

Clinical Trial Synopsis

EudraCT number	2008-003555-77
Trial identification	
Full title of the study	<p>Evaluation of the interest of combining a homeopathic treatment to conventional antiemetic management in the prevention of delayed nausea during the first two chemotherapy cycles, in thoracic cancers.</p> <p><i>(Evaluation de l'intérêt d'associer un traitement homéopathique à la prise en charge antiémétique conventionnelle dans la prévention des nausées retardées lors des deux premières cures de chimiothérapie dans les cancers thoraciques)</i></p>
Abbreviated title	HONAURE
Sponsor protocol code	N° IB-2008-01
Investigational medicinal products (IMP identification)	<p>Homeopathic medicinal products:</p> <p>Ignatia Amara 7 CH</p> <p>Phosphorus 9 CH</p>
Sponsors	
Sponsor	BOIRON Laboratories
Sponsor Address	<p>2 Avenue de l'Ouest Lyonnais</p> <p>69510 Messimy</p> <p>FRANCE</p>
Study Contact	<p>Isabelle CHANEL, Research & Development & Scientific & Medical Affairs Director</p> <p>BOIRON Laboratories</p> <p>✉ isabelle.chanel@boiron.fr</p>
Scientific Contact	<p>Dr Pierre-Jean SOUQUET</p> <p>69310-FR</p>
Research Location and Sites	FR – 2 investigative sites
Member State Concerned	AFSSAPS (ANSM) - France
Results Information	
Actual start date of recruitment	07 JAN 2009
Global end of trial date	<p><i>(date of the end of participation of the last person included in the research)</i></p> <p>03 MAR 2010</p>
Planned number of subjects to be included- Country	44 (France)
Number of subjects enrolled - Country	36 (France)
Clinical Trial Phase	III

Clinical Trial duration	14 months
Publication reference	none
General information about the trial	
Clinical Trial Type:	Therapeutic confirmatory
Design of the trial	Pilot - Controlled – Randomized - Double blind - Parallel group – Comparator (Placebo)
Medical Condition	Hemodialysis-induced muscle cramps
Main objective of the trial	The main objective of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of a homeopathic treatment, combining Ignatia Amara 7CH and Phosphorus 9CH <i>versus</i> placebo, in addition to the conventional anti-emetic protocol for the prevention of chemo-induced delayed nausea, during the first cycles of chemotherapy with cisplatin (high emetogenic risk) in patients with thoracic cancer and treated for the first time.
Secondary's Objectives of the trial	<p>The secondary objectives were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - To evaluate the efficacy of a homeopathic treatment, combining Ignatia Amara 7CH and Phosphorus 9CH, in addition to the conventional anti-emetic protocol for the prevention of chemo-induced delayed nausea, during the second cycle of chemotherapy, versus placebo. - To assess the efficacy of Ignatia Amara 7CH and Phosphorus 9CH in the overall management (nausea and vomiting) of acute and delayed emetic manifestations induced by cisplatin-based chemotherapy. - Evaluate the impact on patients' quality of life through the questionnaire "FLIE", - To assess the impact on anorexia and weight loss, - -To assess the impact on creatinine clearance, - -To assess adherence to homeopathic treatment, and allopathic anti-emetic treatment, - To assess the clinical tolerance of homeopathic treatment.
Principal Inclusion Criteria	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Male or female of at least 18 years of age who had undergone a clinical examination appropriate for research - Patient with confirmed thoracic cancer requiring chemotherapy treatment - Patient who had never been treated with cancer chemotherapy previously - Patient eligible and scheduled to receive his 1st cycle of chemotherapy containing mandatorily cisplatin (administered on Day 1) among the following 5 protocols: <u>Protocol 1:</u> Cisplatin 80 mg/m² (IV infusion) on Day 1 - Gemcitabine 1250 mg/m² (infusion) on Days 1 and 8 <u>Protocol 2:</u> Cisplatin 80 mg /m² (IV infusion) on Day 1 - Vinorelbine 30 mg / m² (IV infusion) on Day 1 and Day 8

	<p><u>Protocol 3:</u> Cisplatin 80 mg /m² (IV infusion) on Day 1 - Vinorelbine 25 mg / m² (IV infusion) on Day 1 and Day 8, before concomitant radiotherapy during the 3rd cycle of chemotherapy</p> <p><u>Protocol 4:</u> Cisplatin 100 mg/m² (IV infusion) on Day 1 – Etoposide 100 mg/m² (IV infusion) on Day 1 to Day 3</p> <p><u>Protocol 5:</u> Cisplatin 75 mg/m² (IV infusion) on Day 1 – Pemetrexed 500 mg/m² (IV infusion) on Day 1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Patient scheduled to receive conventional allopathic anti-emetic therapy for each chemotherapy cycle - Patient with an ECOG index < 3 <p>See Section E.3 for others</p>
Principal Exclusion Criteria	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Patient who is pregnant, breastfeeding or of childbearing potential and not using reliable contraception - Patient who experienced nausea and/or vomiting within 5 days prior to enrolment - Patient who has used in the 24 hours preceding the induction of chemotherapy any drug with a potential anti-emetic action or using anti-emetics chronically - Patient scheduled for external cerebral and/or abdominal radiotherapy <p>See Section E.3 for others</p>
Trial Status:	Completed
Statistical Analysis Description	<p>No interim analysis was foreseen by this protocol. Qualitative data were described by their frequency and percentage. Missing data were not included in the calculation of percentages.</p> <p>The comparison of qualitative variables between groups T (Placebo) and H (Homeopathic treatments) was performed using a Chi² test or an exact Fisher test, if conditions for the application of Chi² were not met.</p> <p>Quantitative data were described by the number of data, mean, standard deviation, median, minimum, and maximum, and number of missing data if necessary.</p> <p>The comparison of quantitative variables was carried out with a t-Student, or by a nonparametric Wilcoxon test if the conditions of the parametric test were not met.</p> <p>If treatment groups had stark differences at baseline, Covariance analysis models could be implemented.</p> <p>Subgroup analyses were carried out considering the effectiveness of anti-emetic treatment in the acute phase.</p> <p>Two subgroups were therefore identified: the "high risk" group (for patients experiencing acute nausea and vomiting) and the "low risk" group (for patients who do not experience nausea or vomiting in the acute phase).</p> <p>A difference was found to be significant for a value of p<0.05 (risk of 1st species granted $\alpha=5\%$) in bilateral situation for all statistics tests during analysis.</p> <p>The effectiveness analysis was able to focus on two phases related to chemotherapy:</p>

	- acute period (0-24 hours post-chemotherapy or Day 1 and Day 21). - delayed period (24-120 hours post-chemotherapy or from Day 2 to Day 6 and from Day 22 to Day 26)
Summary – research Findings	
<p>This pilot study conducted in patients with thoracic cancer treated for the first time did not show effect on delayed chemo-induced nausea of combining homeopathic treatment (Ignatia Amara 7CH and Phosphorus 9CH) with a conventional anti-emetic protocol.</p> <p>However, the results of this study suggested a beneficial effect of the homeopathic treatment on the time to onset of nausea. Patients tolerated well their homeopathic treatment. Finally, although the statistics tests were not significant, this study showed a trend beneficial of homeopathic treatment on the intensity of nausea.</p>	

Synopsis Version number and date	2008-003555-77_Synopsis V1.0 (03-2023) <i>Based on information retrieved from CSR V3.0 (06-2011)</i>
Name & Function Signature :	Isabelle Chanel Director of Research & Development & Scientific & Medical Affairs.
Date of Transmission	15 MAR 2023 