

2 SYNOPSIS

Study ID:	CR-AIR-009
Phase:	III
Countries:	Belgium, Canada, Croatia, Portugal, Spain, United Kingdom, Netherlands, United States
Study title:	A phase III, multicenter, randomized controlled study to compare safety and efficacy of a haploidentical hematopoietic stem cell transplant (HSCT) and adjunctive treatment with ATIR101, a T-lymphocyte enriched leukocyte preparation depleted <i>ex vivo</i> of host alloreactive T-cells, versus a haploidentical HSCT with post-transplant cyclophosphamide (PTCy) in patients with a hematologic malignancy
Study design:	<p>Study CR-AIR-009 was a phase III randomized, controlled, multicenter, open-label study comparing two parallel groups. After signing informed consent, a total of 250 patients were to be randomized in a 1:1 fashion to receive either a T-cell depleted HSCT (CD34 selection) from a related, haploidentical donor, followed by ATIR101 infusion, or a T-cell replete HSCT, followed by a high dose of PTCy.</p> <p>Randomization used minimization to balance treatment groups with respect to underlying disease (acute myeloid leukemia [AML], acute lymphocytic leukemia [ALL], or myelodysplastic syndrome [MDS]), disease risk index (DRI; intermediate risk, high risk, or very high risk) and center. A stochastic treatment allocation procedure was used so that the treatment assignment was random for all patients entered in the study.</p> <p>Patients randomized in the ATIR101 group received a single ATIR101 dose of 2.0×10^6 viable T-cells/kg between 28 and 32 days after the HSCT. Patients randomized in the PTCy group received cyclophosphamide 50 mg/kg/day 3 and 4/5 days after the HSCT. All patients were to be followed up for at least 24 months post HSCT.</p>
Dosing regimen:	<p>ATIR101 group: Single infusion with ATIR101 at a dose of 2×10^6 viable T-cells/kg between 28 and 32 days after the HSCT.</p> <p>PTCy group: IV infusion with cyclophosphamide 50 mg/kg/day 3 and 4/5 days after the HSCT.</p>
Study population:	Patients with hematologic malignancies (AML, ALL, or MDS; males and females aged 18-70 years) who are eligible for a haploidentical HSCT but without the availability of a suitable matched related or unrelated donor following a donor search.
Study period:	The first visit of the first patient was on 29 November 2017. Enrollment has stopped on 12 November 2019. The database cut-off of the active study phase was on 19 March 2020. Safety follow-up of patients is ongoing.

Planned enrolment/patient analyzed:	<p>In total, 250 patients were planned to be randomized 1:1 to the ATIR101 group or the PTCy group.</p> <p>On 12 November 2019, 63 patients had been enrolled (randomized) in the study; 32 to ATIR101 group and 31 to PTCy group (intention-to-treat [ITT] population). Only patients who received an HSCT and ATIR101 (ATIR101 group) or at least one dose of PTCy (PTCy group) were included in the modified intention-to-treat population (MITT) as defined in the protocol. At the time of database cut-off, the MITT consisted of 16 patients that were treated with ATIR101 and 27 treated with PTCy. The analyses in this abbreviated study report focus on the MITT.</p>
Patient exposure (M/F):	<p>8 males / 8 females treated with ATIR101; (N=16)</p> <p>18 males / 9 females treated with PTCy; (N=27)</p>
Status:	<p>Enrollment of patients has stopped and all patients are followed-up for at least 4 months post-HSCT. Treated patients will have limited safety follow-up up to two years post-HSCT.</p>
Summary of results:	<p>Efficacy:</p> <p>At 18 months post HSCT:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> On the primary endpoint graft-versus-host disease (GVHD)-free, relapse-free survival (GRFS), probability was estimated to be 29% for the ATIR101 treated patients compared to 65% for the PTCy treated patients; On the secondary endpoint transplant-related mortality (TRM), probability was estimated to be 42% for the ATIR101 treated patients compared to 16% for the PTCy treated patients; On the secondary endpoint overall survival (OS), probability is estimated to be 39% for the ATIR101 treated patients compared to 84% for the PTCy treated patients; On the secondary endpoint progression-free survival (PFS), probability is estimated to be 45% for the ATIR101 treated patients compared to 76% for the PTCy treated patients. <p>Safety:</p> <p>Of the 16 patients who were treated with ATIR101:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 9 patients (56.3%) reported ATIR101-related AEs, most frequently reported was classic acute GVHD (N=5; 31.3%); 4 patients (25.0%) reported ATIR101-related SAEs. The most frequently reported ATIR101-related SAE was acute GVHD; persistent, recurrent, or late-onset acute (N=2, 12.5%); 7 patients died (43.8%): 6 due to TRM and 1 due to disease relapse/progression; 5 patients (31.3%) reported grade III or IV acute GVHD; 1 patient (6.3%) had chronic GVHD requiring systemic immunosuppression; 2 patients (12.5%) reported graft failure; 4 patients (25.0%) had disease relapse or disease progression.

	<p>Of the 27 patients who were treated with PTCy:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 16 patients (59.3%) reported PTCy-related AEs, most frequently reported was cytomegalovirus viraemia (N=4; 14.8%), oral candidiasis (N=4; 14.8%), and diarrhea (N=4; 14.8%); • 6 patients (22.2%) reported PTCy-related SAEs. The most frequently reported PTCy-related SAE was cardiac failure (N=2, 7.4%); • 5 patients died (18.5%): 4 due to TRM and 1 due to COVID-19; • 2 patients (7.4%) reported grade III or IV acute GVHD; • 3 patients (11.1%) had chronic GVHD requiring systemic immunosuppression; • 1 patient (3.7%) had a graft failure; • 2 patients (7.4%) had disease relapse or disease progression.
Conclusion	<p>Study CR-AIR-009 has been prematurely terminated. Superiority on the primary endpoint GRFS for patients treated with ATIR101 when compared to patients treated with PTCy was not reached. Furthermore, from 3 months onwards the patients treated with ATIR101 have a worse TRM, OS and PFS compared to the patients treated with PTCy.</p> <p>The observed higher than expected percentage of patients discontinuing before ATIR101 treatment together with newly obtained data on GRFS for PTCy showed that the initial assumptions are no longer valid.</p> <p>The safety data from the study showed that there is no safety concern with ATIR101. The observed (S)AEs and their numbers were expected for patient treated with a haploidentical HSCT.</p>