

Search life-sciences literature (Over 39 million articles, preprints and more)

Advanced search

Comparison Of iNfliximab and ciclosporin in STeroid Resistant Ulcerative Colitis: pragmatic randomised Trial a economic evaluation (CONSTRUCT).

Williams JG¹, Alam MF² , Alrubaiy L¹ , Clement C¹ , Cohen D³, Grey M¹, Hilton M⁴, Hutchings HA¹ , Longo M², Morgan JM¹, Rapport FL¹ , Seagrove AC¹, Watkins A¹ 

Author information ▶

Health Technology Assessment (Winchester, England), 01 Jun 2016, 20(44):1-320

DOI: 10.3310/hta20440 PMID: 27329657

Books & documents Free to read

Share this article    

Abstract

Free full text ▼

Full text links

Citations & impact

Similar Articles

Funding

Abstract

Background

The efficacy of infliximab and ciclosporin in treating severe ulcerative colitis (UC) is proven, but there has been comparative evaluation of effectiveness.

Objective

To compare the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of infliximab and ciclosporin in treating steroid-resistant severe UC.

Method

Between May 2010 and February 2013 we recruited 270 participants from 52 hospitals in England, Scotland and Wales to an open-label parallel-group, pragmatic randomised trial. Consented patients admitted with severe UC completed baseline quality-of-life questionnaires before receiving intravenous hydrocortisone. If they failed to respond within about 5 days, and met other inclusion criteria, we invited them to participate and used a web-adaptive randomisation algorithm to allocate them in equal proportions between 5 mg/kg of intravenous infliximab at 0, 2 and 6 weeks or 2 mg/kg/day of intravenous ciclosporin for 7 days followed by 5.5 mg/kg/day of oral ciclosporin until 12 weeks from randomisation. Further treatment was at the discretion of physicians responsible for clinical management. The primary outcome was quality-adjusted survival (QAS): the area under the curve (AUC) of SCC derived from Crohn's and Ulcerative Colitis Questionnaires completed by participants at 3 and 6 months, and 12 months over 1-3 years, more frequently after surgery. Secondary outcomes collected simultaneously included European Quality of Life-5 Dimensions (EQ-5D) scores and NHS resource use to estimate cost-effectiveness. Blinding was possible only for data analysts. We interviewed 20 trial participants and 23 participating professionals. Full data collection finished in March 2014. Most participants consented to complete annual questionnaires and to analyse their routinely collected health data over 10 years.

Results

The 135 participants in each group were well matched at baseline. In 121 participants analysed in each group, we found no significant difference between infliximab and ciclosporin in QAS [mean difference in AUC/day 0.0297 favouring ciclosporin, 95% confidence interval (CI) -0.0088 to 0.0682; $p = 0.129$]; EQ-5D scores (quality-adjusted year mean difference 0.021 favouring ciclosporin, 95% CI -0.032 to 0.096; $p = 0.350$); Short Form questionnaire

0.788); participants with serious adverse reactions (OR 0.660 favouring ciclosporin, 95% CI 0.282 to 1.546; p = 0.007); participants with serious adverse events (event ratio 1.075 favouring infliximab, 95% CI 0.603 to 1.917; p = 0.807); participants with serious adverse events (OR 0.999 favouring infliximab, 95% CI 0.473 to 2.114; p = 0.998); death of three who died received infliximab; p = 0.247) or concomitant use of immunosuppressants. The lower cost of ciclosporin led to lower total NHS costs (mean difference -£5632, 95% CI -£8305 to -£2773; p < 0.001). Interview highlighted the debilitating effect of UC; participants were more positive about infliximab than ciclosporin. Professionals reported advantages and disadvantages with both drugs, but nurses disliked the intravenous ciclosporin.

Conclusions

Total cost to the NHS was considerably higher for infliximab than ciclosporin. Nevertheless, there was no significant difference between the two drugs in clinical effectiveness, colectomy rates, incidence of SAEs or reactions, or mortality, when measured 1-3 years post treatment. To assess long-term outcome participants will be followed 10 years post randomisation, using questionnaires and routinely collected data. Further studies will be needed to evaluate the efficacy and effectiveness of new anti-tumour necrosis factor drugs and formulations of ciclosporin.

Trial registration

Current Controlled Trials ISRCTN22663589.

Funding

This project was funded by the NIHR Health Technology Assessment programme and will be published in full in Health Technology Assessment; Vol. 20, No. 44. See the NIHR Journals Library website for further project information.

Free full text



Comparison Of infliximab and ciclosporin in Steroid Resistant Ulcerative Colitis: pragmatic randomised Trial and economic evaluation (CONSTRUCT).

[Show details ▶](#)

[Contents ▶](#)

[< Prev](#)

[Next >](#)

Other titles in this collection

Health Technology Assessment

Acknowledgements

Contributions of authors

All 13 authors contributed to design and data collection or analysis and interpretation, commented on successive drafts and approved the version to be published. More specifically:

John G Williams (Professor of Health Services Research and Consultant Gastroenterologist) was principal applicant and chief investigator of the trial. He wrote the first draft and co-ordinated the editing of the final report.

M Fasihul Alam (Health Economist and Modeller) contributed to analysing and interpreting the economic data and drafting this report, and led designing the cost-effectiveness modelling.

Laith Alrubaiy (Clinical Academic Trainee in Gastroenterology) contributed to the validation of the CUCQ and CUCQ+, screening and analysing AEs and drafting this report, in which he contributed in particular the first draft of the background and literature review.

Clare Clement (Qualitative Research Officer) collected and analysed qualitative data; she led the writing of the professional views.

Mike Hilton (service user) contributed to the design of the trial and drafting this report.

Hayley A Hutchings (Associate Professor) developed and validated the CUCQ and CUCQ+ analysis, led the MATRICS results and contributed to interpreting data and drafting this report.

Mirella Longo (Health Economist) contributed to analysing and interpreting the economic data, and drafting trial report.

Jayne M Morgan (Information Scientist) was co-applicant and led the development and operational use of the data management system, GeneCIS.

Frances L Rapport (Professor of Qualitative Health Research) was coapplicant and the PI for the qualitative research.

Anne C Seagrove (Trial Manager/Research Officer) was co-applicant and trial manager; she contributed to developing and implementing the design and management of the trial. She also collected and analysed qualitative data, led the writing of the participant views and contributed to drafting and co-ordinating this report.

Alan Watkins (Senior Trials Statistician) was the trial statistician; he undertook primary statistical analysis and contributed to interpreting data and drafting this report.

Other acknowledgements

We would like to thank the external members of the TSC for their advice and support for the project: Professor Chris Hawkey, chairperson (University of Nottingham), Ms Laura Hawes (service user), Dr Stephen Grainger (Barking, Havering and Redbridge University Hospitals NHS Trust), Dr John Mansfield (Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust) Professor Jon Rhodes (University of Liverpool) and Dr Simon Travis (Oxford Radcliffe Hospitals NHS Trust). Our thanks go also to the DMEC comprising Professor Stirling Bryan (University of British Columbia), Mr Peter Canham (service user), Professor Tim Peters (University of Bristol), Dr Chris Prober (University of Liverpool) and Professor Phil Routledge (Cardiff University).

We would like to thank a number of people who helped towards the successful completion of the study:

The NIHR HTA programme for funding CONSTRUCT and their staff for generous and patient support. The study was adopted by the NIHR research portfolio.

The study participants, giving so generously of their time and sharing their experiences with us, especially for completing questionnaires for up to 3.5 years.

Ian Russell gave methodological support until his retirement in March 2015.

Claire Baggridge, Peter Canham and Laura Hawes provided useful advice from a service user perspective. Peter Canham and Laura Hawes also played a helpful role on the TSC and DMEC.

The PIs and the research nurses who played an invaluable role in helping to identify, recruit, randomise participants and collect data; their clinical colleagues for referrals to the study and pharmacy personnel who helped dispense trial treatment. In addition, the research support teams who provided helpful assistance throughout the study.

PI	Trust/health board
Dr Tariq Ahmad	Royal Devon and Exeter Foundation Trust
Dr Navneet Alhuwalia	Stockport NHS Foundation Trust
Dr Yeng Ang and Dr Neeraj Prasad	Wrightington, Wigan and Leigh NHS Foundation Trust
Dr Anurag Argawal	Doncaster and Bassetlaw Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
Dr Ian Arnott	NHS Lothian
Dr Bijay Baburajan	Maidstone and Tunbridge Wells NHS Trust
Dr Jamie Barbour	Gateshead Health NHS Foundation Trust
Dr P Basumani and Dr Yousif Mohamed	Rotherham NHS Foundation Trust
Dr Conrad Beckett	Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
Dr Stuart Bloom	University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
Dr Jake Burdsall	Wye Valley NHS Trust
Dr Faheem Butt	South Tyneside NHS Foundation Trust
Dr Simon Campbell	Central Manchester University Hospitals NHS Trust
Dr Tim Card and Dr A Shonde	Sherwood Forest Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

PI	Trust/health board
Dr Fraser Cummings	University Hospital Southampton NHS Trust
Dr Howard Curtis	South London Healthcare NHS Trust
Dr Helen Dallal and Dr Arvind Ramadas	South Tees Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

a Deceased.

Dr John Mansfield (Newcastle upon Tyne) for his advice and support in acquiring adoption of CONSTRUCT by t NIHR Research Portfolio.

Mr Hugh Barr (Gloucester), Mr John Beynon (Abertawe Bro Morgannwg University Health Board), Dr Keith Bodger (University of Liverpool), Mr Martyn Evans (Abertawe Bro Morgannwg University Health Board) and Dr Chris Venables (retired surgeon, Newcastle upon Tyne) for their help with the costing of surgery.

Dr Sam Groves (University of South Wales) and Mr Morro Touray (University of South Wales) for their help with economic data analysis.

Gaynor Demery, Jane Draper, Emma Riordan and Judy Williams (Swansea University Medical School) who provided administrative support to the trial.

Ashley Akbari (Information and Data Analyst/Research Officer, Swansea University Medical School) and Dr Kyr Thorne (Data Analyst/Research Officer, Swansea University Medical School) who helped develop the data collection tools and the implementation of GeneCIS.

Giles Croft (Clinical Informatics Specialist), Hayley Dickinson (GeneCIS Technical Manager) and Tracy Hughes (Clinical Coding Specialist) supported the use of GeneCIS.

Sarah Wright (Swansea University Medical School) who helped with analysis of the participant interview data.

Dr Stephen Roberts (Swansea University Medical School) for development of the routine data element of the study.

Dr Wai-Yee Cheung (Swansea University Medical School) for her contribution to the design of the study and PROMs.

Dr Daphne Russell (Swansea University Medical School) for her contribution to the design of the study.

Kathy Malinovsky and Leanne Quinn (National Institute for Social Care and Health Research – Clinical Research Centre (NISCHR CRC) who provided helpful guidance in the set up of CONSTRUCT.

Kathie Wareham (Director, Joint Clinical Research Facility, Abertawe Bro Morgannwg University Health Board/Swansea University Medical School) who provided most helpful advice about data quality, study closure and archiving.

Publications and presentations

Williams JG. CONSTRUCT Breaking News. Oral presentation at British Society of Gastroenterology Annual Meeting, 17 June 2014, Manchester, UK.

Seagrove AC, Clement C, Rapport FL, Wright S, Williams JG. Infliximab or Ciclosporin: Patient Views on Treatment and the Impact of Ulcerative Colitis (UC) on Their Lives. Poster presentation at British Society of Gastroenterology Annual Meeting, 17 June 2014, Manchester, UK.

Williams JG. CONSTRUCT. Oral presentation at United European Gastroenterology Week, Vienna, October 2014

Williams JG, Fasihul Alam M, Alrubaiy L, Arnott I, Clement C, Cohen D, *et al.* Infliximab versus ciclosporin for steroid-resistant acute severe ulcerative colitis (CONSTRUCT): a mixed methods, open-label, pragmatic randomised trial [published online ahead of print 22 June 2016]. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2016.

Sponsor

Swansea University agreed to act as sponsor for the research.

Data sharing statement

All available data can be obtained from the corresponding author via e-mail to construct@swansea.ac.uk.

Disclaimers

reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health.

Copyright © Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2016. This work was produced by Williams *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NI Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Included under terms of UK Non-commercial Government License.

ID: NBK368292

[< Prev](#) | [Next >](#)

Full text links

Read article for free, from open access legal sources, via Unpaywall: <https://njl-admin.nihr.ac.uk/document/download/2003425> 

Read article at publisher's site (DOI): [10.3310/hta20440](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta20440) 

Citations & impact

Similar Articles

Funding

Follow us



[News blog](#)



[Technical blog](#)



[Twitter](#)



[YouTube](#)

About

- [About Europe PMC](#)
- [Funders](#)
- [Joining Europe PMC](#)
- [Governance](#)
- [Roadmap](#)
- [Outreach](#)

Tools

- [Tools overview](#)
- [ORCID article claiming](#)
- [Journal list](#)
- [Grant finder](#)
- [External links service](#)
- [RSS feeds](#)
- [Annotations](#)
- [Annotations submission service](#)

Developers

- [Developer resources](#)
- [Articles RESTful API](#)
- [Grants RESTful API](#)
- [API case studies](#)
- [SOAP web service](#)
- [Annotations API](#)
- [OAI service](#)
- [Bulk downloads](#)
- [Developers Forum](#)

Help

- [Help using Europe PMC](#)
- [Search syntax](#)
- [Contact us](#)

Let us know how we are doing.

Europe PMC is part of the ELIXIR infrastructure

Europe PMC is an ELIXIR Core Data Resource [Learn more >](#)

Europe PMC is a service of the Europe PMC Funders' Group, in partnership with the European Bioinformatics Institute; and in cooperation with the National Center for Biotechnology Information. [Learn more >](#)
Europe PMC includes content provided to the PMC International archive by participating publishers.

This website requires cookies, and the limited processing of your personal data in order to function. By using the site you are agreeing to this as outlined in our [privacy notice](#) and [cookie policy](#).