



Clinical trial results: The role of immunosuppressives in immunosenescence and immunotolerance in renal transplantation

Summary

| | |
|--------------------------|----------------|
| EudraCT number | 2011-003604-21 |
| Trial protocol | AT |
| Global end of trial date | 05 April 2013 |

Results information

| | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| Result version number | v1 (current) |
| This version publication date | 17 September 2020 |
| First version publication date | 17 September 2020 |

Trial information

Trial identification

| | |
|-----------------------|--------|
| Sponsor protocol code | KT-IBA |
|-----------------------|--------|

Additional study identifiers

| | |
|------------------------------------|---|
| ISRCTN number | - |
| ClinicalTrials.gov id (NCT number) | - |
| WHO universal trial number (UTN) | - |

Notes:

Sponsors

| | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Sponsor organisation name | Medical University Innsbruck |
| Sponsor organisation address | Christoph-Probst-Platz 1, Innrain 52 A, Innsbruck, Austria, 6020 |
| Public contact | Christian Koppelstätter, Medical University Innsbruck, University Hospital for Internal Medicine IV, +43 51250425885, christian.koppelstaetter@tirol-kliniken.at |
| Scientific contact | Christian Koppelstätter, Medical University Innsbruck, University Hospital for Internal Medicine IV, +43 51250425885, christian.koppelstaetter@tirol-kliniken.at |

Notes:

Paediatric regulatory details

| | |
|--|----|
| Is trial part of an agreed paediatric investigation plan (PIP) | No |
| Does article 45 of REGULATION (EC) No 1901/2006 apply to this trial? | No |
| Does article 46 of REGULATION (EC) No 1901/2006 apply to this trial? | No |

Notes:

Results analysis stage

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Analysis stage | Final |
| Date of interim/final analysis | 05 April 2013 |
| Is this the analysis of the primary completion data? | No |
| Global end of trial reached? | Yes |
| Global end of trial date | 05 April 2013 |
| Was the trial ended prematurely? | No |

Notes:

General information about the trial

Main objective of the trial:

In the present study we investigated the effect of longterm (> 1 year after kidney transplantation) immunosuppressive therapy on T cells from kidney transplanted patients of different age and compared the results to age-matched healthy individuals. We also analysed the influence of latent CMV infection on the T cell pool of patients and controls.

Protection of trial subjects:

One blood sample was taken from kidney transplanted patients under immunosuppressive therapy as well as from age-matched healthy controls.

Background therapy:

All patients were under immunosuppressive therapy. Treatment regimens varied among patients, but were similar for the different age groups. The most commonly used combination of immunosuppressive drugs was tacrolimus with mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) and cortisone (22.1%).

Evidence for comparator:

No comparators were used.

| | |
|---|------------------|
| Actual start date of recruitment | 18 November 2011 |
| Long term follow-up planned | No |
| Independent data monitoring committee (IDMC) involvement? | No |

Notes:

Population of trial subjects

Subjects enrolled per country

| | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | Austria: 78 |
| Worldwide total number of subjects | 78 |
| EEA total number of subjects | 78 |

Notes:

Subjects enrolled per age group

| | |
|---|----|
| In utero | 0 |
| Preterm newborn - gestational age < 37 wk | 0 |
| Newborns (0-27 days) | 0 |
| Infants and toddlers (28 days-23 months) | 0 |
| Children (2-11 years) | 0 |
| Adolescents (12-17 years) | 0 |
| Adults (18-64 years) | 53 |

| | |
|---------------------|----|
| From 65 to 84 years | 25 |
| 85 years and over | 0 |

Subject disposition

Recruitment

Recruitment details:

Subjects who underwent a kidney transplantation at the University Hospital for Internal Medicine IV one or more years ago and who were under immunosuppressive treatment.

Pre-assignment

Screening details:

Indications for transplantation varied greatly among patients. All patients were under immunosuppressive therapy. Patients with symptoms of chronic or acute rejection and patients with impaired transplant function were excluded from the study.

Period 1

| | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Period 1 title | Overall study (overall period) |
| Is this the baseline period? | Yes |
| Allocation method | Not applicable |
| Blinding used | Not blinded |

Arms

| | |
|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Are arms mutually exclusive? | Yes |
| Arm title | Young participants |

Arm description:

In this study, T cell function and the composition of the T cell repertoire were analysed in immunosuppressed renal transplant recipients of different age and cytomegalovirus (CMV) status in comparison to age- and CMV-matched controls.

| | |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| Arm type | long-term immunosuppressive therapy |
| Investigational medicinal product name | Certican |
| Investigational medicinal product code | |
| Other name | Everolimus |
| Pharmaceutical forms | Tablet |
| Routes of administration | Oral use |

Dosage and administration details:

All patients were under immunosuppressive therapy. Treatment regimens varied among patients, but were similar for the different age groups.

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Investigational medicinal product name | Rapamune |
| Investigational medicinal product code | |
| Other name | Sirolimus |
| Pharmaceutical forms | Coated tablet |
| Routes of administration | Oral use |

Dosage and administration details:

All patients were under immunosuppressive therapy. Treatment regimens varied among patients, but were similar for the different age groups.

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Investigational medicinal product name | Sandimmun |
| Investigational medicinal product code | |
| Other name | Cyclosporin |
| Pharmaceutical forms | Capsule, soft |
| Routes of administration | Oral use |

Dosage and administration details:

All patients were under immunosuppressive therapy. Treatment regimens varied among patients, but were similar for the different age groups.

| | |
|--|------------|
| Investigational medicinal product name | Prograf |
| Investigational medicinal product code | |
| Other name | Tacrolimus |

| | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Pharmaceutical forms | Capsule, hard |
| Routes of administration | Oral use |
| Dosage and administration details: | |
| All patients were under immunosuppressive therapy. Treatment regimens varied among patients, but were similar for the different age groups. | |
| Arm title | Middle-aged participants |
| Arm description: | |
| In this study, T cell function and the composition of the T cell repertoire were analysed in immunosuppressed renal transplant recipients of different age and cytomegalovirus (CMV) status in comparison to age- and CMV-matched controls. | |
| Arm type | long-term immunosuppressive therapy |
| Investigational medicinal product name | Certican |
| Investigational medicinal product code | |
| Other name | Everolimus |
| Pharmaceutical forms | Tablet |
| Routes of administration | Oral use |
| Dosage and administration details: | |
| All patients were under immunosuppressive therapy. Treatment regimens varied among patients, but were similar for the different age groups. | |
| Investigational medicinal product name | Rapamune |
| Investigational medicinal product code | |
| Other name | Sirolimus |
| Pharmaceutical forms | Coated tablet |
| Routes of administration | Oral use |
| Dosage and administration details: | |
| All patients were under immunosuppressive therapy. Treatment regimens varied among patients, but were similar for the different age groups. | |
| Investigational medicinal product name | Sandimmun |
| Investigational medicinal product code | |
| Other name | Cyclosporin |
| Pharmaceutical forms | Capsule, soft |
| Routes of administration | Oral use |
| Dosage and administration details: | |
| All patients were under immunosuppressive therapy. Treatment regimens varied among patients, but were similar for the different age groups. | |
| Investigational medicinal product name | Prograf |
| Investigational medicinal product code | |
| Other name | Tacrolimus |
| Pharmaceutical forms | Capsule, hard |
| Routes of administration | Oral use |
| Dosage and administration details: | |
| All patients were under immunosuppressive therapy. Treatment regimens varied among patients, but were similar for the different age groups. | |
| Arm title | Elderly participants |
| Arm description: | |
| In this study, T cell function and the composition of the T cell repertoire were analysed in immunosuppressed renal transplant recipients of different age and cytomegalovirus (CMV) status in comparison to age- and CMV-matched controls. | |
| Arm type | long-term immunosuppressive therapy |

| | |
|--|------------|
| Investigational medicinal product name | Certican |
| Investigational medicinal product code | |
| Other name | Everolimus |
| Pharmaceutical forms | Tablet |
| Routes of administration | Oral use |

Dosage and administration details:

All patients were under immunosuppressive therapy. Treatment regimens varied among patients, but were similar for the different age groups.

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Investigational medicinal product name | Rapamune |
| Investigational medicinal product code | |
| Other name | Sirolimus |
| Pharmaceutical forms | Coated tablet |
| Routes of administration | Oral use |

Dosage and administration details:

All patients were under immunosuppressive therapy. Treatment regimens varied among patients, but were similar for the different age groups.

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Investigational medicinal product name | Sandimmun |
| Investigational medicinal product code | |
| Other name | Cyclosporin |
| Pharmaceutical forms | Capsule, soft |
| Routes of administration | Oral use |

Dosage and administration details:

All patients were under immunosuppressive therapy. Treatment regimens varied among patients, but were similar for the different age groups.

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Investigational medicinal product name | Prograf |
| Investigational medicinal product code | |
| Other name | Tacrolimus |
| Pharmaceutical forms | Capsule, hard |
| Routes of administration | Oral use |

Dosage and administration details:

All patients were under immunosuppressive therapy. Treatment regimens varied among patients, but were similar for the different age groups.

| Number of subjects in period 1 | Young participants | Middle-aged participants | Elderly participants |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Started | 21 | 32 | 25 |
| Completed | 21 | 32 | 25 |

Baseline characteristics

Reporting groups

| | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Reporting group title | Young participants |
|-----------------------|--------------------|

Reporting group description:

In this study, T cell function and the composition of the T cell repertoire were analysed in immunosuppressed renal transplant recipients of different age and cytomegalovirus (CMV) status in comparison to age- and CMV-matched controls.

| | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Reporting group title | Middle-aged participants |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|

Reporting group description:

In this study, T cell function and the composition of the T cell repertoire were analysed in immunosuppressed renal transplant recipients of different age and cytomegalovirus (CMV) status in comparison to age- and CMV-matched controls.

| | |
|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Reporting group title | Elderly participants |
|-----------------------|----------------------|

Reporting group description:

In this study, T cell function and the composition of the T cell repertoire were analysed in immunosuppressed renal transplant recipients of different age and cytomegalovirus (CMV) status in comparison to age- and CMV-matched controls.

| Reporting group values | Young participants | Middle-aged participants | Elderly participants |
|--|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Number of subjects | 21 | 32 | 25 |
| Age categorical | | | |
| Units: Subjects | | | |
| In utero | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Preterm newborn infants (gestational age < 37 wks) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Newborns (0-27 days) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Infants and toddlers (28 days-23 months) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Children (2-11 years) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Adolescents (12-17 years) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Adults (18-64 years) | 21 | 32 | 0 |
| From 65-84 years | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| 85 years and over | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gender categorical | | | |
| Units: Subjects | | | |
| Female | 5 | 8 | 12 |
| Male | 16 | 24 | 13 |

| Reporting group values | Total | | |
|--|-------|--|--|
| Number of subjects | 78 | | |
| Age categorical | | | |
| Units: Subjects | | | |
| In utero | 0 | | |
| Preterm newborn infants (gestational age < 37 wks) | 0 | | |
| Newborns (0-27 days) | 0 | | |
| Infants and toddlers (28 days-23 months) | 0 | | |
| Children (2-11 years) | 0 | | |
| Adolescents (12-17 years) | 0 | | |

| | | | |
|----------------------|----|--|--|
| Adults (18-64 years) | 53 | | |
| From 65-84 years | 25 | | |
| 85 years and over | 0 | | |
| Gender categorical | | | |
| Units: Subjects | | | |
| Female | 25 | | |
| Male | 53 | | |

End points

End points reporting groups

| | |
|---|-------------------------------|
| Reporting group title | Young participants |
| Reporting group description: In this study, T cell function and the composition of the T cell repertoire were analysed in immunosuppressed renal transplant recipients of different age and cytomegalovirus (CMV) status in comparison to age- and CMV-matched controls. | |
| Reporting group title | Middle-aged participants |
| Reporting group description: In this study, T cell function and the composition of the T cell repertoire were analysed in immunosuppressed renal transplant recipients of different age and cytomegalovirus (CMV) status in comparison to age- and CMV-matched controls. | |
| Reporting group title | Elderly participants |
| Reporting group description: In this study, T cell function and the composition of the T cell repertoire were analysed in immunosuppressed renal transplant recipients of different age and cytomegalovirus (CMV) status in comparison to age- and CMV-matched controls. | |
| Subject analysis set title | Healthy controls, young |
| Subject analysis set type | Full analysis |
| Subject analysis set description: In addition, peripheral blood was obtained from healthy age-matched control patients. | |
| Subject analysis set title | Healthy controls, middle aged |
| Subject analysis set type | Full analysis |
| Subject analysis set description: In addition, peripheral blood was obtained from healthy age-matched control patients. | |
| Subject analysis set title | Healthy controls, elderly |
| Subject analysis set type | Full analysis |
| Subject analysis set description: In addition, peripheral blood was obtained from healthy age-matched control patients. | |

Primary: CD4+ T cell subsets in healthy controls (HC) and kidney transplanted immunosuppressed patients (KTx)

| | |
|--|--|
| End point title | CD4+ T cell subsets in healthy controls (HC) and kidney transplanted immunosuppressed patients (KTx) |
| End point description: Analysis of CD4+ effector memory (CD45RO+CD28-) cells in subjects without latent cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection | |
| End point type | Primary |
| End point timeframe: >1 year after kidney transplantation | |

| End point values | Young participants | Middle-aged participants | Elderly participants | Healthy controls, young |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | Reporting group | Subject analysis set |
| Number of subjects analysed | 8 | 8 | 4 | 27 |
| Units: Percentage | | | | |
| arithmetic mean (standard error) | 2.5 (± 1.7) | 5.4 (± 1.2) | 7.0 (± 5.4) | 0.5 (± 0.1) |

| End point values | Healthy controls, middle aged | Healthy controls, elderly | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Subject analysis set | Subject analysis set | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 4 | 19 | | |
| Units: Percentage | | | | |
| arithmetic mean (standard error) | 0.6 (± 0.3) | 0.6 (± 0.1) | | |

Statistical analyses

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | CD45RO+CD28– in HC and KTx, CMV negative, young |
| Comparison groups | Young participants v Healthy controls, young |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 35 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| P-value | = 0.041 |
| Method | t-test, 2-sided |

| | |
|---|--|
| Statistical analysis title | CD45RO+CD28– in HC and KTx, CMV negative, middle |
| Comparison groups | Middle-aged participants v Healthy controls, middle aged |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 12 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| P-value | = 0.013 |
| Method | t-test, 2-sided |

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | CD45RO+CD28– in HC and KTx, CMV negative, elderly |
| Comparison groups | Elderly participants v Healthy controls, elderly |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 23 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| P-value | = 0.009 |
| Method | t-test, 2-sided |

Primary: CD4+ T cell subsets in healthy controls (HC) and kidney transplanted immunosuppressed patients (KTx)

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| End point title | CD4+ T cell subsets in healthy controls (HC) and kidney transplanted immunosuppressed patients (KTx) |
|-----------------|--|

End point description:

Analysis of CD4+ effector memory (CD45RO+CD28-) cells in subjects with latent cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection

End point type Primary

End point timeframe:

>1 year after kidney transplantation

| End point values | Young participants | Middle-aged participants | Elderly participants | Healthy controls, young |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | Reporting group | Subject analysis set |
| Number of subjects analysed | 8 | 9 | 14 | 12 |
| Units: Percentage | | | | |
| arithmetic mean (standard error) | 4.9 (\pm 1.8) | 5.9 (\pm 1.7) | 9.3 (\pm 1.9) | 3.5 (\pm 1.2) |

| End point values | Healthy controls, middle aged | Healthy controls, elderly | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Subject analysis set | Subject analysis set | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 6 | 22 | | |
| Units: Percentage | | | | |
| arithmetic mean (standard error) | 3.8 (\pm 1.4) | 5.3 (\pm 0.9) | | |

Statistical analyses

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | CD45RO+CD28- in HC and KTx, CMV positive, young |
| Comparison groups | Young participants v Healthy controls, young |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 20 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| P-value | = 0.505 |
| Method | t-test, 2-sided |

| | |
|---|--|
| Statistical analysis title | CD45RO+CD28- in HC and KTx, CMV positive, middle |
| Comparison groups | Middle-aged participants v Healthy controls, middle aged |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 15 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| P-value | = 0.38 |
| Method | t-test, 2-sided |

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | CD45RO+CD28– in HC and KTx, CMV positive, elderly |
| Comparison groups | Elderly participants v Healthy controls, elderly |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 36 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| P-value | = 0.04 |
| Method | t-test, 2-sided |

Primary: CD4+ T cell subsets in healthy controls (HC) and kidney transplanted immunosuppressed patients (KTx)

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| End point title | CD4+ T cell subsets in healthy controls (HC) and kidney transplanted immunosuppressed patients (KTx) |
| End point description: | Analysis of CD4+ effector memory (CD45RO–CD28–) cells in subjects without latent cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection |
| End point type | Primary |
| End point timeframe: | >1 year after kidney transplantation |

| End point values | Young participants | Middle-aged participants | Elderly participants | Healthy controls, young |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | Reporting group | Subject analysis set |
| Number of subjects analysed | 8 | 8 | 4 | 27 |
| Units: Percentage | | | | |
| arithmetic mean (standard error) | 5.4 (± 1.3) | 12.5 (± 2.6) | 10.0 (± 2.7) | 0.9 (± 0.2) |

| End point values | Healthy controls, middle aged | Healthy controls, elderly | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Subject analysis set | Subject analysis set | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 4 | 19 | | |
| Units: Percentage | | | | |
| arithmetic mean (standard error) | 2.7 (± 1.4) | 0.7 (± 0.1) | | |

Statistical analyses

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | CD45RO-CD28– in HC and KTx, CMV negative, young |
| Comparison groups | Young participants v Healthy controls, young |

| | |
|---|-----------------|
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 35 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| P-value | < 0.0001 |
| Method | t-test, 2-sided |

| | |
|---|--|
| Statistical analysis title | CD45RO-CD28– in HC and KTx, CMV negative, middle |
| Comparison groups | Middle-aged participants v Healthy controls, middle aged |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 12 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| P-value | = 0.023 |
| Method | t-test, 2-sided |

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | CD45RO-CD28– in HC and KTx, CMV negative, elderly |
| Comparison groups | Elderly participants v Healthy controls, elderly |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 23 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| P-value | < 0.0001 |
| Method | t-test, 2-sided |

Primary: CD4+ T cell subsets in healthy controls (HC) and kidney transplanted immunosuppressed patients (KTx)

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| End point title | CD4+ T cell subsets in healthy controls (HC) and kidney transplanted immunosuppressed patients (KTx) |
|-----------------|--|

End point description:

Analysis of CD4+ effector memory (CD45RO–CD28–) cells in subjects with latent cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection

| | |
|----------------|---------|
| End point type | Primary |
|----------------|---------|

End point timeframe:

>1 year after kidney transplantation

| End point values | Young participants | Middle-aged participants | Elderly participants | Healthy controls, young |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | Reporting group | Subject analysis set |
| Number of subjects analysed | 8 | 9 | 14 | 12 |
| Units: Percentage | | | | |
| arithmetic mean (standard error) | 8.1 (± 2.9) | 12.3 (± 3.7) | 18.8 (± 3.9) | 2.6 (± 1.2) |

| End point values | Healthy controls, middle aged | Healthy controls, elderly | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Subject analysis set | Subject analysis set | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 6 | 22 | | |
| Units: Percentage | | | | |
| arithmetic mean (standard error) | 6.5 (\pm 1.5) | 5.7 (\pm 1.1) | | |

Statistical analyses

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | CD45RO-CD28– in HC and KTx, CMV positive, young |
| Comparison groups | Young participants v Healthy controls, young |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 20 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| P-value | = 0.064 |
| Method | t-test, 2-sided |

| | |
|---|--|
| Statistical analysis title | CD45RO-CD28– in HC and KTx, CMV positive, middle |
| Comparison groups | Middle-aged participants v Healthy controls, middle aged |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 15 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| P-value | = 0.223 |
| Method | t-test, 2-sided |

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | CD45RO-CD28– in HC and KTx, CMV positive, elderly |
| Comparison groups | Elderly participants v Healthy controls, elderly |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 36 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| P-value | < 0.0001 |
| Method | t-test, 2-sided |

Secondary: Proliferation index of T cells

| | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| End point title | Proliferation index of T cells |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|

End point description:

Proliferation index of T cells from healthy subjects (HC) and kidney transplanted patients (KTx) stimulated with anti-CD3 (3 ng/ml) and cultured in medium containing 10 % fetal calf serum (FCS)

days. Proliferation was assessed by carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester (CFSE) staining and is expressed as proliferation index.

| | |
|----------------|-----------|
| End point type | Secondary |
|----------------|-----------|

End point timeframe:

>1 year after kidney transplantation

| End point values | Young participants | Middle-aged participants | Elderly participants | Healthy controls, young |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | Reporting group | Subject analysis set |
| Number of subjects analysed | 13 | 13 | 20 | 16 |
| Units: proliferation index | | | | |
| arithmetic mean (standard error) | 51.9 (± 5.6) | 65.5 (± 4.8) | 58.0 (± 3.4) | 61.0 (± 3.7) |

| End point values | Healthy controls, middle aged | Healthy controls, elderly | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Subject analysis set | Subject analysis set | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 1 | 17 | | |
| Units: proliferation index | | | | |
| arithmetic mean (standard error) | 60.1 (± 0.0) | 64.4 (± 3.1) | | |

Statistical analyses

No statistical analyses for this end point

Adverse events

Adverse events information^[1]

Timeframe for reporting adverse events:

18.11.2011- 05.04.2013

| | |
|-----------------|------------|
| Assessment type | Systematic |
|-----------------|------------|

Dictionary used

| | |
|-----------------|-------|
| Dictionary name | CTCAE |
|-----------------|-------|

| | |
|--------------------|-----|
| Dictionary version | 4.0 |
|--------------------|-----|

Frequency threshold for reporting non-serious adverse events: 5 %

Notes:

[1] - There are no non-serious adverse events recorded for these results. It is expected that there will be at least one non-serious adverse event reported.

Justification: As there were no interventions in this trial, no AEs or SAEs were observed.

More information

Substantial protocol amendments (globally)

Were there any global substantial amendments to the protocol? No

Interruptions (globally)

Were there any global interruptions to the trial? No

Limitations and caveats

None reported

Online references

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24028181>