STATISTICAL ANALYSIS FOR Percentage of Subjects Achieving Serum Bactericidal Assay Using Human Complement (hSBA) Titer Greater Than or Equal to (>=) Lower Limit of Quantitation: Group 1 and 2 Subjects

Statistical analysis title	PMB80 [A22] Group 1
Statistical analysis description	Response rate was compared with 50%, using 1-sided exact test based on binomial distribution. p-Value <0.0125 was considered significant.
Comparison groups	Group 1:rLP2086(0-, 1-, 6-Month) + Saline(2-Month)
Number of subjects included in analysis	365
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority
P-value	< 0.001
Method	Binomial Distribution
Confidence interval	

Statistical analysis title	PMB2001 [A56] Group 1
Statistical analysis description	Response rate was compared with 50%, using 1-sided exact test based on binomial distribution. p-Value <0.0125 was considered significant.
Comparison groups	Group 1:rLP2086(0-, 1-, 6-Month) + Saline(2-Month)
Number of subjects included in analysis	365
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority
P-value	< 0.001
Method	Binomial Distribution
Confidence interval	

Statistical analysis title	PMB2948 [B24] Group 1
Statistical analysis description	Response rate was compared with 50%, using 1-sided exact test based on binomial distribution. p-Value <0.0125 was considered significant.
Comparison groups	Group 1:rLP2086(0-, 1-, 6-Month) + Saline(2-Month)
Number of subjects included in analysis	365
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority
P-value	< 0.001
Method	Binomial Distribution
Confidence interval	

Statistical analysis title	PMB2707 [B44] Group 1
Statistical analysis description	Response rate was compared with 50%, using 1-sided exact test based on binomial distribution. p-Value <0.0125 was considered significant.

Comparison groups	Group 1:rLP2086(0-, 1-, 6-Month) + Saline(2-Month)
Number of subjects included in analysis	365
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority
P-value	< 0.001
Method	Binomial Distribution
Confidence interval	

Statistical analysis title	PMB80 [A22] Group 2
Statistical analysis description	Response rate was compared with 50%, using 1-sided exact test based on binomial distribution. p-Value <0.0125 was considered significant.
Comparison groups	Group 2:rLP2086(0-, 2-, 6-Month) +Saline(1-Month)
Number of subjects included in analysis	360
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority
P-value	< 0.001
Method	Binomial Distribution
Confidence interval	

Statistical analysis title	PMB2001 [A56] Group 2
Statistical analysis description	Response rate was compared with 50%, using 1-sided exact test based on binomial distribution. p-Value <0.0125 was considered significant.
Comparison groups	Group 2:rLP2086(0-, 2-, 6-Month) +Saline(1-Month)
Number of subjects included in analysis	360
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority
P-value	< 0.001
Method	Binomial Distribution
Confidence interval	

Statistical analysis title	PMB2948 [B24] Group 2
Statistical analysis description	Response rate was compared with 50%, using 1-sided exact test based on binomial distribution. p-Value <0.0125 was considered significant.
Comparison groups	Group 2:rLP2086(0-, 2-, 6-Month) +Saline(1-Month)
Number of subjects included in analysis	360

Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority
P-value	< 0.001
Method	Binomial Distribution
Confidence interval	

Statistical analysis title	PMB2707 [B44] Group 2
Statistical analysis description	Response rate was compared with 50%, using 1-sided exact test based on binomial distribution. p-Value <0.0125 was considered significant.
Comparison groups	Group 2:rLP2086(0-, 2-, 6-Month) +Saline(1-Month)
Number of subjects included in analysis	360
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority
P-value	< 0.001
Method	Binomial Distribution
Confidence interval	