Study of Vedolizumab (MLN0002) in Patients With Moderate to Severe Ulcerative Colitis

NCT00783718

Results Preview

Hide All

Participant Flow

Close

Recruitment Details Participants took part in the study at 211 investigative sites worldwide. The Induction Phase contained 2 cohorts. The eligibility criteria for both cohorts were identical. The purpose of Cohort 2 was to provide enough responders to power the Maintenance Phase primary efficacy analysis.

Pre-Assignment Details In Cohort 1, eligible patients who met entry criteria were randomized to treatment with double-blind vedolizumab 300 mg or placebo in a 3:2 ratio. All Cohort 2 patients were treated with open-label vedolizumab. In the Maintenance Phase participants were assigned to treatment groups based on their Induction Phase treatment and response to therapy.

Arm/Group Title	Placebo	Induction Phase: DB Vedolizumab	Induction Phase: OL Vedolizumab	Maintenance Phase: Placebo	Maintenance Phase: Vedolizumab Q8W	Maintenance Phase: Vedolizumab Q4W	Maintenance Phase: Non- responders	Total (Not
Description	In the Induction Phase participants in Cohort 1 were randomized to receive double-blind placebo intravenous infusions at Week 0 and Week 2. Participants continued to receive placebo every 4 weeks from Week 6 through Week 50 during the Maintenance Phase, regardless of treatment response during induction.	In the Induction Phase participants in Cohort 1 were randomized to receive double-blind (DB) vedolizumab 300 mg, administered by	In the Induction Phase participants in Cohort 2 received open-label (OL) vedolizumab 300 mg, administered by intravenous infusion at Week 0	Induction Phase and demonstrated a clinical response	Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and	Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and edemonstrated a clinical response at Week 6 were then	Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase who did not demonstrate a	pùblic) 1 3
Period Title: Induction Phas	se							
Started	149	225	521	0	0	0	0	895
Completed	135	218	485	0	0	0	0	838
Not Completed	14		36	0	0	0	0	57
Reason Not Completed								
Adverse Event	4	0	7	0	0	0	0	11
Protocol Violation		1	6	0	0	0	0	8
Lack of Efficacy		2	14	0	0	0	0	21
Withdrawal by Subject		4	8	0	0	0	0	15
Lost to Follow-up	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
(Not Public)	Not Completed = 14 Total from all reasons = 14	Not Completed = 7 Total from all reasons = 7	Not Completed = 3 Total from all reasons = 36		Not Completed = 0 Total from all reasons = 0	Not Completed = 0 Total from all reasons = 0	Not Completed = 0 Total from all reasons = 0	
Period Title: Maintenance P	hase							
Started	135	0	0	126	122	125	330	838
	155	NOTE: The number of participants to start a Period is not equal to the number who completed previous Period.	NOTE: The number of participants to start a Period is	NOTE : The number of	NOTE : The number of	NOTE: The number of participants to start a Period is not equal to the number who completed previous Period.	NOTE : The number of	
Completed	30	0	0	48	77	84	135	374
Not Completed	105	0	0	78	45	41	195	464
Reason Not Completed								
Adverse Event	12	0	0	15	7	6	16	56
Protocol Violation	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	3
Lack of Efficacy	83	0	0	61	31	33	155	363
Withdrawal by Subject	6	0	0	2	5	2	20	35
Lost to Follow-up	3	0	0	0	2	0	2	7
(Not Public)	Not Completed = 10! Total from all reasons = 105	Not Completed = 0 Total from all reasons = 0	Not Completed = 0 Total from all reasons = 0	Not Completed = 78 Total from all reasons = 78			Not Completed = 195 Total from all reasons = 195	
Baseline Characteristics								
	Arm/Group T	tle Plac	ebo	Induction Phase: DB Ve	edolizumab I	nduction Phase: OL Vedolizur	mab Total	

Arm/Group Title Placebo Arm/Group Description In the Induction Phase participants in Cohort 1 were infusions at Week 0 and Week 2. Participants continued administered by intravenous infusion at Week 0 and Week 50 during the Maintenance Phase, regardless of treatment response during induction.

Induction Phase: DB Vedolizumab In the Induction Phase participants in Cohort 1 were randomized to receive double-blind placebo intravenous randomized to receive double-blind vedolizumab 300 mg, open-label vedolizumab 300 mg, administered by Week 2.

Induction Phase: OL Vedolizumab In the Induction Phase participants in Cohort 2 received intravenous infusion at Week 0 and Week 2.

Overall Number of Baseline Participants 149

Baseline Analysis Population Description Baseline characteristics are provided for the Induction Phase Safety Population, defined as all participants, in both Cohort 1 and Cohort 2, who received any amount of study drug in the Induction Phase (Weeks 0-6), according to the actual study drug received.

Age, Continuous Mean (Standard Deviation) Units: years Age, Customized Measure Type: Number	41.2 (12.50)	40.1 (13.11)	40.1 (13.27)	40.3 (13.09)
Units: participants	35 53 35 96	86 139	214 307	353 542
Measure Type: Number Units: participants ← € €	55142 557	217 8	503 18	862 33
Gender, Male/Female Measure Type: Number Units: participants Fema	ele57	93	220	370
Ma Race/Ethnicity, Customized Measure Type: Number Units: participants	le 92	132	301	525
Whi Bla	te 115 ck 2 an 32 er 0	183 5 36 1	436 5 67 13	734 12 135 14
Race/Ethnicity, Customized Measure Type: Number Units: participants Hispanic or Latir		10	31	46
Not Hispanic or Latir Not reporte Region of Enrollment Measure Type: Number	no 140	211 4	481 9	832 17
Units: participants Austral	lia 7 NOTE: The sum of participants in all Categories for the Measure does not equal the Overall Number of	17 NOTE: The sum of participants in all Categories	29 NOTE : The sum of participants in all Categories	53
Austr Belgiu	Baseline Participants in the Årm/Group. ria 4 m 7	for the Measure does not equal the Overall Number of Baseline Participants in the Arm/Group. 3 14	for the Measure does not equal the Overall Number of Baseline Participants in the Arm/Group. 12 35	19 56
Bulgar Canac Czech Repub Denma	da 16 lic 7	1 14 12 7	3 62 19 5	6 92 38 14
Eston Frant Germar Greec	ce 1 ny 0	4 3 1 1	5 13 16 4	10 17 17 5
Hong Kor Hunga Icelar Ind	ry 2	0 6 1 16	1 8 2 24	1 16 3 58
Irelar Isra Ita Korea, Republic	el 0 Ily 1	0 1 9 10	1 1 11 26	1 2 21 41
. Latv Malays Netherland New Zealar	iia5 ds1	2 2 0 5	0 2 2 6	3 9 3 11
Norw: Polar Russian Federatic Singapo	ay 2 nd 2 on 9	1 6 15 0	6 52 25 1	9 60 49
South Afric Spa Switzerlar	ca 4 in 0 nd 2	5 0 1	10 2 3	19 2 6
Turk: United Kingdo United State Body Weight ^[1]	m2	3 0 64	3 4 127	6 6 238
Mean (Stándarď Deviation) Units: kg Body Mass Index (BMI) ^[1]	72.4 (17.65) [1]Body weight data only available for 148 participants i	72.4 (17.11) n the placebo arm.	74.2 (19.32)	73.4 (18.51)
Mean (Standard Deviation) Units: kg/m^2	24.6 (5.11) [1]BMI data only available for 148 participants in the pla	24.9 (4.85) acebo arm.	25.3 (6.05)	25.1 (5.62)
Duration of Ulcerative Colitis ^[1] Mean (Standard Deviation)				

7.1 (7.25) 7.2 (6.61) 6.9 (6.39) Units: years 6.1 (5.08) [1] Duration of ulcerative colitis data only available for 519 participants in the Induction Phase: OL Vedolizumab arm. **Categorical Duration of Ulcerative Colitis** Measure Type: Number Units: participants 13 38 < 1 year 13 64 ≥1 - < 3 years 44 63 121 228 77 ≥ 3 - < 7 years 39 279 163 ≥ 7 years 53 72 197 322 Missing 0 0 2 2 Baseline Mayo Score [1] Mean (Standard Deviation) Units: units on a scale 8.6 (1.68) 8.5 (1.78) 8.6 (1.76) 8.6 (1.75) [1] The Mayo Score is a standard assessment tool to measure ulcerative colitis disease activity in clinical trials. The index consists of 4 components: two that are patient reported (rectal bleeding and stool fréquency), a global assessment by the physician, and an endoscopic subscore. Each component is scored on a scale from 0 to 3 and the complete score ranges from 1 to 12 (higher scores indicate greater disease activity). **Baseline Disease Activity** Measure Type: Number Units: participants Complete Mayo score < 65 14 25 6 Complete Mayo score of 6 to 8 (inclusive) 70 105 249 424 Complete Mayo score of 9 to 12 (inclusive) 74 258 114 446 Baseline Fecal Calprotectin [1] Mean (Standard Deviation) Units: µg/g 2369.9 (3258.82) 2552.2 (3800.36) 1442.7 (1855.61) 1868.8 (2753.28) [1] Number of participants for whom baseline fecal calprotectin data were available were 139, 213, and 505, respectively Categorical Baseline Fecal Calprotectin Measure Type: Number Units: participants $\leq 250 \, \mu g/g \, 27$ 37 94 158 $> 250 \text{ to } \le 500 \ \mu\text{g/g} \ 20$ 20 82 122 > 500 µg/g 92 156 329 577 Missing 10 12 38 16 Disease Localization Measure Type: Number Units: participants Proctosigmoiditis 22 25 69 116 Left-sided colitis 59 92 188 339 Extensive colitis 18 25 109 66 Pancolitis 50 83 198 331 **Smoking Status** Measure Type: Number Units: participants Current smoker 11 12 32 55 Nonsmoker 88 145 322 555 Former smoker 50 68 167 285 **History of Extraintestinal Manifestations** Measure Type: Number Units: participants Yes 44 74 180 298 No 105 151 341 597 Outcome Measures 1. Primary Outcome Induction Phase: Percentage of Participants With a Clinical Response at Week 6 Title: Clinical response is defined as a reduction in complete Mayo score of ≥ 3 points and $\geq 30\%$ from Baseline with an accompanying decrease in rectal bleeding subscore of ≥ 1 point or absolute rectal bleeding subscore of ≤ 1 point. The Mayo Score is a standard assessment tool to measure ulcerative colitis disease activity in clinical trials. The index consists of 4 components: two that are patient reported (rectal bleeding and stool frequency), a global assessment by the physician, and an endoscopic subscore. Each component is scored on a scale from 0 to 3 and the complete score ranges from 1 to 12 (higher scores indicate greater disease activity). All participants who prematurely discontinued for any reason were considered as not achieving clinical response. Time Frame: Baseline and Week 6 Safety Issue? No Outcome Measure Data Analysis Population Description Induction Study Intent-to-treat (ITT) population which consisted of all randomized patients in Cohort 1 who received any amount of blinded study drug

Arm/Group Title
Arm/Group Description: Arm/Group Description: Participants in Cohort 1 received double-blind placebo intravenous infusions at Week 0 and Week 2 in the Induction Phase.

Number of Participants Analyzed Number (95% Confidence Interval)
Units: percentage of participants

Placebo

Participants in Cohort 1 received double-blind vedolizumab 300 mg, administered by intravenous infusion at Week 0 and Week 2 in the Induction Phase.

225

47.1 (40.6 to 53.6)

Statistical Analysis Overview **Comparison Groups** Placebo, DB Vedolizumab

Comments

The primary comparison of the Induction Phase was tested using the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) chi-square test at a 5% significance level, with stratification according to the stratification factors (concomitant use of oral corticosteroids and previous exposure to tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFa) antagonists or

concomitant immunomodulator [6-mercaptopurine or azathioprine] use).

Non-Inferiority or Equivalence Analysis?

> Comments [Not specified]

Statistical Test of Hypothesis

P-Value < 0.0001 Comments [Not specified]

Method Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel

Comments [Not specified]

Method of Estimation

Estimation Parameter Risk Difference (RD)

Estimated Value 21.7

Confidence Interval (2-Sided) 95% 11.6 to 31.7

Estimation Comments [Not specified]

2. Primary Outcome

Title: Maintenance Phase: Percentage of Participants in Clinical Remission at Week 52

Clinical Remission is defined as a complete Mayo score of ≤ 2 points and no individual subscore > 1 point.

The Mayo Score is a standard assessment tool to measure ulcerative colitis disease activity in clinical trials. The index consists of 4 components: two that are patient reported (rectal bleeding and stool frequency), a global assessment by the physician, and an endoscopic subscore. Each component is scored on a scale from 0 to 3 and the complete score ranges from 1 to 12 (higher scores indicate greater disease activity).

All participants who prematurely discontinued for any reason were considered as not achieving clinical remission.

Time Frame: Week 52 Safety Issue? No

Outcome Measure Data

Analysis Population Description

Maintenance Study ITT Population, defined as all randomized participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and met the protocol definition of clinical response at Week 6, as assessed by the investigator, were randomized, and received any amount of double-blind study drug in the Maintenance Phase.

Vedolizumab Q8W Vedolizumab Q4W Arm/Group Title Placebo

Arm/Group Description: Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and demonstrated a clinical response at Week 6 were randomized to demonstrated a clinical response at Week 6 were randomized to weeks (Q8W) from Week 6 to Week 50.

receive double-blind treatment with placebo every 4 weeks up to Week receive double-blind treatment with vedolizumab 300 mg every 8 50 during the Maintenance Phase. 122

Number of Participants Analyzed 126 Number (95% Confidence Interval) 15.9 (9.5 to 22.3) Units: percentage of participants

41.8 (33.1 to 50.6)

44.8 (36.1 to 53.5)

demonstrated a clinical response at Week 6 were randomized to

receive double-blind treatment with vedolizumab 300 mg every 4

weeks (Q4W) from Week 6 to Week 50.

Statistical Analysis 1

Statistical Analysis Overview

Comparison Groups Placebo, Vedolizumab Q8W

Comments

No

The Hochberg method was applied to control the overall Type I error rate at a 5% significance level. If both P-values were ≤ 0.05, both dose regimens were to be declared significant. If 1 of the P-values for the 2 dose comparisons was > 0.05, the other P-value was to be tested at the 0.025 level and declared significant only if the P-value was ≤ 0.025. If neither dose was declared significant for the primary endpoint, no further testing was to be conducted.

Non-Inferiority or Equivalence Analysis?

Comments

Comments [Not specified]

Statistical Test of Hypothesis P-Value < 0.0001

> P-value is based on the CMH chi-square test, with 3 stratification factors: concomitant use of oral corticosteroids; previous exposure to TNFa antagonists or concomitant immunomodulator use; enrollment in Cohort 1 or 2 in the Induction Phase.

Method Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel

Comments [Not specified]

Method of **Estimation**

Estimation Parameter

Risk Difference (RD)

Estimated Value 26.1

Confidence Interval (2-Sided) 95% 14.9 to 37.2

Estimation Comments [Not specified]

Statistical Analysis 2

Statistical Analysis Overview **Comparison Groups** Placebo, Vedolizumab Q4W

Comments

The Hochberg method was applied to control the overall Type I error rate at a 5% significance level. If both P-values were ≤ 0.05, both dose regimens were to be declared significant. If 1 of the P-values for the 2 dose comparisons was > 0.05, the other P-value was to be tested at the 0.025 level and declared significant only if

the P-value was ≤ 0.025. If neither dose was declared significant for the primary endpoint, no further testing was to be conducted.

Non-Inferiority or Equivalence Analysis?

> [Not specified] Comments

Statistical Test of Hypothesis P-Value

Comments

Estimated Value

P-value is based on the CMH chi-square test, with 3 stratification factors: concomitant use of oral corticosteroids; previous exposure to TNFa antagonists or

concomitant immunomodulator use; enrollment in Cohort 1 or 2 in the Induction Phase.

Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel Method

Comments [Not specified]

Method of **Estimation** **Estimation Parameter** Risk Difference (RD)

29.1

Confidence Interval (2-Sided) 95% 17.9 to 40.4

Estimation Comments [Not specified]

3. Secondary Outcome

Title: Induction Phase: Percentage of Participants in Clinical Remission at Week 6

Clinical Remission is defined as a complete Mayo score of ≤ 2 points and no individual subscore > 1 point.

The Mayo Score is a standard assessment tool to measure ulcerative colitis disease activity in clinical trials. The index consists of 4 components: two that are patient reported (rectal bleeding and stool frequency), a global assessment by Description: The mayo score is a standard assessment took to measure disease activity in distinct datas. The mayo score is a standard assessment took to measure disease activity). All participants who prematurely discontinued for any reason were considered as not achieving clinical remission.

Time Frame: Week 6 Safety Issue? No

Outcome Measure Data

Analysis Population Description Induction Study ITT Population

> Arm/Group Title Placebo DB Vedolizumab

Arm/Group Description: Participants in Cohort 1 received double-blind placebo intravenous infusions at Week 0 and Week 2 in the Participants in Cohort 1 received double-blind vedolizumab 300 mg, administered by intravenous infusion at Week 0 and Week 2 in the Induction Phase

16.9 (12.0 to 21.8)

Number of Participants Analyzed 149 Number (95% Confidence Interval) 5.4 (1.7 to 9.0)

Units: percentage of participants

Statistical Analysis 1

Statistical **Analysis** Overview **Comparison Groups** Placebo, DB Vedolizumab

Comments

To maintain the overall Type I error rate at 5%, the key secondary assessments were performed sequentially (closed sequential method). The first secondary endpoint was to be tested only if the primary comparison was significant and the second key secondary endpoint was to be tested only if the first secondary

endpoint was significant for vedolizumab.

Non-Inferiority No or Equivalence Analysis?

> Comments [Not specified]

Statistical Test of Hypothesis P-Value

Comments

Method

P-value is based on the CMH chi-square test, with stratification according to: 1) concomitant use of oral corticosteroids (yes/no); and 2) previous exposure to TNFa antagonists or concomitant immunomodulator use (ves/no).

Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel

Comments [Not specified]

Method of Estimation

Estimation Parameter

Risk Difference (RD)

Estimated Value 11.5

Confidence Interval (2-Sided) 95%

4.7 to 18.3

Estimation Comments [Not specified]

4. Secondary Outcome

Title: Induction Phase: Percentage of Participants With Mucosal Healing at Week 6 Mucosal healing is defined as a Mayo endoscopic subscore of ≤ 1 point. The Mayo Score is a standard assessment tool to measure ulcerative colitis disease activity in clinical trials. The index consists of 4 components: two that are patient reported (rectal bleeding and stool frequency), a global assessment by the physician, and an endoscopic subscore. Endoscopic findings were scored on a scale from 0 to 3 as follows: Description: 0 = Normal or inactive disease; 1 = Mild disease (erythema, decreased vascular pattern, mild friability); 2 = Moderate disease (marked erythema, lack of vascular pattern, friability, erosions); 3 = Severe disease (spontaneous bleeding, All participants who prematurely discontinued for any reason were considered as not achieving mucosal healing. Time Frame: Safety Issue? No Outcome Measure Data Analysis Population Description Induction Study ITT Population Placebo DB Vedolizumab Arm/Group Title Arm/Group Description: Participants in Cohort 1 received double-blind placebo intravenous infusions at Week 0 and Week 2 in the Participants in Cohort 1 received double-blind vedolizumab 300 mg, administered by intravenous infusion at Week 0 and Week 2 in the Induction Phase. Number of Participants Analyzed 149 225 Number (95% Confidence Interval) 24.8 (17.9 to 31.8) 40.9 (34.5 to 47.3) Units: percentage of participants Statistical Analysis 1 Placebo, DB Vedolizumab Statistical **Comparison Groups** Analysis To maintain the overall Type I error rate at 5%, the key secondary assessments were performed sequentially (closed sequential method). The first secondary Comments Overview endpoint was to be tested only if the primary comparison was significant and the second key secondary endpoint was to be tested only if the first secondary endpoint was significant for vedolizumab. Non-Inferiority or Equivalence Analysis? Comments [Not specified] Statistical P-Value 0.0012 Test of P-value is based on the CMH chi-square test, with stratification according to: 1) concomitant use of oral corticosteroids (yes/no); and 2) previous exposure to TNFa Comments Hypothesis antagonists or concomitant immunomodulator use (yes/no). Method Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel Comments [Not specified] **Estimation Parameter** Method of Risk Difference (RD) Estimation **Estimated Value** Confidence Interval (2-Sided) 95% 6.4 to 25.9 **Estimation Comments** [Not specified] 5. Secondary Outcome Maintenance Phase: Percentage of Participants With Durable Clinical Response Durable clinical response is defined as reduction in complete Mayo score of ≥ 3 points and ≥ 30% from Baseline (Week 0) with an accompanying decrease in rectal bleeding subscore of ≥ 1 point or absolute rectal bleeding subscore of ≤ 1 point at both Weeks 6 and 52. The Mayo Score is a standard assessment tool to measure ulcerative colitis disease activity in clinical trials. The index consists of 4 components: two that are patient reported (rectal bleeding and stool Description: frequency), a global assessment by the physician, and an endoscopic subscore. Each component is scored on a scale from 0 to 3 and the complete score ranges from 1 to 12 (higher scores indicate greater disease activity) All participants who prematurely discontinued for any reason were considered as not achieving durable clinical response. Baseline, Week 6 and Week 52 Time Frame: Safety Issue? No Outcome Measure Data Analysis Population Description Maintenance Study ITT Population Arm/Group Title Placebo Vedolizumab Q8W Vedolizumab Q4W Arm/Group Description: Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and demonstrated a clinical response at Week 6 were randomized to demonstrated a clinical response at Week 6 were randomized to demonstrated a clinical response at Week 6 were randomized to receive double-blind treatment with placebo every 4 weeks up to Week receive double-blind treatment with vedolizumab 300 mg every 8 receive double-blind treatment with vedolizumab 300 mg every 4 50 during the Maintenance Phase. weeks (Q8W) from Week 6 to Week 50. weeks (Q4W) from Week 6 to Week 50. Number of Participants Analyzed 126 Number (95% Confidence Interval) _{23.8} (16.4 to 31.2)

56.6 (47.8 to 65.4)

52.0 (43.2 to 60.8)

file:///C|/Users/natroot/Desktop/EUdraCT%20Results%20PDFs/C13006-EUDRACT-RPPV-2015-08-31.html[8/31/2015 10:08:24 AM]

Units: percentage of participants

Statistical Analysis 1

Statistical **Comparison Groups** Placebo, Vedolizumab Q8W Analysis Comments To maintain the overall Type I error rate at 5% for the 2 dose regimen comparisons for each key secondary endpoint, the Hochberg method was used as described Overview for the primary outcome measure. To further maintain the overall Type I error rate at 5%, the key secondary endpoints were also performed sequentially. The first was tested only if 1 or both of the primary comparisons were significant and the next endpoint was tested only if the previous endpoint was significant for at least 1 Non-Inferiority or Equivalence Analysis? Comments [Not specified] Statistical P-Value < 0.0001 Test of Comments P-value is based on the CMH chi-square test, with 3 stratification factors; concomitant use of oral corticosteroids; previous exposure to TNFa antagonists or Hypothesis concomitant immunomodulator use; enrollment in Cohort 1 or 2 in the Induction Phase. Method Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel Comments [Not specified] **Estimation Parameter** Method of Risk Difference (RD) **Fstimation Estimated Value** 32.8 Confidence Interval (2-Sided) 95% 20.8 to 44.7 **Estimation Comments** [Not specified] Statistical Analysis 2 Statistical **Comparison Groups** Placebo, Vedolizumab Q4W **Analysis** To maintain the overall Type I error rate at 5% for the 2 dose regimen comparisons for each key secondary endpoint, the Hochberg method was used as described Comments Overview for the primary outcome measure. To further maintain the overall Type I error rate at 5%, the key secondary endpoints were also performed sequentially. The first was tested only if 1 or both of the primary comparisons were significant and the next endpoint was tested only if the previous endpoint was significant for at least 1 Non-Inferiority No or Equivalence Analysis? Comments [Not specified] Statistical P-Value < 0.0001 Test of P-value is based on the CMH chi-square test, with 3 stratification factors: concomitant use of oral corticosteroids; previous exposure to TNFa antagonists or Comments Hypothesis concomitant immunomodulator use; enrollment in Cohort 1 or 2 in the Induction Phase. Method Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel Comments [Not specified] **Estimation Parameter** Method of Risk Difference (RD) Estimation Estimated Value (2-Sided) 95% Confidence Interval 16.7 to 40.3 **Estimation Comments** [Not specified]

6. Secondary Outcome

Maintenance Phase: Percentage of Participants With Mucosal Healing at Week 52 Title:

Mucosal healing is defined as a Mayo endoscopic subscore of ≤ 1 point.

The Mayo Score is a standard assessment tool to measure ulcerative colitis disease activity in clinical trials. The index consists of 4 components: two that are patient reported (rectal bleeding and stool frequency), a global assessment by

the physician, and an endoscopic subscore. Endoscopic findings were scored on a scale from 0 to 3 as follows:

Description: 0 = Normal or inactive disease; 1 = Mild disease (erythema, decreased vascular pattern, mild friability); 2 = Moderate disease (marked erythema, lack of vascular pattern, friability, erosions); 3 = Severe disease (spontaneous bleeding, ulceration)

All participants who prematurely discontinued for any reason were considered as not achieving mucosal healing

Time Frame: Week 52 Safety Issue? No

Outcome Measure Data

Analysis Population Description Maintenance Study ITT Population

> Vedolizumab Q8W Vedolizumab Q4W Arm/Group Title Placebo Arm/Group Description: Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and

demonstrated a clinical response at Week 6 were randomized to

receive double-blind treatment with placebo every 4 weeks up to Week receive double-blind treatment with vedolizumab 300 mg every 8 50 during the Maintenance Phase.

demonstrated a clinical response at Week 6 were randomized to weeks (Q8W) from Week 6 to Week 50.

56.0 (47.3 to 64.7)

weeks (Q4W) from Week 6 to Week 50.

demonstrated a clinical response at Week 6 were randomized to

receive double-blind treatment with vedolizumab 300 mg every 4

Number of Participants Analyzed 126 Number (95% Confidence Interval) 19.8 (12.9 to 26.8)

51.6 (42.8 to 60.5)

file:///C|/Users/natroot/Desktop/EUdraCT%20Results%20PDFs/C13006-EUDRACT-RPPV-2015-08-31.html[8/31/2015 10:08:24 AM]

Units: percentage of participants

Statistical Analysis 1 Statistical **Comparison Groups** Placebo, Vedolizumab Q8W Analysis Comments To maintain the overall Type I error rate at 5% for the 2 dose regimen comparisons for each key secondary endpoint, the Hochberg method was used as described Overview for the primary outcome measure. To further maintain the overall Type I error rate at 5%, the key secondary endpoints were also performed sequentially. The first was tested only if 1 or both of the primary comparisons were significant and the next endpoint was tested only if the previous endpoint was significant for at least 1 Non-Inferiority No or Equivalence Analysis? Comments [Not specified] < 0.0001 Statistical P-Value Test of Comments P-value is based on the CMH chi-square test, with 3 stratification factors: concomitant use of oral corticosteroids; previous exposure to TNFa antagonists or Hypothesis concomitant immunomodulator use; enrollment in Cohort 1 or 2 in the Induction Phase. Method Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel Comments [Not specified] **Estimation Parameter** Method of Risk Difference (RD) Estimation **Estimated Value** 32.0 Confidence Interval (2-Sided) 95% 20.3 to 43.8 **Estimation Comments** [Not specified] Statistical Analysis 2 Statistical **Comparison Groups** Placebo, Vedolizumab Q4W **Analysis** To maintain the overall Type I error rate at 5% for the 2 dose regimen comparisons for each key secondary endpoint, the Hochberg method was used as described for the primary outcome measure. To further maintain the overall Type I error rate at 5%, the key secondary endpoints were also performed sequentially. The first Comments Overview was tested only if 1 or both of the primary comparisons were significant and the next endpoint was tested only if the previous endpoint was significant for at least 1 Non-Inferiority or Equivalence Analysis? No Comments [Not specified] Statistical < 0.0001 P-Value Test of P-value is based on the CMH chi-square test, with 3 stratification factors: concomitant use of oral corticosteroids; previous exposure to TNFa antagonists or Comments Hypothesis concomitant immunomodulator use; enrollment in Cohort 1 or 2 in the Induction Phase. Method Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel Comments [Not specified] **Estimation Parameter** Method of Risk Difference (RD) **Estimation Estimated Value** 36.3

(2-Sided) 95% Confidence Interval 24.4 to 48.3 **Estimation Comments** [Not specified]

7. Secondary Outcome

Maintenance Phase: Percentage of Participants With Durable Clinical Remission Title:

Durable clinical remission is defined as complete Mayo score of ≤ 2 points and no individual subscore > 1 point at both Weeks 6 and 52. The Mayo Score is a standard assessment tool to measure ulcerative colitis disease activity in clinical trials. The index consists of 4 components: two that are patient reported (rectal bleeding and stool frequency), a global assessment by the physician, and an endoscopic subscore. Each component is scored on a scale from 0 to 3 and the

complete score ranges from 1 to 12 (higher scores indicate greater disease activity).

All participants who prematurely discontinued for any reason were considered as not achieving durable clinical remission.

Time Frame: Week 6 and Week 52

Safety Issue? No

Outcome Measure Data

Analysis Population Description Maintenance Study ITT Population

> Arm/Group Title Vedolizumab Q8W Vedolizumab Q4W Placebo

Arm/Group Description: Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and demonstrated a clinical response at Week 6 were randomized to demonstrated a clinical response at Week 6 were randomized to receive double-blind treatment with placebo every 4 weeks up to Week receive double-blind treatment with vedolizumab 300 mg every 8 weeks (Q8W) from Week 6 to Week 50. 50 during the Maintenance Phase. Number of Participants Analyzed 126 122

receive double-blind treatment with vedolizumab 300 mg every 4 weeks (Q4W) from Week 6 to Week 50.

125

Number (95% Confidence Interval) 8.7 (3.8 to 13.7) Units: percentage of participants

20.5 (13.3 to 27.7)

24.0 (16.5 to 31.5)

demonstrated a clinical response at Week 6 were randomized to

Statistical Analysis 1

Statistical Analysis Overview **Comparison Groups**

Placebo, Vedolizumab Q8W

Comments

To maintain the overall Type I error rate at 5% for the 2 dose regimen comparisons for each key secondary endpoint, the Hochberg method was used as described for the primary outcome measure. To further maintain the overall Type I error rate at 5%, the key secondary endpoints were also performed sequentially. The first was tested only if 1 or both of the primary comparisons were significant and the next endpoint was tested only if the previous endpoint was significant for at least 1

Non-Inferiority or Equivalence Analysis?

No

Comments [Not specified]

Statistical Test of Hypothesis P-Value

0.0079

P-value is based on the CMH chi-square test, with 3 stratification factors: concomitant use of oral corticosteroids; previous exposure to TNFa antagonists or Comments

concomitant immunomodulator use; enrollment in Cohort 1 or 2 in the Induction Phase.

Method Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel

Comments [Not specified]

Method of **Estimation** **Estimation Parameter** Risk Difference (RD)

Estimated Value 11.8

(2-Sided) 95% Confidence Interval 3.1 to 20.5

Estimation Comments [Not specified]

Statistical Analysis 2

Statistical Analysis Overview

Comparison Groups

Placebo, Vedolizumab Q4W

Comments To maintain the overall Type I error rate at 5% for the 2 dose regimen comparisons for each key secondary endpoint, the Hochberg method was used as described for the primary outcome measure. To further maintain the overall Type I error rate at 5%, the key secondary endpoints were also performed sequentially. The first was tested only if 1 or both of the primary comparisons were significant and the next endpoint was tested only if the previous endpoint was significant for at least 1

Non-Inferiority or Equivalence Analysis?

> Comments [Not specified]

Statistical Test of Hypothesis P-Value

P-value is based on the CMH chi-square test, with 3 stratification factors; concomitant use of oral corticosteroids; previous exposure to TNFg antagonists or Comments

concomitant immunomodulator use; enrollment in Cohort 1 or 2 in the Induction Phase.

Method Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel

0.0009

Comments [Not specified]

Method of

Estimation Parameter

Risk Difference (RD)

Estimation

Estimated Value 15.3

Confidence Interval (2-Sided) 95%

6.2 to 24.4

Estimation Comments [Not specified]

8. Secondary Outcome

Title: Maintenance Phase: Percentage of Participants With Corticosteroid-free Remission at Week 52

Clinical Remission is defined as a complete Mayo score of ≤ 2 points and no individual subscore > 1 point. Corticosteroid-free clinical remission is defined as participants using oral corticosteroids at baseline (Week 0) who discontinued

corticosteroids and were in clinical remission at Week 52.

Description: The Mayo Score is a standard assessment tool to measure ulcerative colitis disease activity in clinical trials. The index consists of 4 components: two that are patient reported (rectal bleeding and stool frequency), a global assessment by the physician, and an endoscopic subscore. Each component is scored on a scale from 0 to 3 and the complete score ranges from 1 to 12 (higher scores indicate greater disease activity).

All participants who prematurely discontinued for any reason were considered as not achieving corticosteroid-free remission.

Time Frame: Week 52 Safety Issue? No

Outcome Measure Data

Analysis Population Description

Maintenance Study ITT Population, participants who were on corticosteroids at Baseline

Arm/Group Title Placebo Vedolizumab Q8W Vedolizumab Q4W

Arm/Group Description: Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and Participants who re demonstrated a clinical response at Week 6 were randomized to demonstrated a clinical response at Week 6 were randomized to

receive double-blind treatment with placebo every 4 weeks up to Week receive double-blind treatment with vedolizumab 300 mg every 8 50 during the Maintenance Phase. weeks (Q8W) from Week 6 to Week 50.

Number of Participants Analyzed 72

Number (95% Confidence Interval) _{13.9} (5.9 to 21.9) 31.4 (20.6 to 42.3)

Units: percentage of participants

demonstrated a clinical response at Week 6 were randomized to receive double-blind treatment with vedolizumab 300 mg every 4 weeks (Q4W) from Week 6 to Week 50.

45.2 (33.8 to 56.6)

Statistical Analysis 1

Statistical

Analysis

Overview

Comparison Groups

Placebo, Vedolizumab Q8W

Comments

To maintain the overall Type I error rate at 5% for the 2 dose regimen comparisons for each key secondary endpoint, the Hochberg method was used as described for the primary outcome measure. To further maintain the overall Type I error rate at 5%, the key secondary endpoints were also performed sequentially. The first was tested only if 1 or both of the primary comparisons were significant and the next endpoint was tested only if the previous endpoint was significant for at least 1 dose

Non-Inferiority

No or Equivalence Analysis?

> Comments [Not specified]

Statistical Test of Hypothesis

P-Value

Comments

P-value based on the CMH chi-square test, with 3 stratification factors: concomitant use of oral corticosteroids; previous exposure to TNFa antagonists or

concomitant immunomodulator use; enrollment in Cohort 1 or 2 in the Induction Phase.

Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel Method

0.0120

Comments [Not specified]

Method of Estimation

Estimation Parameter Risk Difference (RD)

Estimated Value 17.6

Confidence Interval (2-Sided) 95% 3.9 to 31.3

Estimation Comments [Not specified]

Statistical Analysis 2

Statistical Analysis

Comparison Groups

Placebo, Vedolizumab Q4W

Comments

To maintain the overall Type I error rate at 5% for the 2 dose regimen comparisons for each key secondary endpoint, the Hochberg method was used as described for the primary outcome measure. To further maintain the overall Type I error rate at 5%, the key secondary endpoints were also performed sequentially. The first was tested only if 1 or both of the primary comparisons were significant and the next endpoint was tested only if the previous endpoint was significant for at least 1 dose.

Non-Inferiority

or Equivalence Analysis?

Comments [Not specified]

Statistical Test of

Overview

P-Value

P-value is based on the CMH chi-square test, with 3 stratification factors: concomitant use of oral corticosteroids; previous exposure to TNFa antagonists or Comments Hypothesis concomitant immunomodulator use: enrollment in Cohort 1 or 2 in the Induction Phase.

> Method Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel

[Not specified] Comments

Method of Estimation **Estimation Parameter** Risk Difference (RD)

Estimated Value 31.4

Confidence Interval (2-Sided) 95% 16.6 to 46.2

Estimation Comments

[Not specified]

Adverse Events

Time Frame Additional Description Source Vocabulary Name Assessment Type

From the start of the Induction Phase until a final on-study safety assessment at Week 66 (or Final Safety visit 16 weeks after the last dose).

MedDRA (14.0) Systematic Assessment

Arm/Group Title Placebo

Vedolizumab Then Placebo

Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction of and were then randomized to receive placebo during the Maintenance Phase.

Participants who received vedolizumab during the Induction Phase and continued to receive vedolizumab during the Maintenance Phase. This includes participants who had a clinical response at Week 6 and were randomized to vedolizumab every 4 weeks or the Maintenance Phase, participants who did not achieve a clinical response at Week 6 and continued to receive vedolizumab every 4 weeks for the duration of the study, and participants who make the phase of the phase

Vedolizumab Affected / at Risk (%)

participants who withdrew during the Induction phase.

Vedolizumab

Arm/Group Description

Participants who received double-blind placebo intravenous infusions in the Induction Phase and continued to receive placebo and were then randomized to receive placebo during the during the Maintenance Phase.

Serious Adverse Events

Serious Adverse Events	Placebo	Vedolizumab Then Placebo	
	Affected / at Risk (%)	Affected / at Risk (%)	
Total	17/149 (11.41%)	20/126 (15.87%)	77/620 (12.42%)
Blood and lymphatic system disorders	1/110 (0 (70))	4/40/ (0.700/)	4//00 (0.4/0/)
Anaemia † A	1/149 (0.67%)	1/126 (0.79%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Cardiac disorders	2/1/2 (201)	. ((
Aortic valve stenosis † A	0/149 (0%)	1/126 (0.79%)	0/620 (0%)
Arteriosclerosis coronary artery † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Atrial fibrillation † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Ear and labyrinth disorders	2/1/2 (201)	a (a a () (a a ()	
Vertigo positional † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Gastrointestinal disorders		a (a a () (a a ()	(2 222)
Abdominal pain † A	1/149 (0.67%)	0/126 (0%)	2/620 (0.32%)
Abdominal pain upper † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Colitis ulcerative † A	10/149 (6.71%)	7/126 (5.56%)	47/620 (7.58%)
Colon dysplasia † A	0/149 (0%)	1/126 (0.79%)	0/620 (0%)
Ileus † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Nausea † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Pancreatitis acute † A	1/149 (0.67%)	0/126 (0%)	0/620 (0%)
Peritoneal haemorrhage † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Rectal haemorrhage † A	0/149 (0%)	1/126 (0.79%)	0/620 (0%)
Small intestinal obstruction † A	1/149 (0.67%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Subileus † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Upper gastrointestinal haemorrhage † A General disorders	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Fatigue † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Multi-organ failure † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Pyrexia † A	0/149 (0%)	1/126 (0.79%)	0/620 (0%)
Hepatobiliary disorders	,	,	` ,
Bile duct stone † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Cholangitis sclerosing † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Hepatitis acute † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Immune system disorders	,	, ,	` ,
Sarcoidosis † A	1/149 (0.67%)	0/126 (0%)	0/620 (0%)
Infections and infestations			
Anal abscess † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	2/620 (0.32%)
Appendicitis † A	0/149 (0%)	2/126 (1.59%)	0/620 (0%)
Bronchitis † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Cellulitis † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Cholangitis suppurative † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Infection † A	0/149 (0%)	1/126 (0.79%)	0/620 (0%)
Klebsiella infection † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Lower respiratory tract infection † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Pelvic abscess † A	1/149 (0.67%)	0/126 (0%)	0/620 (0%)
Pericoronitis † A	1/149 (0.67%)	0/126 (0%)	0/620 (0%)
Perirectal abscess † A	1/149 (0.67%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
	•	. ,	•

gov PRS: Results Preview (NCT00783718)			
Pneumonia † A	0/149 (0%)	1/126 (0.79%)	0/620 (0%)
Pulpitis dental † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Sepsis † A	1/149 (0.67%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Tonsillitis † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Urinary tract infection † A	1/149 (0.67%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Wound infection † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	2/620 (0.32%)
Injury, poisoning and procedural complications	0/147 (076)	0/120 (076)	2/020 (0.32 %)
Accidental poisoning and procedural complications	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Concussion † A	0/149 (0%)	1/126 (0.79%)	0/620 (0.16%)
Fall † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Fibula fracture † A			
Gastrointestinal stoma complication † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Pubis fracture † A	0/149 (0%)	1/126 (0.79%)	0/620 (0%)
Wrist fracture † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Investigations			
Haemoglobin decrease † A	0/149 (0%)	1/126 (0.79%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Lipase increased † A	1/149 (0.67%)	0/126 (0%)	0/620 (0%)
Weight decreased † A	0/149 (0%)	1/126 (0.79%)	0/620 (0%)
Metabolism and nutrition disorders			
Dehydration † A	1/149 (0.67%)	0/126 (0%)	2/620 (0.32%)
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders			
Osteoporosis † A	0/149 (0%)	1/126 (0.79%)	0/620 (0%)
Neoplasms benign, malignant and unspecified (incl cys polyps)	ts and		
Colon cancer † A	0/149 (0%)	1/126 (0.79%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Transitional cell carcinoma † A	0/149 (0%)	1/126 (0.79%)	0/620 (0%)
Nervous system disorders			
Syncope † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Pregnancy, puerperium and perinatal conditions			
Abortion spontaneous † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Renal and urinary disorders			
Renal failure † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Tubulointerstitial nephritis † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Reproductive system and breast disorders			
Benign prostatic hyperplasia † A	0/149 (0%)	1/126 (0.79%)	0/620 (0%)
Menorrhagia † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders	, ,	• •	, ,
Pleural effusion † A	0/149 (0%)	1/126 (0.79%)	0/620 (0%)
Pneumothorax † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Pulmonary embolism † A	1/149 (0.67%)	0/126 (0%)	2/620 (0.32%)
Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders		3.725 (3.5)	_,,
Pemphigoid † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Rash † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Vascular disorders			1,020 (0.1070)
Arteriosclerosis obliterans † A	1/149 (0.67%)	0/126 (0%)	0/620 (0%)
Deep vein thrombosis † A	0/149 (0%)	0/126 (0%)	1/620 (0.16%)
Venous thrombosis † A	0/149 (0%)	1/126 (0.79%)	0/620 (0%)
verious trii UHDUSIS	3/14/ (0/0)	1/120 (0.7770)	0/020 (078)

[†] Indicates events were collected by systematic assessment. A Term from vocabulary, MedDRA (14.0)

Other (Not Including Serious) Adverse Events

Frequency Threshold for Reporting Other Adverse Events	5%	Placebo Affected / at Risk (%)		olizumab Then Placebo Affected / at Risk (%)		Vedolizumab Affected / at Risk (%)
Total	67/149 (44.97%)	• •	69/126 (54.76%)	, ,	321/620 (51.77%)	` ,
Blood and lymphatic system disorders	·					
Anaemia † A	10/149 (6.71%)		4/126 (3.17%)		35/620 (5.65%)	
Gastrointestinal disorders						
Abdominal pain † A	7/149 (4.7%)		2/126 (1.59%)		34/620 (5.48%)	
Colitis ulcerative † A	20/149 (13.42%)		22/126 (17.46%)		57/620 (9.19%)	
Nausea † A	11/149 (7.38%)		8/126 (6.35%)		38/620 (6.13%)	
General disorders						
Fatigue † A	5/149 (3.36%)		5/126 (3.97%)		32/620 (5.16%)	
Infections and infestations						
Bronchitis † A	5/149 (3.36%)		7/126 (5.56%)		23/620 (3.71%)	
Nasopharyngitis † A	11/149 (7.38%)		15/126 (11.9%)		80/620 (12.9%)	
Upper respiratory tract infection † A	8/149 (5.37%)		13/126 (10.32%)		52/620 (8.39%)	
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders						
Arthralgia ^{† A}	10/149 (6.71%)		15/126 (11.9%)		56/620 (9.03%)	
Nervous system disorders						
Headache † A	13/149 (8.72%)		15/126 (11.9%)		80/620 (12.9%)	
Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders						
Cough † A	7/149 (4.7%)		6/126 (4.76%)		36/620 (5.81%)	

[†] Indicates events were collected by systematic assessment.

A Term from vocabulary, MedDRA (14.0)

Limitations and Caveats

[Not Specified]

More Information

Certain Agreements

Principal Investigators are NOT employed by the organization sponsoring the study.

There IS an agreement between the Principal Investigator and the Sponsor (or its agents) that restricts the PI's rights to discuss or publish trial results after the trial is completed.

The first study related publication will be a multi-center publication submitted within 24 months after conclusion or termination of a study at all sites. After such multi site publication, all proposed site publications and presentations will be submitted to sponsor for review 60 days in advance of publication. Site will remove Sponsor confidential information unrelated to study results. Sponsor can delay a proposed publication for another 60 days to preserve intellectual property.

Results Point of Contact Name/Official Title: Organization: Phone: Email:

Medical Director Millennium Pharmaceuticals Inc 800-778-2860 clinicaltrialregistry@tpna.com

Close

U.S. National Library of Medicine | U.S. National Institutes of Health | U.S. Department of Health & Human Services