

1	Sponsor: CHU de Bordeaux	
2	Naming of the experimental drug(s): SonoVUE	
3	Naming of the experimental active(s) substance(s): Hexafluorure Soufre	
4	Study identification	
4.1	Official Title: Dynamic Contrast Enhanced Ultrasound for Predict and Assess Rectal Cancer Response After Neo-adjuvant Chemoradiation	
4.2	Acronym: RECT	
4.3	Unique Protocol ID: CHUBX 2015/17	
5.1	ID EudraCT: 2016-002589-30	
5.2	ID NCT : NCT03068403	
6	Investigator(s): M. HOCQUELET Arnaud - Imagerie médicale, radiologie diagnostique et thérapeutique -CHU DE BORDEAUX	
7	Location(s): CHU de Bordeaux	
8	Paediatric regulatory details if necessary: ☐ yes / ☒ no	
9	Trial status / Results Analysis stage: ☒ prematurely ended (Terminated) ☐ withdrawn ☐ no subjects recruited ☐ only partial results are available ☐ trial transitioned to CTIS and its results will be posted there	
9.1	Detailed reason: Target number of subject not reached	
10	Notification of the end of the trial to the NCA : ☒ yes / ☐ no	
10.1	ANSM:	
10.2	RRF (Résumé du Rapport Final): Novembre 03 2020	
11	Study duration	12. Clinical Trial Phase / Type: IV
11.1	- First inclusion: June 18 2018	
11.2	- Primary completion date: January 8 2019	
11.3	- Study completion date January 8 2019	
13	Population of trial subjects / Enrollment	
13.1	- Number of subjects expected: 20	
13.2	- Actual Number of subjects included in the trial: 2	
13.3	- Actual Number of subjects analysed in the trial: NA	
14	Main objective: In this study we assessed D-CEUS to predict and assess pathological response in rectal cancer after neo-adjuvant CRT.	
14.1	Secondary objectives: - To evaluate the predictive value of other perfusion parameters (peak intensity, rise time, AUC of perfusion, mean transit time, time to peak, maximum perfusion slope, elimination rate) measured by quantitative contrast-enhanced ultrasound for the histopathological tumor response (TRG) in rectal cancer following neoadjuvant RCT (with or without first-line chemotherapy)	

	- To evaluate the predictive value of changes in perfusion parameters measured by quantitative contrast-enhanced ultrasound for the histopathological tumor response (TRG) in rectal cancer following neoadjuvant RCT (with or without initial chemotherapy) - To evaluate the predictive value of changes in perfusion parameters measured by quantitative contrast-enhanced ultrasound for the histopathological tumor response (TRG) in rectal cancer following first-line RCT - To assess the correlation between changes in parameters measured by quantitative contrast-enhanced ultrasound and the evaluation of response to neoadjuvant RCT using MRI (MR TRG) - To correlate perfusion parameters measured by quantitative contrast-enhanced ultrasound prior to neoadjuvant RCT with preoperative MR TNM staging (particularly lymph node status) - To evaluate the reproducibility of perfusion parameter measurements between two radiologists: intra- and inter-observer reproducibility
15	Research strategy: A pilote, prospective and exploratory study, single-center, open label, non-randomized, With two arms : A : Chemiotherapy and RCT B : Only RCT
16	Medical condition or disease studied: Rectal cancer
16.1	Inclusion Criteria: - Histologically confirmed rectal carcinoma - Stade $\geq T2$ and tumor size $\geq 3\text{cm}$ - No detectable metastases
16.2	- Patient ≥ 18 years - Patient information and written informed consent form signed - Patient who can receive radiotherapy and chemotherapy - Negative pregnancy test in women of childbearing potential - Patient covered by a Social Security system Exclusion Criteria: - Indication for immediate surgery - Primary tumor not measured at the MRI before inclusion - Previous pelvic radiotherapy - Contraindication to SONOVUE or MRI
17	Experimental drug(s) studied (name, dose, route of administration, lot number): SonoVue, 8 $\mu\text{l/ml}$, 25mg vial for injection
18	Treatment duration: Contrast agent injected during each contrast-enhanced ultrasound Arm A : 3 ultrasounds for patients (Chemotherapy followed by RCT), Arm B : 2 ultrasounds for patients Arm B (RCT alone)
19	Reference Experimental drug(s) (name, dose, route of administration, lot number): NA
20	Evaluation criteria
20.1	Primary endpoint / Outcome: Area under the echo-power curve (AUC) Time Frame: At inclusion, 3 months and 6 months visits
20.2	Secondary endpoints / Outcomes: - Peak enhancement (PE) - Time Frame: At inclusion, 3 months and 6 months visits - Rise time (RT) - Time Frame: At inclusion, 3 months and 6 months visits - Wash-in area under the curve (WiAUC) - Time Frame: At inclusion, 3 months and 6 months visits - Mean transit time (mTT) - Time Frame: At inclusion, 3 months and 6 months visits - Time to peak (TTP) - Time Frame: At inclusion, 3 months and 6 months visits - Whash-in rate (WiR) - Time Frame: At inclusion, 3 months and 6 months visits - Wash out rate (WoR) - Time Frame: At inclusion, 3 months and 6 months visits - MRI assessed Tumor Response Grade (mrTRG) - Time Frame: At inclusion, 3 months and 6 months visits - mrTNM staging - Time Frame: At inclusion, 3 months and 6 months visits

21	Statistical analysis of the data : NA
22	Trial Summary – Results
22.1	- Outcomes / Efficacy evaluation: NA
22.2	- Adverses events / Security evaluation: One serious adverse event has been reported and considered not related to the study but to the disease under study.
23	Conclusion The target of 20 patients to be included in the study was not met because of a too low rate of recruitment. Only 2 participants were included, without any analysis of the data. In conclusion, no statistical analysis was performed.
24	More Information: <i>Global Substantial Amendments:</i> <i>Global Interruptions and re-starts:</i> <i>Limitations & Caveats:</i>
25	Publication(s):
26	Establishment date: July 30 2026