., data from all sites) in the clinical trial.

**Results Point of Contact:**

Name/Title: Study coordinator

Organization: Novartis Pharmaceuticals

phone: 862-778-8300

**No publications provided**

Responsible Party: Novartis ( Novartis Pharmaceuticals )

ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: [NCT00260208](#) [History of Changes](#)

Other Study ID Numbers: **COLO400A2426**

Study First Received: November 30, 2005

Results First Received: September 14, 2011

Last Updated: December 2, 2011

Health Authority: United States: Institutional Review Board