

## Clinical Study Synopsis

This Clinical Study Synopsis is provided for patients and healthcare professionals to increase the transparency of Bayer's clinical research. This document is not intended to replace the advice of a healthcare professional and should not be considered as a recommendation. Patients should always seek medical advice before making any decisions on their treatment. Healthcare Professionals should always refer to the specific labelling information approved for the patient's country or region. Data in this document or on the related website should not be considered as prescribing advice. The study listed may include approved and non-approved formulations or treatment regimens. Data may differ from published or presented data and are a reflection of the limited information provided here. The results from a single trial need to be considered in the context of the totality of the available clinical research results for a drug. The results from a single study may not reflect the overall results for a drug.

*The following information is the property of Bayer HealthCare. Reproduction of all or part of this report is strictly prohibited without prior written permission from Bayer HealthCare. Commercial use of the information is only possible with the written permission of the proprietor and is subject to a license fee. Please note that the General Conditions of Use and the Privacy Statement of [bayerhealthcare.com](http://bayerhealthcare.com) apply to the contents of this file.*

### Clinical Trial Results Synopsis

<b>Study sponsor</b>	Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals Inc.
<b>Study number</b>	11348
<b>National clinical trial number</b>	National Clinical Trial (NCT) number: NCT00855465
<b>Study title:</b>	Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multi-centre, multi-national study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of oral BAY 63-2521 (1 mg, 1.5 mg, 2 mg, or 2.5 mg tid) in patients with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH). <b>CHEST-1 study</b>
<b>Therapeutic area</b>	Cardiology/Coagulation
<b>EudraCT number:</b>	2007-000072-16
<b>Clinical phase:</b>	III
<b>Study objectives:</b>	<p>To assess the efficacy and safety of oral riociguat in subjects with inoperable chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH) or recurrent or persisting pulmonary hypertension (PH) after surgical treatment.</p> <p>The optimized dose, reached after individual titration (starting at 1 mg 3 times a day [tid] and, if tolerated, up-titrated after two weeks in 0.5 mg-increments to a maximum dose of 2.5 mg tid) was compared to placebo.</p>
<b>Test drug:</b>	Riociguat / BAY 63-2521 (film-coated tablets)
<b>Name of active ingredient(s):</b>	Riociguat / BAY 63-2521
<b>Dose:</b>	1.0–2.5 mg tid (individual dose titration) In case of side effects (e.g. symptomatic hypotension), down-titration to 0.5 mg tid was allowed.
<b>Route of administration:</b>	Oral
<b>Duration of treatment:</b>	16 weeks

<b>Reference drug:</b>	Placebo (tablets)
<b>Dose:</b>	Matching Placebo tid  A sham titration that followed the rules of the individual dose titration scheme
<b>Route of administration:</b>	Oral
<b>Duration of treatment:</b>	16 weeks
<b>Indication:</b>	Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH)
<b>Diagnosis and main criteria for inclusion:</b>	CTEPH and an eligibility and baseline 6-minute walking distance (6MWD) test between 150 m and 450 m. CTEPH was defined either as inoperable (adjudicated by an experienced surgeon or a central adjudication committee), with a pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) $>300 \text{ dyn} \cdot \text{sec} \cdot \text{cm}^{-5}$ measured at least 90 days after start of full anticoagulation and a mean pulmonary artery pressure $>25 \text{ mmHg}$ , or as persisting or recurrent PH after pulmonary endarterectomy (subjects had to have a PVR $>300 \text{ dyn} \cdot \text{sec} \cdot \text{cm}^{-5}$ measured at least 180 days after surgery). <i>(PVR inclusion criterion changed from 480 to 300 <math>\text{dyn} \cdot \text{sec} \cdot \text{cm}^{-5}</math> by amendment 5)</i>
<b>Methodology:</b>	This was a double-blind, randomized, multicenter, multinational, placebo-controlled study.  During the 8-week titration phase, the dose of study medication was titrated every 2 weeks based on the subject's peripheral systolic blood pressure (SBP). In accordance with a pre-specified algorithm, starting from a dose of 1.0 mg tid riociguat or placebo, the dose was increased, maintained, or decreased depending on whether SBP was $\geq 95 \text{ mmHg}$ , $90 - 94 \text{ mmHg}$ , or $<90 \text{ mmHg}$ , or if signs and symptoms of hypotension were detected. The "optimal dose" reached at the end of the titration phase was to be maintained for a further 8 weeks in the main study phase. Dose reductions for safety reasons were allowed.
<b>Type of control:</b>	Placebo

<b>Study center(s):</b>	89 recruiting centers in 26 countries: Argentina (1), Australia (1), Austria (2), Belgium (2), Brazil (3), Canada (5), China (5), Czech Republic (1), Denmark (1), France (8), Germany (12), Italy (1), Japan (15), Mexico (4), Netherlands (1), Poland (2), Portugal (1), Russia (2), Slovakia (1), South Korea (2), Spain (2), Switzerland (1), Taiwan (1), Turkey (3), United Kingdom (3), USA (9)
<b>Study period:</b>	<b>First subject, first visit:</b> 23 Feb 2009 <b>Last subject, last visit:</b> 27 Jun 2012
<b>Premature study suspension /termination</b>	N/A
<b>Substantial study protocol amendments</b>	<p>Amendment 3 dated 16 Jun 2009 (global):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Clarifications regarding some inclusion/ exclusion criteria</li> <li>- Specification of 6MWD test</li> <li>- Change of the Borg Dyspnoea Score</li> <li>- Collection of health care resource information</li> <li>- Definition of physical training program</li> <li>- Timelines for study medication dosing specified</li> <li>- Methodology for blood pressure measurement added</li> <li>- Undesirable effects dizziness and syncope added</li> <li>- Description of the central operability assessment process added</li> </ul> <p>Amendment 4 dated 24 Mar 2010 (global):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Adjustment of upper age limit from 75 to 80 years with stricter PCWP criteria to rule out significant left heart disease</li> <li>- Clarifications of contraception methods in exclusion criteria</li> <li>- Clarifications on pregnancy testing</li> <li>- Clarification on 6MWD test for inclusion and other exclusion criteria</li> <li>- Deletion of one exclusion criterion related to allergies</li> <li>- Changes in assessment periods</li> <li>- Use of the Modified Borg Dyspnoea Scale</li> <li>- Collection of smoking status</li> <li>- Smoking added as interaction</li> <li>- Undesirable effects vomiting and gastritis added</li> </ul>

- Visit window for follow-up extended to 30 +5 days

Amendment 5 dated 11 Oct 2010 (global):

- Subject's baseline PVR, required for study inclusion, was reduced from >480 to >300 dyn\*sec\*cm<sup>-5</sup>

- The inoperability assessment process was further specified and harmonized with the information provided in study-specific manuals.

Amendment 6 (global):

- measurements of calcium and phosphate and calcitriol were added

- duration AEs were to be considered treatment-emergent was changed from up to 7 days to up to 2 days after the end of treatment with study medication

<b>Number of subjects per treatment group:</b>	Planned:	Riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg group:	174 valid for ITT
		Placebo group:	87 valid for ITT (ITT = intent to treat)
	Analyzed:		ITT/Safety    Per protocol
		Riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg group:	173            143
		Placebo group:	88             75

**Criteria for evaluation**

**Efficacy:**

Primary efficacy variable:

- Change from baseline in 6MWD after 16 weeks

Secondary efficacy variables:

- Change from baseline in PVR after 16 weeks
- Change from baseline in N-terminal prohormone of brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) after 16 weeks
- Change from baseline in World Health Organization (WHO) functional class after 16 weeks
- Time to clinical worsening
- Change from baseline in Borg CR 10 Scale or Modified Borg Dyspnoea Scale (measured at the end of the 6MWD test) after 16 weeks
- Change from baseline in EQ-5D questionnaire after 16 weeks
- Change from baseline in Living with Pulmonary Hypertension (LPH) questionnaire after 16 weeks

**Safety:**

Adverse events (AEs), mortality, laboratory parameters, vital signs, electrocardiogram (ECG) parameters, blood gas analysis

<b>Other:</b>	Hemodynamic parameters: Mean pulmonary arterial pressure, cardiac index
<b>Statistical methods:</b>	The primary efficacy analysis was the analysis of the change in 6MWD from baseline to week 16 (last observation until week 16) in subjects valid for ITT, with imputation of missing values for subjects who withdrew or died before 16 weeks. The riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg and placebo groups were compared using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), with baseline 6MWD as a covariate and treatment group and region as main effects. The primary statistical method would be the stratified Wilcoxon test if the Shapiro-Wilk test for normality of residuals was statistically significant. Least squares (LS) mean and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of the treatment difference were calculated based on the ANCOVA. Superiority of the riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg group over the placebo group was to be declared if the two-sided significance level was less than or equal to 0.05.
<b>Publication(s)</b>	Ghofrani HA, D'Armini AM, Grimminger F, Hoeper MM, Jansa P, Kim NH, Mayer E, Simonneau G, Wilkins MR, Fritsch A, Neuser D, Weimann G, Wang C; CHEST-1 Study Group. Riociguat for the treatment of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. <i>N Engl J Med.</i> 2013 Jul 25;369(4):319-29.  Archer SL. Riociguat for pulmonary hypertension--a glass half full. <i>N Engl J Med.</i> 2013 Jul 25;369(4):386-8.
<b>Date created/last updated</b>	25 Sep 2013

### Study subjects

446 subjects were enrolled in 89 study centers in 26 countries worldwide. 184 of the 446 enrolled subjects were not randomized. 262 of the 446 subjects were randomized. 261 of the 262 randomized subjects received study medication (173 in the riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg group, 88 in the placebo group).

243 (92.7%) of the 262 randomized subjects completed the treatment phase. The remaining 19 randomized subjects prematurely discontinued study medication (18 subjects) or did not receive the study medication (1 subject).

All 261 randomized, treated subjects were included in the ITT and safety analysis sets. 218 subjects were considered valid for per protocol analyses.

In the ITT analysis set (primary analysis set), the treatment groups were comparable with respect to demographic characteristics. More than 60% of subjects were female (68% in the

riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg group vs. 61% in the placebo group). The majority of subjects in each treatment group were white (69% vs. 74%), and about 20% of subjects in each group were classified as Asian (21% vs. 23%). Few subjects were classified as black (<5%). Mean age was very similar in both treatment groups (59.3 years vs. 59.2 years). Just over 40% of subjects in each treatment group were aged  $\geq 65$  years (43% vs. 41%); the oldest subject was 80 years of age. Body weight and body mass index at baseline were comparable in both treatment groups, with a mean body mass index of 27.1 kg/m<sup>2</sup> in the riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg group and 27.7 kg/m<sup>2</sup> in the placebo group. The majority of subjects in each treatment group had never smoked (65% vs. 53%). Alcohol use was similar in both treatment groups, with the majority of subjects reporting no alcohol consumption (52% vs. 51%) and most other subjects reporting light consumption (45% vs. 44%).

In both treatment groups, the majority of the subjects had a diagnosis of inoperable CTEPH (69.9% of subjects in the riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg group, 77.3% of subjects in the placebo group). More than 60% of subjects in each treatment group were in WHO functional class III at baseline (riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg 61.8%, placebo 68.2%). Most other subjects in each group were in functional class II (riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg 31.8%, placebo 28.4%).

### Efficacy evaluation

The study achieved its objective of demonstrating superiority of riociguat over placebo for the primary efficacy variable 6MWD. As shown by the primary efficacy analysis, treatment with riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg tid resulted in a statistically significant and clinically relevant improvement in 6MWD from baseline to week 16 (last observation until week 16) as compared to placebo in the ITT analysis set. The estimated overall treatment effect from the ANCOVA was 45.69 m (95% CI: 24.74 m to 66.63 m;  $p < 0.0001$ , stratified Wilcoxon test).

#### Primary analysis: Change in 6MWD (meter) from baseline to last visit - ITT analysis set

Statistic	Riociguat 1.0–2.5 mg N=173	Placebo N=88
Baseline		
Mean (SD)	342.3 (81.9)	356.0 (74.7)
Median (Min-Max)	360.0 (150-557)	372.0 (152-474)
Change from baseline to last visit		
Mean (SD)	38.9 (79.3)	-5.5 (84.3)
Median (Min-Max)	42.0 (-376-335)	5.0 (-389-226)
<b>Treatment comparison</b>	<b>Riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg – placebo</b>	
LS mean difference	45.69	
95% CI	24.74 to 66.63	
p-value (ANCOVA)	<0.0001	
p-value (stratified Wilcoxon test)	<0.0001	

ANCOVA model with baseline value, treatment group, and region as fixed effects, stratified Wilcoxon test by region; SD = Standard deviation

Last visit = Last observed value (not including follow-up) for subjects who completed the study or withdrew, except imputed worst value in case of death or clinical worsening without a termination visit or a measurement at that termination visit

The treatment effect was consistent for the ITT and per protocol analysis sets. Sensitivity analyses indicated that there was clear evidence of a treatment effect regardless of the imputation method used to take account for missing data.

In subjects with inoperable CTEPH, the treatment effect for change in 6MWD from baseline to week 16 (last observation until week 16) was 53.92 m (95% CI: 28.53 m to 79.31 m); in subjects with postoperative CTEPH, the treatment effect was 26.72 m (95% CI: -9.68 m to 63.13 m). In subjects with a WHO functional class of III/IV at baseline, the treatment effect for change in 6MWD from baseline to week 16 (last observation until week 16) was 52.97 m (95% CI: 26.50 m to 79.43 m); in subjects with a WHO functional class of I/II at baseline, the treatment effect was 25.45 m (95% CI: -8.85 m to 59.75 m).

Treatment with riociguat also resulted in a consistent improvement across the secondary efficacy variables PVR, NT-proBNP, WHO functional class, time to clinical worsening, Borg CR 10 Scale, EQ-5D questionnaire, and LPH questionnaire. Secondary endpoints without a statistically significant improvement for the riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg group compared to placebo were time to clinical worsening ( $p=0.1724$ ) and the LPH questionnaire total score ( $p=0.1220$ ). In the predefined order for the hierarchical testing, the Borg CR 10 Scale and the EQ-5D questionnaire utility score were below time to clinical worsening. Thus, in addition to 6MWD, statistical significance was formally achieved for PVR, NT-proBNP, and WHO functional class. The results for secondary efficacy variables in the per protocol population were consistent with those for the ITT population. The only difference was for the LPH total score, where there was a nominally significant improvement in the per protocol population ( $p=0.0353$ ).

**Secondary efficacy variables: Summary of hierarchical testing - ITT analysis set**

Variable	Stratified Wilcoxon test p-value*	Statistically significant	Statistically significant in hierarchical testing
6MWD (primary)	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>	Yes	Yes
PVR	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>	Yes	Yes
NT-proBNP	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>	Yes	Yes
WHO functional class	<b>0.0026</b>	Yes	Yes
Clinical worsening	<b>0.1724<sup>a</sup></b>	No	No
Borg CR 10 scale <sup>b</sup>	<b>0.0035</b>	Yes	No
EQ-5D questionnaire	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>	Yes	No
LPH questionnaire	<b>0.1220</b>	No	No

\* The normality of ANCOVA residuals has been rejected for all secondary efficacy variables where ANCOVA was applied (PVR, NT-proBNP, EQ5D and LPH), so that the stratified Wilcoxon test was used as primary analysis.

<sup>a</sup> Stratified log-rank test p-value for time to clinical worsening.

<sup>b</sup> Subjects enrolled before amendment 3 used the Modified Borg Dyspnoea Scale.

Pre-specified exploratory analyses of additional hemodynamic parameters (e.g. cardiac index, pulmonary artery pressure) were consistent with the favorable findings for the secondary efficacy variable PVR. The proportion of subjects with a cardiac index of at least 2.5 L/min/m<sup>2</sup> increased in the riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg group from 32.3% at baseline to 58.1% at week 16 (last observation until week 16), while a slight decrease from 28.9% to 27.7% was observed in the placebo group over the same time period. In the riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg group, reductions were observed in pulmonary artery pressures (PAPmean); changes in the placebo group were generally small and in the opposite direction to those in the active treatment group.

### **Safety evaluation**

The results of the safety analyses of this placebo-controlled study indicate that riociguat has an acceptable safety profile when given as an individual dose titration regimen (riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg group) for a duration of 16 weeks in subjects with inoperable or postoperative CTEPH.

The overall frequency of treatment-emergent AEs (TEAEs) was similar in both treatment groups (91.9% of subjects in the riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg group and 86.4% subjects in the placebo group).

The most frequent TEAEs ( $\geq 10\%$  of subjects in either treatment group) were headache (riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg 24.3%, placebo 13.6%), dizziness (22.5%, 12.5%), dyspepsia (17.9%, 8.0%), peripheral edema (15.6%, 20.5%), nasopharyngitis (15.0%, 9.1%), nausea (11.0%, 8.0%), cough (5.2%, 18.2%), and dyspnea (4.6%, 13.6%). TEAEs reported at least 5% more frequently in the riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg group than in the placebo group were headache (24.9% vs. 13.6%), dizziness (22.5% vs. 12.5%), dyspepsia (17.9% vs. 8.0%), nasopharyngitis (15.0% vs. 9.1%), diarrhea (9.8% vs. 4.5%), vomiting (9.8% vs. 3.4%), and hypotension (9.2% vs. 3.4%).

Overall, the majority of TEAEs were assessed as either mild or moderate in severity. Severe TEAEs were reported for 11.0% of subjects in the riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg group and 11.4% of subjects in the placebo group.

Death occurred less frequently in subjects treated with riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg (2 subjects, 1.2%) than in those who received placebo (3 subjects, 3.4%). Serious TEAEs were reported more frequently in the riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg group (34 subjects, 19.7%) than in the placebo group (14 subjects, 15.9%). TEAEs leading to discontinuation occurred at a similar frequency in both treatment groups (riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg 5 subjects, 2.9%; placebo 2 subjects, 2.3%).

Suspected TEAEs of syncope (preferred terms of “presyncope” or “syncope”) were reported at low and comparable frequencies in both treatment groups (riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg 6 subjects, 3.5%; placebo 3 subjects, 3.4%); the preferred term “syncope” was reported for 4 (2.3%) subjects in the riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg group and 3 (3.4%) subjects in the placebo group. Hypotension or orthostatic hypotension was reported as a TEAE more frequently in the riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg group (17 subjects, 9.8%) than in the placebo group (3 subjects, 3.4%). Blood pressure decreased was reported as a TEAE in a comparable frequency of subjects in

each treatment group (3 subjects [1.7%] vs. 1 subject [1.1%]). With one exception, all cases of hypotension, orthostatic hypotension, and blood pressure decreased were rated by the investigator as of mild or moderate intensity. No subjects were withdrawn from study medication due to syncope or hypotension.

In analyses of laboratory safety parameters, trends to lower mean values for hemoglobin, hematocrit, and urate were observed in the riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg group as compared to the placebo group. For all other laboratory safety parameters, mean and median changes between baseline and subsequent study visits were small and comparable between the treatment groups.

Treatment-emergent values below the lower limit of normal for erythrocytes, hematocrit, hemoglobin, leukocytes, lymphocytes, and neutrophils were observed more frequently in the riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg group than in the placebo group. Anemia was reported as a TEAE more frequently on riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg (6 subjects, 3.5%) than on placebo (1 subject, 1.1%), but the treatment groups did not differ with regard to use of new concomitant antianemia medication (4.6% vs. 2.3% of subjects) or blood substitutes and perfusion solutions (15.0% vs. 17.0%) or with regard to the frequency of treatment-emergent bleeding events (13.3% vs. 11.4%). There were no differences between the treatment groups with regard to activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT) or international normalized ratio (INR).

Increases in clinical chemistry parameters (in particular liver function parameters, cystatin C, lipase, urate, and urea) were less frequent in the riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg group than in the placebo group. Treatment-emergent values below the lower limit of normal for calcium were reported only in the riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg group (4 subjects, 11.1%). Treatment-emergent phosphate values below the lower limit of normal were more frequent in the riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg group (5 subjects, 12.8%) than in the placebo group (1 subject, 4.2%). However, these findings for calcium and phosphate should be treated with caution because the parameters were measured in relatively few subjects in each group.

As expected with the known pharmacological mechanism of action of riociguat, mean SBP decreased to a greater extent in the riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg group than in the placebo group. SBP values lower than 95 mmHg were measured more frequently in the riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg group than in the placebo group at all visits during the 16-week treatment phase, but no trend to a higher frequency of such values was observed over time in the riociguat 1.0-2.5 mg group. Riociguat also decreased diastolic blood pressure, but the effect was less pronounced than for SBP. No change in heart rate was observed after 16 weeks of treatment with riociguat.

No clinically relevant changes in body weight, ECG parameters, or blood gas analysis parameters were identified.

### **Overall conclusions**

Administration of riociguat in a dosage of 1.0-2.5 mg as an individual dose titration regimen results in a statistically significant and clinically meaningful improvement in 6MWD as compared to placebo in subjects with inoperable or postoperative CTEPH after 16 weeks.

In addition, consistent with the effects observed for the 6MWD, riociguat has superior effects over placebo on the predefined secondary efficacy variables PVR, NT-proBNP, and WHO functional class that are statistically significant and clinically relevant.

In the subject population under investigation, riociguat is safe and well tolerated as an individual dose titration regimen.

## Investigational Site List

Marketing Authorization Holder in Germany	
<b>Name</b>	Bayer Vital GmbH
<b>Postal Address</b>	D-51368 Leverkusen Germany
Sponsor in Germany (if applicable)	
<b>Legal Entity Name</b>	Bayer Pharma AG
<b>Postal Address</b>	D-51368 Leverkusen Germany

List of Investigational Sites						
No	Investigator Name	Facility Name	Street	Zip code	City	Country
1	Dr. E R Perna	Inst. de Cardiología de Corrientes Juana Francisca Cabral	Simón Bolivar 1334 Corrientes	3400	Corrientes	Argentina
2	Prof. Dr. I Lang	Allgemeines Krankenhaus der Stadt Wien Universitätskliniken	Univ.-Klinik für Innere Medizin II Klinische Abteilung für Kardiologie Währinger Gürtel 18-20	1090	Wien	Austria
3	Prof. Dr. C Kähler	Universitätsklinikum Innsbruck	Univ. Klinik für Innere Medizin I Anichstraße 35	6020	Innsbruck	Austria
4	Dr T Williams	The Alfred Hospital	Department of Allergy, Immunology & Respiratory Medicine Commercial Road	3181	PRAHRAN	Australia
5	Prof. Dr. M DELCROIX	UZ Leuven Gasthuisberg	Dienst Interne Geneeskunde Herestraat 49	3000	LEUVEN	Belgium
6	Prof. Dr. J VACHIERY	Hôpital Erasme/Erasmus Ziekenhuis	Service de Cardiologie/Dienst Cardiologie Route de Lennik 808 Lenniksebaan	1070	BRUXELLES - BRUSSEL	Belgium
7	Dr J S Arakaki	UNIFESP/EPM	Disciplina de Pneumologia Rua Napoleão de Barros, 715 3 andar	04024-002	São Paulo	Brazil
8	Dr D Waetge	Hosp. Univ. Clementino Fraga Filho - Univ. do Rio	SME PNEUMO Rua Prof. Rodolpho Paulo Rocco, 244 - 5 andar - sala	21941-900	Rio de Janeiro	Brazil

		de Janeiro	5F			
9	Dr G M Meyer	Santa Casa de Misericordia de Porto Alegre	Hospital Dom Vicente Scherer Serviço de Hipertensão Pulmonar Av. Independência, 155 - 6 andar	90020 090	Porto Alegre	Brazil
10	Dr. J Granton	Toronto General Hospital-University Health Network	Pulmonary Hypertension Clinic 10 North 585 University Avenue	M5G 2N2	Toronto	Canada
11	Dr. A Hirsch	Sir Mortimer B. Davis Jewish General Hospital	3755 Ch. Cote Ste-Catherine Suite E-0010	H3T 1E2	Montreal	Canada
12	Dr. D Helmersen	Peter Lougheed Centre	3500- 26th Avenue NE Room 1100	T1Y 6J4	Calgary	Canada
13	Dr. S Mehta	London Health Sciences Centre	Lawson Clinical Research Services South Street Hospital 373 Hill Street Education Bldg./Room 131	N6A 4G5	London	Canada
14	Dr. L Mielniczuk	University of Ottawa Heart Institute	40 Ruskin Street Room H-1295	K1Y 4W7	Ottawa	Canada
15	Prof. Dr. R Speich	Universitätsspital Zürich	Klinik und Poliklinik für Innere Medizin Departement Innere Medizin Rämistrasse 100	8091	Zürich	Switzerland
16	Asso. Prof Z Liu	Cardiovascular Institute and Fuwai Hospital, CAMS & PUMC	Pulmonary vascular Disease Dept. No.167, North Li-Shi road, Xi Cheng District,	100037	Beijing	China
17	Prof C Wang	Respiratory Diseases Institute, Beijing Chaoyang Hospital	Respiratory Dept. 8 Bai Jiazhuang Road, Chaoyang District	100020	Beijing	China
18	Prof Z Cheng	the Affiliated Hosp of med college Qingdao Uni	Pulmonary Dept. No.59 Haier Road	266003	Qingdao	China
19	Prof. Z Jing	Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital, Tongji University	Department of Pulmonary Circulation, No. 507 Zhengmin Road,	200433	Shanghai	China
20	Prof L Pan	Beijing Shijitan Hospital	Department of Respiratory Medicine, No. 10, Tieyi Road, Haidian District,	100038	Beijing	China
21	Dr. P Jansa	Vseobecná fakultní nemocnice	II. Interní Klinika U nemocnice 2	12808	Praha 2	Czech Republic
22	Prof. Dr. A H Ghofrani	Universitätsklinikum Giessen und Marburg	Standort Giessen, Medizinische Klinik II Ambulanz für Pulmonale Hypertonie / Klinische	35392	Gießen	Germany

			Studien Klinikstrasse 33			
23	Prof. Dr. M M Hoepfer	Kliniken der Medizinischen Hochschule Hannover	Klinik für Pneumologie Carl-Neuberg-Str. 1	30625	Hannover	Germany
24	PD Dr. C Neurohr	LMU Klinikum der Universität München - Großhadern	Medizinische Klinik und Poliklinik V Schwerpunkt Pneumologie Marchioninistrasse 15	81377	München	Germany
25	Prof. Dr. H Wilkens	Universitätskliniken des Saarlandes	Klinik für Innere Medizin V - Pneumologie, Allergologie, Beatmungs- und Umweltmedizin Kirrberger Straße 1	66421	Homburg	Germany
26	Prof. Dr. G Höffken	Medizinische Fakultät Carl Gustav Carus	Universitätsklinikum Carl Gustav Carus Medizinische Klinik I, Abt. Pneumologie, Haus 81 Fetscherstraße 74	01307	Dresden	Germany
27	Prof. Dr. S Rosenkranz	Universitätsklinikum Köln	Klinik III für Innere Medizin Kardiologie, Pneumologie, Angiologie und internistische Intensivmedizin Kerpener Straße 62	50924	Köln	Germany
28	Prof. Dr. H Wirtz	Universitätsklinikum Leipzig AöR	Zentrum für Innere Medizin Medizinische Klinik und Poliklinik I Pneumologie Liebigstr. 20	04103	Leipzig	Germany
29	Prof. Dr. R Ewert	Klinikum der Ernst- Moritz-Armdt- Universität	Klinik und Poliklinik für Innere Medizin B Friedrich-Löffler-Straße 23a	17475	Greifswald	Germany
30	Prof. Dr. E Grünig	Thoraxklinik Heidelberg	Zentrum für pulmonale Hypertonie Amalienstr. 5	69126	Heidelber g	Germany
31	Dr. med. H Klose	Universitätsklinikum Hamburg Eppendorf (UKE)	Klinik und Poliklinik für Innere Medizin Pneumologie / Intensivstation Martinistr. 52	20251	Hamburg	Germany
32	Dr. A Filusch	Universitätsklinikum Heidelberg	Medizinische Universitätsklinik Abteilung Innere Medizin III Kardiologie, Angiologie und Pneumologie Im Neuenheimer Feld 410	69120	Heidelber g	Germany
33	Dr. M Held	Missionsärztliche Klinik	Innere Medizin Salvatorstr. 7	97074	Würzburg	Germany
34	Dr J Nielsen Kudsk	Aarhus Universitetshospital	Kardiologisk Afd. Brendstrupgaardsvej	8200	Aarhus N	Denmark

35	Dr. M Gómez Sánchez	Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre	Servicio de Cardiología. Unidad de Hipertensión Pulmonar-Edif. General, 5ª Planta Avda. Córdoba, s/n	28041	Madrid	Spain
36	Dr. J Barberà	Hospital Clínic i Provincial de Barcelona	Servicio de Neumología Sótano puerta 4B C/ Villarroel, 170	08036	Barcelona	Spain
37	Prof. G Simonneau	Hopital Antoine Beclere	Service de Pneumologie 157, rue de la Porte de Trivaux F-92141 Clamart Cedex	92141	Clamart Cedex	France
38	Dr I Frachon	CHU Brest La Cavale Blanche	Service de Médecine Nucléaire CHU Brest La Cavale Blanche F-29609 Brest Cedex (France)	F-29609	BREST	France
39	Pr A Chaouat	Hopital Brabois	Rue de Morvan	F-54500	VANDOEUVRE LES NANCY	France
40	Dr P De Groote	CHRU Hôpital Cardiologique	CHRU Lille Hôpital Cardiologique Service de cardiologie C Bd du Prof Leclercq	59037	Lille Cedex	France
41	Dr E BERGOT	CHRU de Caen	Hôpital de la côte de Nacre Service de pneumologie Avenue de la côte de nâcre	14033	Caen	France
42	Pr F BAUER	Centre hospitalier Charles Nicolle	Service de cardiologie 1 rue de Germont 76031 Rouen	76031	Rouen	France
43	Dr C Dromer	Hôpital Cardiologique - Pessac	C.H.U de Bordeaux - Groupe Hospitalier Sud Hôpital du Haut Leveque - Hôpital Cardiologique Service de chirurgie thoracique Avenue Magellan	33604	PESSAC	France
44	Pr C MARQUETTE	Hôpital Pasteur - Nice	Hôpital Pasteur 30, avenue de la Voie Romaine	06200	NICE	France
45	Dr J Pepke Zaba	Papworth Hospital	Papworth Everard Cambridge	CB23 3RE	Cambridge	United Kingdom
46	Professor A Peacock	Golden Jubilee National Hospital	National Waiting Times Centre Board, Golden Jubilee National Hospital Agamemnon Street Clydebank	G81 4DY	Glasgow	United Kingdom

47	Dr L Howard	Hammersmith Hospital	Sir John McMichael Centre for Clinical Research Hammersmith Hospital Du Cane Road	W12 0HS	London	United Kingdom
48	Dr. A D'Armini	IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo	Cardiochirurgia Dip. Chirurgia Generale e Trapianti d'Organo Piazzale Golgi, 19	27100	Pavia	Italy
49	Dr. Y Fukumoto	Tohoku University Hospital	Cardiovascular Medicine 1-1 Seiryō-cho Aoba-ku	980- 8574	Sendai	Japan
50	Dr. N Tanabe	Chiba University Hospital	Respiratory Tract Medicine 1-8-1, Inohana, Chuo-ku	260- 8677	Chiba	Japan
51	Dr. M Sano	Keio University Hospital	Cardiology 35, Shinano-machi	160- 8582	Shinjuku- ku	Japan
52	Dr. M Hatano	University of Tokyo Hospital	Cardiovascular Medicine 7-3-1, Hongo	113- 8655	Bunkyo-ku	Japan
53	Dr. Y Takeda	Nagoya City University Hospital	Cardiology 1 Kawasumi, Mizuho-cho, Mizuho-ku	467- 8602	Nagoya	Japan
54	Dr. M Sakuma	National Cerebral and Cardiovascular Center	Cardiology 5-7-1 Fujishirodai	565- 8565	Suita	Japan
55	Dr. T Higo	Kyushu University Hospital	Cardiovascular Medicine 3-1-1, Maidashi, Higashi-ku	812- 8582	Fukuoka	Japan
56	Dr. T Satoh	Kyorin University Hospital	Cardiology 6-20-2 Shinkawa	181- 8611	Mitaka	Japan
57	Dr. M Ohe	Chigasaki Tokushukai General Hospital	Respiratory Medicine 1-5-1 Tsujidokandai Fujisawa	253- 0052	Chigasaki	Japan
58	Dr. M Owa	Suwa Red Cross Hospital	Cardiology 5-11-50 Kogandori	392- 8510	Suwa	Japan
59	Dr. O Okazaki	National Center for Global Health and Medicine Hospital	Cardiology 1-21-1 Toyama	162- 8655	Shinjuku- ku	Japan
60	Dr. M Ajioka	Tosei General Hospital	Cardiology 160 Nishioiwake-cho	489- 8642	Seto	Japan
61	Dr. M Iwabuchi	Kokura Memorial Hospital	Cardiovascular Internal Medicine 3-2-1 Asano Kokura Kita-ku	802- 0001	Kitakyushu	Japan
62	Dr. M Takata	Komatsu Municipal Hospital	Internal Medicine 60 Ho Mukaimotoorimachi	923- 0961	Komatsu	Japan
63	Dr. Y Akashi	St. Marianna University of School Medicine Hospital	Division Of Cardiovascular Disease 2-16-1 Sugao Miyamae-ku	216- 8511	Kawasaki	Japan
64	S Lee	Asan Medical Center	Department of pulmonology 388-1, Pungnap-2-dong, Songpa-gu	138- 736	Seoul	Korea, Republic Of
65	H Kim	Samsung Medical Center	Samsung Medical Center 50 Irwon-dong Gangnam-gu	135- 710	Seoul	Korea, Republic Of

66	Dr. C Jerjés Sánchez Díaz	Unidad de Investigación Clínica en Medicina	Despacho 524 Av. La Clínica 2520 Colonia Sertoma	64020	Monterrey	Mexico
67	Dr. U Chavarría Martínez	Hospital Universitario "José Eleuterio González"	CEPREP (Centro de Prevención y Rehabilitación de Enfermedades Pulmonares Crónicas) Av. Madero y Gonzalitos s/n Col. Mitras Centro	64460	Monterrey	Mexico
68	Dr. M A Alcocer Gamba	Instituto del Corazón de Querétaro	Prolongación Privada de Ignacio Zaragoza No 16B, Col Centro	38000	Querétaro	Mexico
69	Dr. T R Pulido Zamudio	Instituto Nacional de Cardiología "Ignacio Chávez"	CardioNeumo Juan Badiano no.1 Col. Seccion XVI Delegacion Tlalpan	14080	Mexico D.F.	Mexico
70	Dr. A Boonstra	Vrije Universiteit Medisch Centrum	De Boelelaan 1117	1081 HV	AMSTERDAM	Netherlands
71	Prof. A Torbicki	Europejskie Centrum Zdrowia Otwock, Szpital im. F. Chopina	Oddział Kardiologii ul. Borowa 14/18	05-400	Otwock	Poland
72	Prof. P Podolec	Szpital Specjalistyczny im. Jana Pawła II	Oddział Kliniczny Chorob Serca i Naczyn ul. Pradnicka 80	31-202	Krakow	Poland
73	Dr. G Castro	Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra	Serviço de Cardiologia Praceta Mota Pinto	3000-075	Coimbra	Portugal
74	Dr. O Moiseeva	Federal center of heart, blood n.a. V.A. Almazov	Akkuratova street 2 St. Petersburg	197341	St. Petersburg	Russia
75	Dr. A Chernyavsky	State Research Institute Of Circulation Pathology Rusmedtech	Federal State Institution Academician E.N.Meshalkin 15 str. Rechkunovskaya	630055	Novosibirsk	Russia
76	Prof. I Simkova	Narodny ustav srdcovych a cievnych chorob, a.s	Pod Krasnou Horkou 1	833 48	Bratislava 37	Slovakia
77	Prof. Dr. G Karabiyikoglu	Ankara Universitesi Tip Fakultesi	Gogus Hastaliklari Anabilim Dali Cebeci		Ankara	Turkey
78	Prof. Dr. N Mogulkoc	Ege Universitesi Tip Fakultesi	Hastanesi Gogus Hastaliklari Anabilim Dali Department of Chest Diseases Bornova		Izmir	Turkey
79	Dr. G Okumus	Istanbul Universitesi Istanbul Tip Fakultesi	Gogus Hastaliklari Anabilim Dali Capa	34-390	Istanbul	Turkey

80	Dr Y Lin	National Taiwan University Hospital	National Taiwan University Hospital No 7 Chung-Shan South Road,	10016	Taipei	Taiwan
81	Dr. R Allen	University of California Davis Medical Center	2315 Stockton Boulevard Room S206	95817	Sacramento	United States
82	Dr. N Sood	Ohio State University Medical Center	2600 The Martha Morehouse Pavilion 2050 Kenny Road	43221	Columbus	United States
83	Dr. S Chaparro	University of Miami Hospital	University of Miami Health System 1400 Northwest 12th Avenue	33136	Miami	United States
84	Dr. F Torres	University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center	5939 Harry Hines Blvd. POB II, Suite 200	75390	Dallas	United States
85	Dr. G Heresi	The Cleveland Clinic	Desk A-90 9500 Euclid Avenue	44195	Cleveland	United States
86	Dr. A L Zaiman	Johns Hopkins University	1830 E. Monument Street 5th Floor	21205	Baltimore	United States
87	Dr. K Kerr	Univ. of California San Diego - La Jolla	Pulmonary & Critical Care Division 9444 Medical Center Drive	92093	La Jolla	United States
88	Dr. H W Farber	Boston Medical Center	Pulmonary / Allergy / Asthma Doctors Office Building 720 Harrison Avenue 4th Floor	02118	Boston	United States
89	Dr. S Hansdottir	University of Iowa Hospitals & Clinics	T411 GH 200 Hawkins Drive	52242	Iowa City	United States

## Product Identification Information

<b>Product Type</b>	Drug
<b>US Brand/Trade Name(s)</b>	Adempas
<b>Brand/Trade Name(s) ex-US</b>	
<b>Generic Name</b>	Riociguat
<b>Main Product Company Code</b>	BAY63-2521
<b>Other Company Code(s)</b>	
<b>Chemical Description</b>	IUPAC Name: Methyl N-[4,6-Diamino-2-[1-[(2-fluorophenyl)methyl]-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-b]pyridin-3-yl]-5-pyrimidinyl]-N-methyl-carbamate
<b>Other Product Aliases</b>	

Date of last Update/Change:

04 Nov 2013