

Statistical Analyses 1 for Percentage of Assessable Vascular Segments Using Gadobutrol-Enhanced MRA and Unenhanced MRA

Statistical analysis title	Statistical analysis 1- Majority reader		Analysis Type	Superiority
			Comment	
Statistical analysis description	The percentage difference was calculated as gadobutrol-enhanced minus unenhanced MRA. In the below table, "n/n" signifies those subjects/segments that were evaluable for the specified category.			
Comparison groups or subject analysis sets	Gadobutrol-Enhanced MRA versus Unenhanced MRA			
Number of subjects in this analysis	(n=457/9597)			
Analysis specification	<i>Pre-specified</i>			
Statistical hypothesis test				
P-value	<	Value: <u>0.0001</u>	Comment	
Method [Required if P-value provided]	<i>Other method name: (specify) Cluster adjusted McNemar</i>			
Parameter Estimate				
Parameter type	Other effect estimate: Percentage difference			
Point estimate	22.3			

Confidence interval	Level	Other: 95.1%	Sides	2	Lower limit	20.4	Upper limit	NA*
Variability estimate	Choose an item.			Dispersion Value				

*NA - Not Appropriate, upper intervals were not used in the statistical analysis and were not of interest for the study.

Statistical Analyses 2 for Percentage of Assessable Vascular Segments Using Gadobutrol-Enhanced MRA and Unenhanced MRA

Statistical analysis title	Statistical analysis 2- Blinded reader 1		Analysis Type	Superiority
			Comment	
Statistical analysis description	The percentage difference was calculated as gadobutrol-enhanced minus unenhanced MRA. In the below table, "n/n" signifies those subjects/segments that were evaluable for the specified category.			
Comparison groups or subject analysis sets	Gadobutrol-Enhanced MRA versus Unenhanced MRA			
Number of subjects in this analysis	(n=457/9597)			
Analysis specification	<i>Pre-specified</i>			
Statistical hypothesis test				
P-value	<	Value: <u>0.0001</u>	Comment	
Method [Required if P-value provided]	<i>Other method name: (specify) Cluster adjusted McNemar</i>			
Parameter Estimate				
Parameter type	Other effect estimate: Percentage difference			
Point estimate	63.8			

Confidence interval	Level	Other: 95.1%	Sides	2	Lower limit	60.9	Upper limit	NA*
Variability estimate	Choose an item.			Dispersion Value				

*NA - Not Appropriate, upper intervals were not used in the statistical analysis and were not of interest for the study.

Statistical Analyses 3 for Percentage of Assessable Vascular Segments Using Gadobutrol-Enhanced MRA and Unenhanced MRA

Statistical analysis title	Statistical analysis 3 - Blinded reader 2		Analysis Type	Superiority
			Comment	
Statistical analysis description	The percentage difference was calculated as gadobutrol-enhanced minus unenhanced MRA. In the below table, "n/n" signifies those subjects/segments that were evaluable for the specified category.			
Comparison groups or subject analysis sets	Gadobutrol-Enhanced MRA versus Unenhanced MRA			
Number of subjects in this analysis	(n=457/9597)			
Analysis specification	<i>Pre-specified</i>			
Statistical hypothesis test				
P-value	<	Value: <u>0.0001</u>	Comment	
Method [Required if P-value provided]	<i>Other method name: (specify) Cluster adjusted McNemar</i>			
Parameter Estimate				
Parameter type	Other effect estimate: Percentage difference			
Point estimate	19.6			

Confidence interval	Level	Other: 95.1%	Sides	2	Lower limit	17.8	Upper limit	NA*
Variability estimate	Choose an item.			Dispersion Value				

*NA - Not Appropriate, upper intervals were not used in the statistical analysis and were not of interest for the study.

Statistical Analyses 4 for Percentage of Assessable Vascular Segments Using Gadobutrol-Enhanced MRA and Unenhanced MRA

Statistical analysis title	Statistical analysis 4 - Blinded reader 3		Analysis Type	Superiority
			Comment	
Statistical analysis description	The percentage difference was calculated as gadobutrol-enhanced minus unenhanced MRA. In the below table, "n/n" signifies those subjects/segments that were evaluable for the specified category.			
Comparison groups or subject analysis sets	Gadobutrol-Enhanced MRA versus Unenhanced MRA			
Number of subjects in this analysis	(n=457/9597)			
Analysis specification	<i>Pre-specified</i>			
Statistical hypothesis test				
P-value	<	Value: <u>0.0001</u>	Comment	
Method [Required if P-value provided]	<i>Other method name: (specify) Cluster adjusted McNemar</i>			
Parameter Estimate				
Parameter type	Other effect estimate: Percentage difference			
Point estimate	15.0			

Confidence interval	Level	Other: 95.1%	Sides	2	Lower limit	13.3	Upper limit	NA*
Variability estimate	Choose an item.			Dispersion Value				

*NA - Not Appropriate, upper intervals were not used in the statistical analysis and were not of interest for the study.

Statistical Analyses 5 for Percentage of Assessable Vascular Segments Using Gadobutrol-Enhanced MRA and Unenhanced MRA

Statistical analysis title	Statistical analysis 5 - Clinical investigators		Analysis Type	Superiority
			Comment	
Statistical analysis description	The percentage difference was calculated as gadobutrol-enhanced minus unenhanced MRA. In the below table, "n/n" signifies those subjects/segments that were evaluable for the specified category.			
Comparison groups or subject analysis sets	Gadobutrol-Enhanced MRA versus Unenhanced MRA			
Number of subjects in this analysis	(n=457/9597)			
Analysis specification	<i>Pre-specified</i>			
Statistical hypothesis test				
P-value	<	Value: <u>0.0001</u>	Comment	
Method [Required if P-value provided]	<i>Other method name: (specify) Cluster adjusted McNemar</i>			
Parameter Estimate				
Parameter type	Other effect estimate: Percentage difference			
Point estimate	18.5			

Confidence interval	Level	Other: 95.1%	Sides	2	Lower limit	16.5	Upper limit	NA*
Variability estimate	Choose an item.			Dispersion Value				

*NA - Not Appropriate, upper intervals were not used in the statistical analysis and were not of interest for the study.