

contractions were premature in 5 patients [3 type II, 1 type III, 1 EGI⁺/SC]. POEM was successful [EndoStitch score <2] in 42 patients [89%] at 5 months [100% in type I achalasia and EGI⁺/SC, 91% in type II and 88% in type III, $p = 0.01$]. No factor [age, baseline symptom severity, EGI resting pressure, IEP before and after POEM, post POEM esophageal contractions, PEF during 5-mL water swallows or MWS, esophago-gastric pressure gradient during MWS] was associated with POEM failure at 5 months. Dysphagia, regurgitation and chest pain were reported by 49%, 27% and 22% of patients respectively 5 months after POEM. Patients with regurgitation had a lower EGI resting pressure before POEM [12 ± 2.6 mmHg, $p = 0.03$] and a higher post POEM esophago-gastric pressure gradient during MWS [4.7 ± 0.2 mmHg, $p = 0.03$] compared to those without regurgitation. No parameter was associated with dysphagia or chest pain.

Conclusion: While POEM is associated with significant changes of esophageal function as assessed with HRM, no manometric parameter or achalasia subtype was predictive of 5-month outcome. Further data are required to confirm that post POEM esophago-gastric pressure gradient during MWS might be associated with regurgitation.

251

Effect of buspirone in patients with ineffective esophageal motility

C. SCHERFENBERG¹, T. OMAR², C. BECKER³, J. TACK⁴ and N. ROMMEL¹

¹Translational Research Center for Gastrointestinal Disorders, KU Leuven, Belgium and ²School of Medicine, Flanders University, Australia

Background & Aims: Diagnostic evaluation of non-achalasia esophageal dysphagia remains challenging because of a lack of a clear relationship between symptoms, esophageal contraction patterns, and esophageal bolus flow. Recently, pressure-flow analysis (PFA) was developed to perform an integrated analysis on simultaneously acquired high-resolution impedance manometry (HRIM) recordings. Previously, our group has shown correlation of these PFA metrics with perception of dysphagia symptoms in dysphagia patients and controls, linking HRIM parameters with patient perception of esophageal bolus hold-up. These unprecedented results required further validation in a large patient population, and their ability to evaluate treatment outcomes needed to be studied.

Method: A total of 151 dysphagia patients (57M, ± 15 years) underwent HRIM using a 34P16Z catheter. Test boluses of 5–10 mL liquid, 5–10 mL semisolid, and 2–4 cm³ solid were administered orally. Perception of bolus passage was evaluated with each swallow using a validated 6-point categorical scale.

Esophageal contractile function was evaluated using the distal contractile integral (DCI), assessing distal esophageal contractile vigor. Pressure-flow analysis was performed to perform an integrated analysis on simultaneously acquired esophageal manometry and impedance recordings. Variables were compared using paired t-tests [parametric] or Wilcoxon signed rank test [non-parametric]. A p-value <0.05 was considered significant.

Results: Nine-hundred swallows of twelve patients with idiopathic IEM and six patients with SC-IEM were analyzed. Over all bolus consistencies, the DCI increased significantly after intake of buspirone from 208 [$102\text{--}556$] to 327 [$40\text{--}728$] mmHg·cm/s [$p = 0.03$]. This increase was

driven by the idiopathic IEM-group (idiopathic IEM: DCI 308 ± 98 vs 470 ± 118 mmHg/cm/s, $p = 0.05$; SC-IEM: DCI 268 ± 179 vs 328 ± 167 mmHg/cm/s, $p = 0.22$). The TNIPF, a measure of bolus movement in relation to esophageal peristalsis, significantly decreased after buspirone intake from 5.71 ± 0.71 to 5.47 ± 0.61 s [$p = 0.04$], again driven by the idiopathic IEM group [5.69 ± 0.74 vs 5.59 ± 0.64 s, $p = 0.03$]. An increase in the number of normal perceived swallows was observed after buspirone intake [32% normal perception before intake of buspirone vs 60% normal perception after buspirone, $\chi^2(1) p = 0.04$].

Conclusion: Buspirone increases the amplitude of esophageal contractions and decreases dysphagia symptoms in patients with (idiopathic) IEM.

252

Pressure flow analysis as a method to assess esophageal function

C. SCHERFENBERG¹, T. OMAR², C. BECKER³, J. TACK⁴ and N. ROMMEL¹

¹Translational Research Center for Gastrointestinal Disorders, KU Leuven, Belgium and ²School of Medicine, Flanders University, Australia

Background & Aims: Diagnostic evaluation of non-achalasia esophageal dysphagia remains challenging because of a lack of a clear relationship between symptoms, esophageal contraction patterns, and esophageal bolus flow. Recently, pressure-flow analysis (PFA) was developed to perform an integrated analysis on simultaneously acquired high-resolution impedance manometry (HRIM) recordings. Previously, our group has shown correlation of these PFA metrics with perception of dysphagia symptoms in dysphagia patients and controls, linking HRIM parameters with patient perception of esophageal bolus hold-up. These unprecedented results required further validation in a large patient population, and their ability to evaluate treatment outcomes needed to be studied.

Method: A total of 151 dysphagia patients (57M, ± 15 years) underwent HRIM using a 34P16Z catheter. Test boluses of 5–10 mL liquid, 5–10 mL semisolid, and 2–4 cm³ solid were administered orally. Perception of bolus passage was evaluated with each swallow using a validated 6-point categorical scale. For comparison, 14 healthy volunteers were recruited with no prior history of digestive disease [3M, 25 ± 6 years].

Results: We included 5 patients with Type I achalasia, 10 with Type II, 3 with Type III, 27 with EGI⁺ outflow obstruction, 2 with distal esophageal spasm, 1 with Jackhammer esophagus, 14 with absent contractility, 53 patients with ineffective esophageal motility, and 18 patients with normal esophageal motility according to Chicago Classification v3.0. All PFA metrics were significantly different between the three consistencies. Compared with healthy subjects, patients had higher PNI [4 ± 5 mmHg, $p = 0.01$], higher PPI [5.1 ± 9.1 , $p = 0.003$], higher NI [270 ± 210 Ohms, $p = 0.012$], higher IPP (106 ± 694 Ohms, $p = 0.005$), and higher IR [0.40 ± 0.52 , $p = 0.009$]. In patients, moderate to strong statistically significant correlations [α] [$p < 0.0001$] between perception scores and following PFA metrics were found: PNI [$\alpha = 0.22$], IPP [$\alpha = 0.25$], IRP slope [$\alpha = 0.25$], TNIPF [$\alpha = -0.28$], PPI [$\alpha = 0.29$], NI [$\alpha = 0.28$], and IR [$\alpha = 0.26$].

Conclusion: The results from this large patient cohort confirm pilot results, concluding that PFA metrics, which are altered in relation to bolus consistency, have added value as they link with patients' perception.

Combining the PPI with the IR in a PFA-matrix shows that our patients with dysphagia who have predominantly abnormal bolus clearance can be distinguished from patients with abnormal bolus flow resistance.

253

Body mass index is associated with erosive esophagitis: a retrospective cohort study

R. S. RANG¹, J. E. XIAO² and J. H. PARK³

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Dankook

University College of Medicine, Cheonan and

²Department of Internal Medicine, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

Background/Aims: Obesity has been recognized as a risk factor for GERD and several studies demonstrated positive association between the body mass index (BMI) and GERD symptoms. However, literatures on whether BMI is related to the erosive esophagitis are scant. This study aimed to investigate the effect of BMI change on the erosive esophagitis.

Method: A retrospective cohort study was performed to assess the natural course of erosive esophagitis according to the changes in BMI. A total of 1126 cases of erosive esophagitis were included in this study. The degree of erosive esophagitis was measured by esophagogastroduodenoscopy and serially checked during the follow up period of 3 years. A Cox proportional hazards model was used to investigate the hazard ratios (HRs). **Results:** Patients with decreased BMI were associated with resolution of erosive esophagitis compared to patients with increased BMI [Hazard ratio (HR) 1.17, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.01–1.36]. Even after adjusting for sex, age, smoking, alcohol consumption, and fatty liver status, the association between the BMI and erosive esophagitis was not attenuated [HR 1.18, 95% CI: 1.02–1.38].

Conclusion: Resolution of erosive esophagitis is potentially associated with the decrease in BMI and BMI was independently associated with erosive esophagitis.

254

Esophageal dysmotility in postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome patients

R. A. MENDIRI, CROWELL, B. P. GOODMAN, M. P. VELA, C. HUFFMAN-SINTIM and J. A. MARRIS Mayo Clinic, Scottsdale, AZ, USA

Background: Postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome (POTS) is a disorder characterized by defects in autonomic dysfunction. It is often associated with gastrointestinal and constipation but esophageal symptoms have not been as well characterized.

Aim: We sought to characterize the symptoms and manometric findings in our POTS population.

Method: In a retrospective observation study design, consecutive patients [pts] between 2014 and 2015 with POTS undergoing high resolution manometry (HRM) were included. Pts who did not have an autonomic reflex study (ARS) or HRM were excluded. HRM was performed with a solid state catheter with 36 circumferential pressure sensors spaced 1-cm apart. Esophageal pressure topography plots of 10 single 5 mL liquid swallows were reviewed. Bolus transit was assessed for studies that included impedance measurements.

Peristalsis abnormalities were defined as weak if distal contractile integral (DCI) was >100 <400 mmHg·cm/s or failed if DCI <100. Incomplete bolus transit was defined

© 2016 THE AUTHORS

NEUROGASTROENTEROLOGY & MOTILITY © 2016 JOHN WILEY & SONS LTD

NEUROGASTROENTEROL. MOTIL. 2016, 28, SUPPLEMENT 1, 3–108