



Clinical trial results:

Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Study of Efficacy and Safety of Donepezil Hydrochloride in Preadolescent and Adolescent Children with Attention Impairment Following Cancer Treatment

Summary

EudraCT number	2007-005435-28
Trial protocol	GB ES NL FR DE
Global end of trial date	18 September 2009

Results information

Result version number	v1
This version publication date	29 July 2016
First version publication date	29 July 2016

Trial information

Trial identification

Sponsor protocol code	E2020-G000-333
-----------------------	----------------

Additional study identifiers

ISRCTN number	-
ClinicalTrials.gov id (NCT number)	NCT00688376
WHO universal trial number (UTN)	-

Notes:

Sponsors

Sponsor organisation name	Eisai
Sponsor organisation address	100 Tice Boulevard, Woodcliff Lake, United States, 07677
Public contact	Eisai Call Center, Eisai Inc., 888 422-4743,
Scientific contact	Eisai Call Center, Eisai Inc., 888 422-4743,

Notes:

Paediatric regulatory details

Is trial part of an agreed paediatric investigation plan (PIP)	No
Does article 45 of REGULATION (EC) No 1901/2006 apply to this trial?	No
Does article 46 of REGULATION (EC) No 1901/2006 apply to this trial?	No

Notes:

Results analysis stage

Analysis stage	Final
Date of interim/final analysis	18 September 2009
Is this the analysis of the primary completion data?	Yes
Primary completion date	26 May 2009
Global end of trial reached?	Yes
Global end of trial date	18 September 2009
Was the trial ended prematurely?	No

Notes:

General information about the trial

Main objective of the trial:

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the efficacy, safety and tolerability of donepezil in children with persistent attention impairment that is present at least 12 months after the completion of cancer treatment.

Protection of trial subjects:

This study was conducted in accordance with standard operating procedures (SOPs) of the sponsor (or designee), which are designed to ensure adherence to Good Clinical Practice (GCP) guidelines as required by the following:

- Principles of the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki (World Medical Association, 2008)
- International Conference on Harmonisation (ICH) E6 Guideline for GCP (CPMP/ICH/135/95) of the European Agency for the Evaluation of Medicinal Products, Committee for Proprietary Medicinal Products, International Conference on Harmonisation of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use
- Title 21 of the United States (US) Code of Federal Regulations (US 21 CFR) regarding clinical studies, including Part 50 and Part 56 concerning informed subject consent and Institutional Review Board (IRB) regulations and applicable sections of US 21 CFR Part 312
- European Good Clinical Practice Directive 2005/28/EC and Clinical Trial Directive 2001/20/EC for studies conducted within any European Union (EU) country. All suspected unexpected serious adverse reactions were reported, as required, to the Competent Authorities of all involved EU member states.
- Article 14, Paragraph 3, and Article 80-2 of the Pharmaceutical Affairs Law (Law No. 145, 1960) for studies conducted in Japan, in addition to Japan's GCP Subject Information and Informed Consent.

Background therapy: -

Evidence for comparator: -

Actual start date of recruitment	01 August 2008
Long term follow-up planned	No
Independent data monitoring committee (IDMC) involvement?	No

Notes:

Population of trial subjects

Subjects enrolled per country

Country: Number of subjects enrolled	Spain: 4
Country: Number of subjects enrolled	United Kingdom: 2
Country: Number of subjects enrolled	France: 11
Country: Number of subjects enrolled	Germany: 1
Country: Number of subjects enrolled	Argentina: 1
Country: Number of subjects enrolled	Australia: 2
Country: Number of subjects enrolled	Chile: 4
Country: Number of subjects enrolled	United States: 33
Country: Number of subjects enrolled	Belgium: 3

Country: Number of subjects enrolled	Canada: 1
Country: Number of subjects enrolled	South Africa: 9
Worldwide total number of subjects	71
EEA total number of subjects	21

Notes:

Subjects enrolled per age group	
In utero	0
Preterm newborn - gestational age < 37 wk	0
Newborns (0-27 days)	0
Infants and toddlers (28 days-23 months)	0
Children (2-11 years)	22
Adolescents (12-17 years)	49
Adults (18-64 years)	0
From 65 to 84 years	0
85 years and over	0

Subject disposition

Recruitment

Recruitment details:

Out of 59 participants who entered the blinded extension phase, 49 participants completed and 10 participants discontinued the extension phase. Reasons for participant discontinuation was as follows: adverse event (7); withdrawal of consent (1); physician decision (1); and not specified (1).

Pre-assignment

Screening details:

This trial had three phases: (1) pre-randomization to establish eligibility; (2) a 12-week, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group phase with dose escalation based on body weight; (3) a 12-week, blinded extension phase during which all subjects received active drug. Eligible subjects were randomized to receive placebo or donepezil.

Period 1

Period 1 title	Double-Blind Phase (overall period)
Is this the baseline period?	Yes
Allocation method	Randomised - controlled
Blinding used	Double blind
Roles blinded	Subject, Investigator

Blinding implementation details:

During the Blinded Extension Phase, study personnel, participants, and parents/legal guardians continued to be blinded to the treatment that each participant received during the preceding Double-Blind Phase.

Arms

Are arms mutually exclusive?	Yes
Arm title	Donepezil

Arm description:

Donepezil 3 mg, 5 mg, or 10 mg donepezil Immediate Release (IR) orally, once daily

Arm type	Experimental
Investigational medicinal product name	Donepezil
Investigational medicinal product code	
Other name	Aricept, E2020
Pharmaceutical forms	Tablet
Routes of administration	Oral use

Dosage and administration details:

During the Double-Blind Phase, all participants randomized to receive donepezil started on 3 mg/day. Participants who weighed 35 to 49.9 kg were titrated up to 5 mg/day final dose three weeks later. Participants weighing 50.0 kg or more were titrated up after three weeks to 5 mg/day, then to a final dose of 10 mg/day three week later. No down-titrations were allowed. At Week 12, the Double-Blind Phase ended, and the Blinded Extension Phase began. Participants who were randomized to receive active treatment during the Double-Blind Phase remained at the same dose level during the entire Blinded Extension Phase.

Arm title	Placebo
------------------	---------

Arm description:

Placebo, matching 3 mg, 5 mg, or 10 mg placebo tablets administered orally, once daily

Arm type	Placebo
Investigational medicinal product name	Placebo
Investigational medicinal product code	
Other name	
Pharmaceutical forms	Tablet
Routes of administration	Oral use

Dosage and administration details:

During the Double-Blind Phase, matching 3 mg, 5 mg, and 10 mg placebo tablets were administered orally, once daily, to participants receiving placebo. At Week 12, the Double-Blind Phase ended, and the Blinded Extension Phase began. Participants who had been on placebo during the Double-Blind Phase began receiving active treatment during the Blinded Extension Phase. Dose titration was applied for those participants on final doses of 5 mg and 10 mg donepezil, according to the dose increment (titration) schedule that was applied to active treatment participants during the Double-Blind Phase.

Number of subjects in period 1	Donepezil	Placebo
Started	40	31
Completed	34	25
Not completed	6	6
Consent withdrawn by subject	1	-
Adverse event, non-fatal	4	4
Protocol violation	1	2

Baseline characteristics

Reporting groups

Reporting group title	Donepezil
Reporting group description:	
Donepezil 3 mg, 5 mg, or 10 mg donepezil Immediate Release (IR) orally, once daily	
Reporting group title	Placebo
Reporting group description:	
Placebo, matching 3 mg, 5 mg, or 10 mg placebo tablets administered orally, once daily	

Reporting group values	Donepezil	Placebo	Total
Number of subjects	40	31	71
Age categorical Units: Subjects			
In utero			0
Preterm newborn infants (gestational age < 37 wks)			0
Newborns (0-27 days)			0
Infants and toddlers (28 days-23 months)			0
Children (2-11 years)			0
Adolescents (12-17 years)			0
Adults (18-64 years)			0
From 65-84 years			0
85 years and over			0
Age continuous Units: years			
arithmetic mean	12.1	11.6	
standard deviation	± 3.06	± 2.83	-
Gender categorical Units: Subjects			
Female	15	21	36
Male	25	10	35

End points

End points reporting groups

Reporting group title	Donepezil
Reporting group description:	
Donepezil 3 mg, 5 mg, or 10 mg donepezil Immediate Release (IR) orally, once daily	
Reporting group title	Placebo
Reporting group description:	
Placebo, matching 3 mg, 5 mg, or 10 mg placebo tablets administered orally, once daily	

Primary: Change From Baseline in the Test of Variables in Attention-Continuous Performance Test (TOVA-CPT) "d-prime" Standard Score (SS) at Week 12

End point title	Change From Baseline in the Test of Variables in Attention-Continuous Performance Test (TOVA-CPT) "d-prime" Standard Score (SS) at Week 12
End point description:	
<p>The TOVA-CPT test has a standardized computer game-like format that tests attention and simple impulse control. It precisely measures a person's reaction time to clicking on correct targets versus incorrect targets. Scores are based on the number of "Hits" (correct responses), omission errors (failure to respond), commission errors/"False Alarms" (incorrect responses), response time, and sensitivity ("d-prime"). "D-prime" is a measure of distractibility and reflects how well a person reacts correctly versus incorrectly. A higher value of "d-prime" is reached by having more "Hits" (correct response) and fewer "False Alarms" (incorrect response). Analysis was based on three factors: the "d-prime" standard score, the reaction time variability standard score, and the response time standard score. Standard scores less than or equal to 80 were significant for an attention deficit disorder. Standard scores greater than 80 were not significant for an attention deficit disorder.</p>	
End point type	Primary
End point timeframe:	
Visit 0 (Screening) and Week 12 (Visit 4)	

End point values	Donepezil	Placebo		
Subject group type	Reporting group	Reporting group		
Number of subjects analysed	39	30		
Units: "d-prime"				
arithmetic mean (standard deviation)	5.2 (± 8.77)	4.5 (± 7.39)		

Statistical analyses

Statistical analysis title	Change from Baseline in "d-prime" SS at Week 12
Statistical analysis description:	
P-values, least squares (LS) mean, and 95% confidence interval (CI) were obtained from Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) model with treatment group as a factor and Baseline value as covariate.	
Comparison groups	Placebo v Donepezil

Number of subjects included in analysis	69
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority
P-value	= 0.694
Method	ANCOVA
Parameter estimate	LS mean difference
Point estimate	0.8
Confidence interval	
level	95 %
sides	2-sided
lower limit	-3.22
upper limit	4.8

Secondary: Change From Baseline at Week 6 or Week 12 in the TOVA-CPT "d-prime" Standard Score (SS)

End point title	Change From Baseline at Week 6 or Week 12 in the TOVA-CPT "d-prime" Standard Score (SS)
-----------------	---

End point description:

The TOVA-CPT test has a standardized computer game-like format that tests attention and simple impulse control. It precisely measures a person's reaction time to clicking on correct targets versus incorrect targets. Scores are based on the number of "Hits" (correct responses), omission errors (failure to respond), commission errors/"False Alarms" (incorrect responses), response time, and sensitivity ("d-prime"). "D-prime" is a measure of distractibility and reflects how well a person reacts correctly versus incorrectly. A higher value of "d-prime" is reached by having more "Hits" (correct response) and fewer "False Alarms" (incorrect response). Analysis was based on three factors: the "d-prime" standard score, the reaction time variability standard score, and the response time standard score. Standard scores less than or equal to 80 were significant for an attention deficit disorder. Standard scores greater than 80 were not significant for an attention deficit disorder.

End point type	Secondary
----------------	-----------

End point timeframe:

Screening (Visit 0) and Week 6, and Week 12

End point values	Donepezil	Placebo		
Subject group type	Reporting group	Reporting group		
Number of subjects analysed	39	30		
Units: "d-prime"				
arithmetic mean (standard deviation)	5.7 (± 8.41)	6.2 (± 9.49)		

Statistical analyses

Statistical analysis title	Change from Baseline at Week 6 or Week 12
----------------------------	---

Statistical analysis description:

P-values, LS mean, and 95% confidence intervals were obtained from ANCOVA model with treatment group as a factor and baseline value as covariate.

Comparison groups	Donepezil v Placebo
-------------------	---------------------

Number of subjects included in analysis	69
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority
P-value	= 0.9458
Method	ANCOVA
Parameter estimate	LS mean difference
Point estimate	-0.1
Confidence interval	
level	95 %
sides	2-sided
lower limit	-4.38
upper limit	4.09

Secondary: Change From Baseline at Week 6 or Week 12 in the Reaction Time Variability Standard Score (RTVSS) and Response Time Standard Score (RTSS)

End point title	Change From Baseline at Week 6 or Week 12 in the Reaction Time Variability Standard Score (RTVSS) and Response Time Standard Score (RTSS)
-----------------	---

End point description:

The Reaction Time Variability is defined as the time measurement of how consistently the switch is pressed. The Response Time is the measurement of how fast or slow information is processed and responded to by the participant. The testing process was as described in a previous outcome measure. Standard scores less than or equal to 80 were significant for an attention deficit disorder. Standard scores greater than 80 were not significant for an attention deficit disorder.

End point type	Secondary
----------------	-----------

End point timeframe:

Screening (Visit 0) and Week 6, and Week 12

End point values	Donepezil	Placebo		
Subject group type	Reporting group	Reporting group		
Number of subjects analysed	39	30		
Units: Milliseconds				
arithmetic mean (standard deviation)				
RTVSS (Week 6)	-3.4 (± 18.44)	-3.2 (± 15.75)		
RTVSS (Week 12)	-3.9 (± 15.21)	-5 (± 17)		
RTSS (Week 6)	-6.9 (± 13.17)	-9.6 (± 13.25)		
RTSS (Week 12)	-9 (± 13.15)	-9.1 (± 12.79)		

Statistical analyses

Statistical analysis title	RTVSS Change from Baseline to Week 6 (LOCF)
----------------------------	---

Statistical analysis description:

P-values, LS mean, and 95% confidence intervals were obtained from ANCOVA model with treatment group as a factor and baseline value as covariate.

Comparison groups	Donepezil v Placebo
-------------------	---------------------

Number of subjects included in analysis	69
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority
P-value	= 0.743
Method	ANCOVA
Parameter estimate	LS mean difference
Point estimate	-1.4
Confidence interval	
level	95 %
sides	2-sided
lower limit	-9.56
upper limit	6.85

Statistical analysis title	RTVSS Change from Baseline to Week 12 (LOCF)
-----------------------------------	--

Statistical analysis description:

P-values, LS mean, and 95% confidence intervals were obtained from ANCOVA model with treatment group as a factor and baseline value as covariate.

Comparison groups	Donepezil v Placebo
Number of subjects included in analysis	69
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority
P-value	= 0.9561
Method	ANCOVA
Parameter estimate	LS mean difference
Point estimate	0.2
Confidence interval	
level	95 %
sides	2-sided
lower limit	-7.42
upper limit	7.84

Statistical analysis title	RTSS Change from Baseline to Week 6 (LOCF)
-----------------------------------	--

Statistical analysis description:

P-values, LS means, and 95% confidence intervals were obtained from ANCOVA model with treatment group as a factor and baseline value as covariate.

Comparison groups	Donepezil v Placebo
Number of subjects included in analysis	69
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority
P-value	= 0.4385
Method	ANCOVA
Parameter estimate	LS mean difference
Point estimate	2.5

Confidence interval	
level	95 %
sides	2-sided
lower limit	-3.97
upper limit	9.04

Statistical analysis title	RTSS Change from Baseline to Week 12 (LOCF)
-----------------------------------	---

Statistical analysis description:

P-values, LS mean, and 95% confidence intervals were obtained from ANCOVA model with treatment group as a factor and baseline value as covariate.

Comparison groups	Donepezil v Placebo
Number of subjects included in analysis	69
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority
P-value	= 0.9088
Method	ANCOVA
Parameter estimate	LS mean difference
Point estimate	-0.4
Confidence interval	
level	95 %
sides	2-sided
lower limit	-6.74
upper limit	6

Secondary: Change From Baseline in the Global Executive Composite Score, Behavioral Regulation Index, Metacognition Index, and Working Memory Subscale

End point title	Change From Baseline in the Global Executive Composite Score, Behavioral Regulation Index, Metacognition Index, and Working Memory Subscale
-----------------	---

End point description:

The Behavioral Rating Inventory of Executive Functioning (BRIEF) test evaluates impairment of executive function (planning and organization), memory, and sustained attention in children aged 5-18 years with a wide range of developmental and acquired neurological conditions. The survey is designed to assess the parent/guardian's perception of their child's executive functioning in home and school environments, which relate to daily function (as judged by the parent). Each survey contains 86 items that are scored as; 1 (the behavior is never a problem), 2 (the behavior is sometimes a problem), or 3 (the behavior is often a problem). Data was presented as the Global Executive Composite Score (range 72-216), Behavioral Regulation Index (range 28-84; inhibit, shift, and emotional control), Metacognition Index (range 44-132; initiate, working memory, plan/organize, organization of materials, and monitor), and Working Memory Subscale. Higher BRIEF scores indicate a decline in performance.

End point type	Secondary
----------------	-----------

End point timeframe:

Baseline (Visit 1), Week 12 (Visit 4)

End point values	Donepezil	Placebo		
Subject group type	Reporting group	Reporting group		
Number of subjects analysed	39	30		
Units: Scores on a scale				
arithmetic mean (standard deviation)				
Global Executive Composite Score	-1.3 (± 6.73)	-3.8 (± 6.78)		
Behavioral Regulation Index	0.8 (± 7.54)	-1.9 (± 6.91)		
Metacognition Index	-2.3 (± 7.29)	-4.9 (± 6.99)		
Working Memory Scale	-3.6 (± 7.45)	-3.3 (± 6.59)		

Statistical analyses

Statistical analysis title	Global Executive Composite Score Analysis
Statistical analysis description:	
P-values, LS mean, and 95% confidence intervals were obtained from ANCOVA model with treatment group as a factor and baseline value as covariate.	
Comparison groups	Donepezil v Placebo
Number of subjects included in analysis	69
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority
P-value	= 0.2886
Method	ANCOVA
Parameter estimate	LS mean difference
Point estimate	1.7
Confidence interval	
level	95 %
sides	2-sided
lower limit	-1.5
upper limit	4.96

Statistical analysis title	Behavioral Regulation Index Analysis
Statistical analysis description:	
P-values, LS mean, and 95% confidence intervals were obtained from ANCOVA model with treatment group as a factor and baseline value as covariate.	
Comparison groups	Donepezil v Placebo
Number of subjects included in analysis	69
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority
P-value	= 0.2555
Method	ANCOVA
Parameter estimate	LS mean difference
Point estimate	2.1
Confidence interval	
level	95 %
sides	2-sided
lower limit	-1.54
upper limit	5.69

Statistical analysis title	Metacognition Index Analysis
Statistical analysis description: P-values and 95% confidence intervals were obtained from ANCOVA model with treatment group as a factor and baseline value as covariate.	
Comparison groups	Donepezil v Placebo
Number of subjects included in analysis	69
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority
P-value	= 0.3155
Method	ANCOVA
Parameter estimate	LS mean difference
Point estimate	1.7
Confidence interval	
level	95 %
sides	2-sided
lower limit	-1.63
upper limit	4.99

Statistical analysis title	Working Memory Scale Analysis
Statistical analysis description: P-values, LS mean, and 95% confidence intervals were obtained from ANCOVA model with treatment group as a factor and baseline value as covariate.	
Comparison groups	Donepezil v Placebo
Number of subjects included in analysis	69
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority
P-value	= 0.9075
Method	ANCOVA
Parameter estimate	Least squares mean difference
Point estimate	-0.2
Confidence interval	
level	95 %
sides	2-sided
lower limit	-3.55
upper limit	3.16

Adverse events

Adverse events information

Timeframe for reporting adverse events:

For each participant, adverse events were collected from the time the participant signed the informed consent form up to 30 days after discontinuation, or approximately 212 days.

Adverse event reporting additional description:

Safety population included randomized participants who received at least one dose of study medication and who had at least one post-Baseline safety assessment. Treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs), defined as an adverse event that started/increased in severity on/after the first dose of study medication are presented in this section.

Assessment type	Systematic
-----------------	------------

Dictionary used

Dictionary name	MedDRA
-----------------	--------

Dictionary version	11.0
--------------------	------

Reporting groups

Reporting group title	Donepezil Double-Blind Phase
-----------------------	------------------------------

Reporting group description:

Donepezil 3 mg, 5 mg, or 10 mg donepezil Immediate Release (IR) orally, once daily

Reporting group title	Placebo Double-Blind Phase
-----------------------	----------------------------

Reporting group description:

Placebo, matching 3 mg, 5 mg, or 10 mg placebo tablets administered orally, once daily

Reporting group title	Donepezil Blinded Extension Phase
-----------------------	-----------------------------------

Reporting group description:

Donepezil 3 mg, 5 mg, or 10 mg donepezil Immediate Release (IR) orally, once daily

Reporting group title	Placebo Blinded Extension Phase
-----------------------	---------------------------------

Reporting group description:

Placebo, matching 3 mg, 5 mg, or 10 mg placebo tablets administered orally, once daily

Serious adverse events	Donepezil Double-Blind Phase	Placebo Double-Blind Phase	Donepezil Blinded Extension Phase
Total subjects affected by serious adverse events			
subjects affected / exposed	1 / 40 (2.50%)	1 / 31 (3.23%)	0 / 34 (0.00%)
number of deaths (all causes)	0	0	0
number of deaths resulting from adverse events			
Neoplasms benign, malignant and unspecified (incl cysts and polyps)			
Rhabdomyosarcoma			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 40 (0.00%)	1 / 31 (3.23%)	0 / 34 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 1	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Blood and lymphatic system disorders			
Neutropenia			

subjects affected / exposed	1 / 40 (2.50%)	0 / 31 (0.00%)	0 / 34 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	1 / 1	0 / 0	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Infections and infestations			
Upper respiratory tract infection			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 40 (0.00%)	0 / 31 (0.00%)	0 / 34 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0

Serious adverse events	Placebo Blinded Extension Phase		
Total subjects affected by serious adverse events			
subjects affected / exposed	1 / 25 (4.00%)		
number of deaths (all causes)	0		
number of deaths resulting from adverse events			
Neoplasms benign, malignant and unspecified (incl cysts and polyps)			
Rhabdomyosarcoma			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 25 (0.00%)		
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0		
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0		
Blood and lymphatic system disorders			
Neutropenia			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 25 (0.00%)		
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0		
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0		
Infections and infestations			
Upper respiratory tract infection			
subjects affected / exposed	1 / 25 (4.00%)		
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 1		
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0		

Frequency threshold for reporting non-serious adverse events: 5 %

Non-serious adverse events	Donepezil Double-Blind Phase	Placebo Double-Blind Phase	Donepezil Blinded Extension Phase
Total subjects affected by non-serious adverse events subjects affected / exposed	25 / 40 (62.50%)	17 / 31 (54.84%)	11 / 34 (32.35%)
Nervous system disorders			
Dizziness			
subjects affected / exposed	1 / 40 (2.50%)	0 / 31 (0.00%)	0 / 34 (0.00%)
occurrences (all)	1	0	0
Headache			
subjects affected / exposed	10 / 40 (25.00%)	7 / 31 (22.58%)	2 / 34 (5.88%)
occurrences (all)	11	11	2
Memory impairment			
subjects affected / exposed	2 / 40 (5.00%)	0 / 31 (0.00%)	0 / 34 (0.00%)
occurrences (all)	2	0	0
General disorders and administration site conditions			
Fatigue			
subjects affected / exposed	2 / 40 (5.00%)	3 / 31 (9.68%)	2 / 34 (5.88%)
occurrences (all)	2	3	2
Pyrexia			
subjects affected / exposed	1 / 40 (2.50%)	2 / 31 (6.45%)	0 / 34 (0.00%)
occurrences (all)	1	2	0
Asthenia			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 40 (0.00%)	2 / 31 (6.45%)	1 / 34 (2.94%)
occurrences (all)	0	2	1
Gastrointestinal disorders			
Abdominal pain			
subjects affected / exposed	3 / 40 (7.50%)	3 / 31 (9.68%)	1 / 34 (2.94%)
occurrences (all)	4	3	1
Abdominal pain upper			
subjects affected / exposed	2 / 40 (5.00%)	2 / 31 (6.45%)	2 / 34 (5.88%)
occurrences (all)	2	2	2
Diarrhoea			
subjects affected / exposed	3 / 40 (7.50%)	1 / 31 (3.23%)	2 / 34 (5.88%)
occurrences (all)	3	1	2
Nausea			
subjects affected / exposed	7 / 40 (17.50%)	2 / 31 (6.45%)	1 / 34 (2.94%)
occurrences (all)	9	2	1

Stomach discomfort subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all)	0 / 40 (0.00%) 0	2 / 31 (6.45%) 2	0 / 34 (0.00%) 0
Vomiting subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all)	2 / 40 (5.00%) 2	1 / 31 (3.23%) 1	2 / 34 (5.88%) 2
Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders Cough subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all)	4 / 40 (10.00%) 4	1 / 31 (3.23%) 1	0 / 34 (0.00%) 0
Epistaxis subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all)	0 / 40 (0.00%) 0	1 / 31 (3.23%) 1	0 / 34 (0.00%) 0
Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders Rash subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all)	3 / 40 (7.50%) 3	2 / 31 (6.45%) 2	0 / 34 (0.00%) 0
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders Muscle spasms subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all)	4 / 40 (10.00%) 4	1 / 31 (3.23%) 1	0 / 34 (0.00%) 0
Pain in extremity subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all)	2 / 40 (5.00%) 2	0 / 31 (0.00%) 0	0 / 34 (0.00%) 0
Infections and infestations Nasopharyngitis subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all)	4 / 40 (10.00%) 4	3 / 31 (9.68%) 3	2 / 34 (5.88%) 2
Upper respiratory tract infection subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all)	1 / 40 (2.50%) 1	1 / 31 (3.23%) 1	2 / 34 (5.88%) 2
Non-serious adverse events	Placebo Blinded Extension Phase		
Total subjects affected by non-serious adverse events subjects affected / exposed	13 / 25 (52.00%)		
Nervous system disorders			

Dizziness			
subjects affected / exposed	4 / 25 (16.00%)		
occurrences (all)	4		
Headache			
subjects affected / exposed	6 / 25 (24.00%)		
occurrences (all)	10		
Memory impairment			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 25 (0.00%)		
occurrences (all)	0		
General disorders and administration site conditions			
Fatigue			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 25 (0.00%)		
occurrences (all)	0		
Pyrexia			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 25 (0.00%)		
occurrences (all)	0		
Asthenia			
subjects affected / exposed	1 / 25 (4.00%)		
occurrences (all)	1		
Gastrointestinal disorders			
Abdominal pain			
subjects affected / exposed	2 / 25 (8.00%)		
occurrences (all)	4		
Abdominal pain upper			
subjects affected / exposed	1 / 25 (4.00%)		
occurrences (all)	1		
Diarrhoea			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 25 (0.00%)		
occurrences (all)	0		
Nausea			
subjects affected / exposed	3 / 25 (12.00%)		
occurrences (all)	5		
Stomach discomfort			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 25 (0.00%)		
occurrences (all)	0		
Vomiting			

subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all)	3 / 25 (12.00%) 3		
Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders Cough subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all) Epistaxis subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all)	0 / 25 (0.00%) 0 2 / 25 (8.00%) 3		
Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders Rash subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all)	0 / 25 (0.00%) 0		
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders Muscle spasms subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all) Pain in extremity subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all)	2 / 25 (8.00%) 3 0 / 25 (0.00%) 0		
Infections and infestations Nasopharyngitis subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all) Upper respiratory tract infection subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all)	0 / 25 (0.00%) 0 1 / 25 (4.00%) 1		

More information

Substantial protocol amendments (globally)

Were there any global substantial amendments to the protocol? Yes

Date	Amendment
21 March 2008	<p>Changes to the study design and conduct included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Updated the number of centers to be approximately 40.• Updated the list of abbreviations and definition of terms.• Added information pertaining to pharmacokinetics and safety of donepezil in pediatric subjects.• Revised the secondary objectives of the study.• Revised inclusion criteria #2, #3, #7 and #14.• Renumbered the exclusion criteria and revised criteria #3, #6, #7 and #10.• Added exclusion criteria #4 stating exclusion of subjects scoring higher than the t-score of 75 on oppositional scale of CPRS-R(S).• Added exclusion criteria #17 stating exclusion of subjects diagnosed with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (DSM IV criteria) before or after cancer therapy.• Added that the dose to be received by each subject was to be based on body weight at Screening.• Added Conners' Parent Rating Scale – Revised (S).• Added section pertaining to warnings and precautions. <p>Administrative changes included changes in Eisai contact information, and information about contract research organizations.</p>
25 February 2009	<p>Changes to the study design and conduct included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Updated the number of centers to be approximately 50, and eliminated the use of centers in East Asia.• Revised the number of subjects from 300 to 70 and noted that data from studies E2020-G000-333 and E2020-G000-334 would be pooled.• Revised the TOVA-CPT measure to be used as the primary efficacy parameter (d' standard score)• Revised the definitions of the Safety and the ITT populations• Eliminated the category of efficacy assessments for simplification, since the information is contained in the SAP• Eliminated the stratification of inferential analyses by study center and/or geographic region• Revised exclusion criterion #8 to also exclude subjects with rare heredity disorders and exclusion criterion #9 to also exclude subjects with hypersensitivity to other excipients in study medication• Revised inclusion criterion #7 to allow the use of IQ scores available prior to Screening• Clarified the allowable interval between Screening and Baseline visits• Added a provision that the CPRS-R(S) may also be used as a safety assessment• Changed wording to clarify that glucose is to be assessed at other visits in addition to Screening <p>Administrative changes included changes in the Eisai UK address and in contact information regarding reporting SAEs, pregnancies, deaths and life-threatening events.</p>

Notes:

Interruptions (globally)

Were there any global interruptions to the trial? No

Limitations and caveats

Limitations of the trial such as small numbers of subjects analysed or technical problems leading to unreliable data.

Limitations of the study, such as early termination leading to small numbers of subjects analyzed and technical problems with measurement leading to unreliable or uninterpretable data.

Notes: