



## Clinical trial results:

**Monocentric, open-label, phase III study that compares the efficiency and tolerance between intravesical oxybutynin and oral fesoterodine in children (5-16y) with neurogenic detrusor overactivity.**

### Summary

|                          |                |
|--------------------------|----------------|
| EudraCT number           | 2012-005295-33 |
| Trial protocol           | BE             |
| Global end of trial date | 01 July 2013   |

### Results information

|                                   |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Result version number             | v1 (current)   |
| This version publication date     | 23 February 2023   |
| First version publication date    | 23 February 2023   |
| Summary attachment (see zip file) | Publication 22MAY2019 (S54913_PUBLICATIONIE_spina fesoteridine Bogaert urologia internationalis_22MAY2019.pdf) |

### Trial information

#### Trial identification

|                       |        |
|-----------------------|--------|
| Sponsor protocol code | S54913 |
|-----------------------|--------|

#### Additional study identifiers

|                                    |   |
|------------------------------------|---|
| ISRCTN number                      | - |
| ClinicalTrials.gov id (NCT number) | - |
| WHO universal trial number (UTN)   | - |

Notes:

#### Sponsors

|                              |   |
|------------------------------|---|
| Sponsor organisation name    | UZ Leuven- Department of Urology  |
| Sponsor organisation address | Herestraat 49, Leuven, Belgium, 3000  |
| Public contact               | Guy Bogaert, UZ Leuven-Department of Urology, +32 16346930, guy.bogaert@uzleuven.be |
| Scientific contact           | Guy Bogaert, UZ Leuven-Department of Urology, +32 16346930, guy.bogaert@uzleuven.be |

Notes:

#### Paediatric regulatory details

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Is trial part of an agreed paediatric investigation plan (PIP)       | No |
| Does article 45 of REGULATION (EC) No 1901/2006 apply to this trial? | No |
| Does article 46 of REGULATION (EC) No 1901/2006 apply to this trial? | No |

Notes:

---

## Results analysis stage

|  |                  |
|--|------------------|
| Analysis stage                                       | Final            |
| Date of interim/final analysis                       | 01 December 2016 |
| Is this the analysis of the primary completion data? | Yes              |
| Primary completion date                              | 01 July 2013     |
| Global end of trial reached?                         | Yes              |
| Global end of trial date                             | 01 July 2013     |
| Was the trial ended prematurely?                     | No               |

Notes:

---

## General information about the trial

Main objective of the trial:

Efficiency and tolerance of fesoterodine in comparison with intravesical oxybutynin +/- tolterodine in children with neurogenic detrusor overactivity.

Protection of trial subjects:

The Clinical Trial Center of UZ Leuven, the Medical Ethics Committee and the Federal Agency for Medicines and Health Products approved this study. The children needed to be older than 4 years of age because they had to be able to swallow an entire tablet, so that the prolonged release formula could work. Based on previous studies with tolterodine, which has the same active metabolite as fesoterodine, and the results of the pharmacokinetic behaviour of fesoterodine in a study with paediatric subjects, we calculated that a maximal dose of fesoterodine of 0.2 mg/kg/24 h would be safe. The child's body weight had to be at least 20 kg because fesoterodine is available in 4 and 8 mg slow release tablets.

Background therapy:

A low-pressure bladder in children with neuropathic bladder dysfunction can be achieved using anticholinergic medication. Due to the significant side effects of oral oxybutynin, our patients are treated with daily intravesical oxybutynin instillations. Newer oral anticholinergic medication, such as fesoterodine, claim to have fewer side effects in a once daily formulation. Because once-daily oral intake is

easier than performing twice-daily intravesical instillations, we studied the effects of switching from intravesical oxybutynin to oral fesoterodine and compared the clinical response, urodynamic parameters and side effects.

Evidence for comparator:

Anticholinergic medication lowers the frequency of involuntary bladder contractions, can lower the intravesical pressure, prevents the development of a hypertrophic bladder and augments the bladder capacity. However, anticholinergics cause side effects due to concomitant suppression of the muscarinic receptors in the rest of the body. The oldest and most well-known anticholinergic used to suppress detrusor overactivity is oxybutynin, a mild muscarinic-3 receptor selective antagonist. It is registered for the use in children and adults with neuropathic and non-neuropathic bladder disturbances.

|   |                  |
|---|------------------|
| Actual start date of recruitment                          | 03 December 2012 |
| Long term follow-up planned                               | No               |
| Independent data monitoring committee (IDMC) involvement? | No               |

Notes:

---

## Population of trial subjects

### Subjects enrolled per country

|                                      |             |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | Belgium: 20 |
| Worldwide total number of subjects   | 20          |
| EEA total number of subjects         | 20          |

Notes:

| <b>Subjects enrolled per age group</b>    |    |
|---|----|
| In utero                                  | 0  |
| Preterm newborn - gestational age < 37 wk | 0  |
| Newborns (0-27 days)                      | 0  |
| Infants and toddlers (28 days-23 months)  | 0  |
| Children (2-11 years)                     | 10 |
| Adolescents (12-17 years)                 | 10 |
| Adults (18-64 years)                      | 0  |
| From 65 to 84 years                       | 0  |
| 85 years and over                         | 0  |

## Subject disposition

### Recruitment

Recruitment details:

Twenty children with a body weight of at least 20 kg were enrolled and all completed the study. All children had NDO and sphincter overactivity proved by a UDS for which they performed CIC (4–6 times a day) and used intravesical oxybutynin. Out of 20 children, 12 (60%) took concom prophylactic AB. Monocentric, open-label, pilot study with 1 arm.

### Pre-assignment

Screening details:

Children with NDO and sphincter overactivity (DSD) proved by a urodynamic study (UDS) performing daily CIC taking intravesical oxybutynin hydrochloride (0.3–0.6 mg/kg/24 h divided over 2–3 instillations a day) were included. The children needed to be older than 4 years of age because they had to be able to swallow an entire tablet.

### Pre-assignment period milestones

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| Number of subjects started                 | 20         |
| Intermediate milestone: Number of subjects | Part 1: 20 |
| Intermediate milestone: Number of subjects | Part 2: 20 |
| Intermediate milestone: Number of subjects | Part 3: 20 |
| Number of subjects completed               | 20         |

### Period 1

|                              |                |
|------------------------------|----------------|
| Period 1 title               | Part 1         |
| Is this the baseline period? | Yes            |
| Allocation method            | Not applicable |
| Blinding used                | Not blinded    |

Blinding implementation details:

N/A, as it's an academic, monocentric, open-label, pilot study with 1 study-arm.

### Arms

|           |                                      |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|
| Arm title | Intravesical oxybutynin instillation |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|

Arm description:

During the first 2 patient contacts in part 1, the current urinary tract status was collected while on intravesical oxybutynin treatment by filling out 2 voiding diaries and urodynamics.

|  |                          |
|--|--------------------------|
| Arm type                               | Intravesical oxybutynin  |
| Investigational medicinal product name | Oxybutynin Hydrochloride |
| Investigational medicinal product code | SUB03581MIG              |
| Other name                             | 1508-65-2                |
| Pharmaceutical forms                   | Intravesical solution    |
| Routes of administration               | Intravesical use         |

Dosage and administration details:

40g oxybutynin hydrochloride is solved into 40 liters of physiological solution, it is filtrated, then 5ml solution is put in an ampule. (0.3–0.6 mg/kg/24 h divided over 2–3 instillations a day)

| Number of subjects in period 1 | Intravesical oxybutynin instillation |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Started                        | 20                                   |
| Completed                      | 20                                   |

## Period 2

|                              |                |
|------------------------------|----------------|
| Period 2 title               | Part 2         |
| Is this the baseline period? | No             |
| Allocation method            | Not applicable |
| Blinding used                | Not blinded    |

Blinding implementation details:

N/A, as it's an academic, monocentric, open-label, pilot study with 1 study-arm.

## Arms

|           |                 |
|-----------|-----------------|
| Arm title | Stop oxybutynin |
|-----------|-----------------|

Arm description:

In the second part of the study, intravesical oxybutynin instillations were stopped for 4 days as a washout of the medication. During this washout, the child and parents were asked to fill a third voiding diary.

|          |                 |
|----------|-----------------|
| Arm type | No intervention |
|----------|-----------------|

No investigational medicinal product assigned in this arm

| Number of subjects in period 2 | Stop oxybutynin |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| Started                        | 20              |
| Completed                      | 20              |

## Period 3

|                              |                |
|------------------------------|----------------|
| Period 3 title               | Part 3         |
| Is this the baseline period? | No             |
| Allocation method            | Not applicable |
| Blinding used                | Not blinded    |

Blinding implementation details:

N/A, as it's an academic, monocentric, open-label, pilot study with 1 study-arm.

## Arms

|  |                          |
|--|--------------------------|
| <b>Arm title</b>   | Oral Fesoterodine        |
| Arm description:   |                          |
| The third part of the study consisted of 40 days of oral fesoterodine administration, a slow release tablet every morning, instead of intravesical oxybutynin, and the CIC was continued. Children with a body weight 20–40 kg took 4 mg per day, children with a body weight of more than 40 kg a day took 8 mg per day. They had to contain their normal life style but had to avoid grapefruit because this fruit interferes with the metabolism of fesoterodine. |                          |
| Arm type   | Experimental             |
| Investigational medicinal product name   | Fesoterodine             |
| Investigational medicinal product code   | G04BD11                  |
| Other name   | SUB25383                 |
| Pharmaceutical forms   | Prolonged-release tablet |
| Routes of administration   | Oral use                 |

Dosage and administration details:

Based on previous studies with tolterodine, which has the same active metabolite as fesoterodine, and the results of the pharmacokinetic behaviour of fesoterodine in a study with paediatric subjects, we calculated that a maximal dose of fesoterodine of 0.2 mg/kg/24 h would be safe. The child's body weight had to be at least 20 kg because fesoterodine is available in 4 and 8 mg slow release tablets, taken orally. Children with a body weight 20–40 kg took 4 mg per day, children with a body weight of more than 40 kg a day took 8 mg per day.

|                                       |                   |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|
| <b>Number of subjects in period 3</b> | Oral Fesoterodine |
| Started                               | 20                |
| Completed                             | 20                |

## Baseline characteristics

### Reporting groups

|                       |        |
|-----------------------|--------|
| Reporting group title | Part 1 |
|-----------------------|--------|

Reporting group description: -

| Reporting group values  | Part 1  | Total |  |
|---|---------|-------|--|
| Number of subjects  | 20      | 20    |  |
| Age categorical   |         |       |  |
| Units: Subjects   |         |       |  |
| In utero  |         | 0     |  |
| Preterm newborn infants (gestational age < 37 wks)  |         | 0     |  |
| Newborns (0-27 days)  |         | 0     |  |
| Infants and toddlers (28 days-23 months)  |         | 0     |  |
| Children (2-11 years)   |         | 0     |  |
| Adolescents (12-17 years)   |         | 0     |  |
| Adults (18-64 years)  |         | 0     |  |
| From 65-84 years  |         | 0     |  |
| 85 years and over   |         | 0     |  |
| Age continuous  |         |       |  |
| Twenty children (11 girls, 9 boys, 4–17 years) with neuropathic bladder dysfunction who perform clean intermittent catheterization and use intravesical oxybutynin instillations twice daily were included in this prospective study.   |         |       |  |
| Units: years  |         |       |  |
| median  | 13      |       |  |
| full range (min-max)  | 4 to 17 | -     |  |
| Gender categorical  |         |       |  |
| Twenty children (11 girls, 9 boys, 4–17 years) with neuropathic bladder dysfunction who perform clean intermittent catheterization and use intravesical oxybutynin instillations twice daily were included in this prospective study.   |         |       |  |
| Units: Subjects   |         |       |  |
| Female  | 11      | 11    |  |
| Male  | 9       | 9     |  |
| Neurogenic detrusor overactivity (NDO)  |         |       |  |
| Neurogenic detrusor overactivity (NDO) is seen in children with congenital neuropathic bladder disturbance like spinal dysraphism. In the long term, secondary pathological-anatomical changes can occur with consequent vesico-ureteral reflux, hydronephrosis, an increased risk of urinary tract infections, renal damage and renal insufficiency. 58% of untreated patients develop renal damage after 3 years. The primary treatment goal in this patient group is to keep the intravesical detrusor pressure low from birth in order to preserve kidney function. |         |       |  |
| Units: Subjects   |         |       |  |
| Spina bifida  | 15      | 15    |  |
| Tethered cord   | 2       | 2     |  |
| Caudal regression syndrome  | 1       | 1     |  |
| Transverse myelitis   | 1       | 1     |  |
| Traumatic spinal cord injury  | 1       | 1     |  |
| Number of patients applying CIC   |         |       |  |
| The primary treatment goal in this patient group is to keep the intravesical detrusor pressure low from birth in order to preserve kidney function. This can be achieved by frequent emptying of the bladder by clean intermittent catheterization (CIC) in combination with anticholinergic medication. All children had NDO and sphincter overactivity proved by a UDS for which they performed CIC (4–6  |         |       |  |

|  |    |    |  |
|--|----|----|--|
| times a day) and used intravesical oxybutynin.   |    |    |  |
| Units: Subjects  |    |    |  |
| Clean intermittent catheterization   | 20 | 20 |  |
| Number of patients applying intravesical oxybutynin at start   |    |    |  |
| Due to the significant side effects of oral oxybutynin, our patients are treated with daily intravesical oxybutynin instillations. Twenty children with neuropathic bladder dysfunction who perform CIC catheterization and use intravesical oxybutynin instillations twice daily were included in this prospective study. |    |    |  |
| Units: Subjects  |    |    |  |
| Intravesical oxybutynin  | 20 | 20 |  |

### Subject analysis sets

|                            |                         |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Subject analysis set title | Patient Characteristics |
| Subject analysis set type  | Full analysis           |

Subject analysis set description:

Twenty children with a body weight of at least 20 kg were enrolled and all completed the study. All children had NDO and sphincter overactivity proved by a UDS for which they performed CIC (4–6 times a day) and used intravesical oxybutynin. Out of 20 children, 12 (60%) took concomitant prophylactic antibiotics (nitrofurantoin) of whom 1 patient (5%) used erythromycin for acne, which was stopped at informed consent because it could interact with the metabolism of fesoterodine.

| Reporting group values  | Patient Characteristics |  |  |
|---|-------------------------|--|--|
| Number of subjects  | 20                      |  |  |
| Age categorical   |                         |  |  |
| Units: Subjects   |                         |  |  |
| In utero  |                         |  |  |
| Preterm newborn infants (gestational age < 37 wks)  |                         |  |  |
| Newborns (0-27 days)  |                         |  |  |
| Infants and toddlers (28 days-23 months)  |                         |  |  |
| Children (2-11 years)   |                         |  |  |
| Adolescents (12-17 years)   |                         |  |  |
| Adults (18-64 years)  |                         |  |  |
| From 65-84 years  |                         |  |  |
| 85 years and over   |                         |  |  |
| Age continuous  |                         |  |  |
| Twenty children (11 girls, 9 boys, 4–17 years) with neuropathic bladder dysfunction who perform clean intermittent catheterization and use intravesical oxybutynin instillations twice daily were included in this prospective study.   |                         |  |  |
| Units: years  |                         |  |  |
| median  | 13                      |  |  |
| full range (min-max)  | 4 to 17                 |  |  |
| Gender categorical  |                         |  |  |
| Twenty children (11 girls, 9 boys, 4–17 years) with neuropathic bladder dysfunction who perform clean intermittent catheterization and use intravesical oxybutynin instillations twice daily were included in this prospective study.   |                         |  |  |
| Units: Subjects   |                         |  |  |
| Female  | 11                      |  |  |
| Male  | 9                       |  |  |
| Neurogenic detrusor overactivity (NDO)  |                         |  |  |
| Neurogenic detrusor overactivity (NDO) is seen in children with congenital neuropathic bladder disturbance like spinal dysraphism. In the long term, secondary pathological-anatomical changes can occur with consequent vesico-ureteral reflux, hydronephrosis, an increased risk of urinary tract |                         |  |  |

infections, renal damage and renal insufficiency. 58% of untreated patients develop renal damage after 3 years. The primary treatment goal in this patient group is to keep the intravesical detrusor pressure low from birth in order to preserve kidney function.

|                              |    |  |  |
|------------------------------|----|--|--|
| Units: Subjects              |    |  |  |
| Spina bifida                 | 15 |  |  |
| Tethered cord                | 1  |  |  |
| Caudal regression syndrome   | 1  |  |  |
| Transverse myelitis          | 1  |  |  |
| Traumatic spinal cord injury | 1  |  |  |

|                                 |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Number of patients applying CIC |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|

The primary treatment goal in this patient group is to keep the intravesical detrusor pressure low from birth in order to preserve kidney function. This can be achieved by frequent emptying of the bladder by clean intermittent catheterization (CIC) in combination with anticholinergic medication. All children had NDO and sphincter overactivity proved by a UDS for which they performed CIC (4–6 times a day) and used intravesical oxybutynin.

|                                    |    |  |  |
|------------------------------------|----|--|--|
| Units: Subjects                    |    |  |  |
| Clean intermittent catheterization | 20 |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| Number of patients applying intravesical oxybutynin at start |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|

Due to the significant side effects of oral oxybutynin, our patients are treated with daily intravesical oxybutynin instillations. Twenty children with neuropathic bladder dysfunction who perform CIC catheterization and use intravesical oxybutynin instillations twice daily were included in this prospective study.

|                         |    |  |  |
|-------------------------|----|--|--|
| Units: Subjects         |    |  |  |
| Intravesical oxybutynin | 20 |  |  |

## End points

### End points reporting groups

|   |                                      |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| Reporting group title   | Intravesical oxybutynin instillation |
| Reporting group description:<br>During the first 2 patient contacts in part 1, the current urinary tract status was collected while on intravesical oxybutynin treatment by filling out 2 voiding diaries and urodynamics.  |                                      |
| Reporting group title   | Stop oxybutynin                      |
| Reporting group description:<br>In the second part of the study, intravesical oxybutynin instillations were stopped for 4 days as a washout of the medication. During this washout, the child and parents were asked to fill a third voiding diary.   |                                      |
| Reporting group title   | Oral Fesoterodine                    |
| Reporting group description:<br>The third part of the study consisted of 40 days of oral fesoterodine administration, a slow release tablet every morning, instead of intravesical oxybutynin, and the CIC was continued. Children with a body weight 20–40 kg took 4 mg per day, children with a body weight of more than 40 kg a day took 8 mg per day. They had to contain their normal life style but had to avoid grapefruit because this fruit interferes with the metabolism of fesoterodine.                                  |                                      |
| Subject analysis set title  | Patient Characteristics              |
| Subject analysis set type   | Full analysis                        |
| Subject analysis set description:<br>Twenty children with a body weight of at least 20 kg were enrolled and all completed the study. All children had NDO and sphincter overactivity proved by a UDS for which they performed CIC (4–6 times a day) and used intravesical oxybutynin. Out of 20 children, 12 (60%) took concomitant prophylactic antibiotics (nitrofurantoin) of whom 1 patient (5%) used erythromycin for acne, which was stopped at informed consent because it could interact with the metabolism of fesoterodine. |                                      |

### Primary: Maximum tolerable cystometric capacity

|  |   |
|--|---|
| End point title  | Maximum tolerable cystometric capacity <sup>[1]</sup> |
| End point description:<br>The primary efficacy variable, change from baseline in mean maximum cystometric capacity was 18.4 mL, which did not represent a significant increase (Wilcoxonmatched pairs test, $p = 0.196$ ).<br>Maximum tolerable cystometric capacity until voiding, until leaking and at 40cmH <sub>2</sub> O.   |   |
| End point type   | Primary   |
| End point timeframe:<br>Week 1 and 7 before and after admission of fesoterodine.   |   |
| Notes:<br>[1] - No statistical analyses have been specified for this primary end point. It is expected there is at least one statistical analysis for each primary end point.<br>Justification: The included population is too small for statistical analysis; to obtain significant results re the efficacy, more pts should be included. We anticipated including 20 children as an initial pilot study to study the trend of efficiency, safety and tolerance rather than a formal statistical analysis. Results are given in %, average and range; no formal statistical testing of these results was performed. Shapiro Wilk test was performed to identify between parametric and non-parametric distribution. |   |

| End point values                 | Intravesical oxybutynin instillation | Oral Fesoterodine    |  |  |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type               | Reporting group                      | Reporting group      |  |  |
| Number of subjects analysed      | 20                                   | 20                   |  |  |
| Units: in millilitres            |                                      |                      |  |  |
| arithmetic mean (standard error) | 353.4 ( $\pm$ 0.196)                 | 371.8 ( $\pm$ 0.196) |  |  |

|                                   |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| <b>Attachments (see zip file)</b> | Table 2/S54913_PUBLICATIE_spina fesoteridine Bogaert |
|-----------------------------------|--|

## Statistical analyses

No statistical analyses for this end point

## Secondary: Secondary efficacy variables

|   |                              |
|---|------------------------------|
| End point title   | Secondary efficacy variables |
| End point description:  |                              |
| Secondary variables were other UDS parameters like detrusor pressure at maximum cystometric capacity in cm H2O. In case of involuntary loss of urine, the UDS parameters detrusor leak point pressure in cm H2O as well as the filling volume of the bladder during urine loss in mLs was assessed. The voiding diary parameters that were analyzed were the average catheterized urine volume in mLs, the percentage of pads that were dry during 3 days and the average incontinence volume per day in grams. |                              |
| End point type  | Secondary                    |
| End point timeframe:  |                              |
| During intravesical oxybutynin administration (Baseline) and after 40 days of fesoterodine oral administration.   |                              |

| End point values                                  | Intravesical oxybutynin instillation | Oral Fesoterodine |  |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type                                | Reporting group                      | Reporting group   |  |  |
| Number of subjects analysed                       | 20                                   | 20                |  |  |
| Units: cm H2O                                     |                                      |                   |  |  |
| median (standard error)                           |                                      |                   |  |  |
| Maximum detrusor pressure (UDS)                   | 28.2 (± 0.563)                       | 30.6 (± 0.563)    |  |  |
| Bladder filling volume during urine loss (UDS)    | 217.9 (± 0.038)                      | 353.4 (± 0.038)   |  |  |
| Detrusor leak point pressure (UDS)                | 18.8 (± 0.674)                       | 22.0 (± 0.674)    |  |  |
| Catheterized urine volume (bladder diary)         | 147.5 (± 0.247)                      | 139.5 (± 0.247)   |  |  |
| Volume urine incontinence per day (bladder diary) | 121.0 (± 0.657)                      | 132.9 (± 0.657)   |  |  |
| Dry pads during 3 days (bladder diary)            | 53.3 (± 0.215)                       | 45.1 (± 0.215)    |  |  |

|                                   |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| <b>Attachments (see zip file)</b> | Table 2/S54913_PUBLICATIE_spina fesoteridine Bogaert |
|-----------------------------------|--|

## Statistical analyses

No statistical analyses for this end point



## Adverse events

### Adverse events information

Timeframe for reporting adverse events:

Study duration was 12 weeks, starting from ICF signing. Written ICF was obtained from at least one of the parents and from the children themselves if they were older than 12 years old. In those 12w, pt contacts were done, either clinic visit by phone.

Adverse event reporting additional description:

Safety+tolerability of fesoterodine were compared with those of intravesical oxybutynin based on data of anamnesis during 3 clinical contacts and 4 phone calls, vital signs (BP, HR, temperature and weight), clinical examination, behavioural checklists, lab evaluations (blood, urine). We specifically asked for the development of poss side effects.

|                 |            |
|-----------------|------------|
| Assessment type | Systematic |
|-----------------|------------|

### Dictionary used

|                    |         |
|--------------------|---------|
| Dictionary name    | unknown |
| Dictionary version | 1       |

### Reporting groups

|                       |                        |
|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Reporting group title | All enrollend subjects |
|-----------------------|------------------------|

Reporting group description:

Safety and tolerability of fesoterodine were compared with those of intravesical oxybutynin based on data of anamnesis during 3 clinical contacts and 4 telephone interviews, vital signs (blood pressure, heart rate, axillary temperature and body weight), clinical examination, behavioural checklists, laboratory evaluations like blood and urine samples.

There were no remarkable differences seen between the vital functions and clinical examination during both treatments.

| Serious adverse events                            | All enrollend subjects |  |  |
|---|------------------------|--|--|
| Total subjects affected by serious adverse events |                        |  |  |
| subjects affected / exposed                       | 0 / 20 (0.00%)         |  |  |
| number of deaths (all causes)                     | 0                      |  |  |
| number of deaths resulting from adverse events    | 0                      |  |  |

Frequency threshold for reporting non-serious adverse events: 5 %

| Non-serious adverse events                            | All enrollend subjects |  |  |
|---|------------------------|--|--|
| Total subjects affected by non-serious adverse events |                        |  |  |
| subjects affected / exposed                           | 8 / 20 (40.00%)        |  |  |
| Nervous system disorders                              |                        |  |  |
| Headache  |                        |  |  |
| subjects affected / exposed                           | 1 / 20 (5.00%)         |  |  |
| occurrences (all)                                     | 1                      |  |  |
| Gastrointestinal disorders                            |                        |  |  |

|   |   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| Dry mouth<br>subjects affected / exposed<br>occurrences (all)   | Additional description: Light-moderate dry mouth        |  |  |
|   | 4 / 20 (20.00%)<br>4                                    |  |  |
| Nausea<br>subjects affected / exposed<br>occurrences (all)  | Additional description: Nausea and hot flushes          |  |  |
|   | 1 / 20 (5.00%)<br>1                                     |  |  |
| Psychiatric disorders<br>Behaviour disorder<br>subjects affected / exposed<br>occurrences (all)             | Additional description: Behavioral changes (reversible) |  |  |
|   | 1 / 20 (5.00%)<br>1                                     |  |  |
| Metabolism and nutrition disorders<br>Appetite disorder<br>subjects affected / exposed<br>occurrences (all) | Additional description: Increased appetite              |  |  |
|   | 1 / 20 (5.00%)<br>1                                     |  |  |

## More information

### Substantial protocol amendments (globally)

Were there any global substantial amendments to the protocol? No

---

### Interruptions (globally)

Were there any global interruptions to the trial? No

### Limitations and caveats

Limitations of the trial such as small numbers of subjects analysed or technical problems leading to unreliable data.

|  |
|--|
| The results of this study have to be interpreted with their limitations. The included population is too small for statistical analysis; moreover, in order to obtain significant results about the efficacy, more patients should be included. |
|--|

Notes:

---

### Online references

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31117096>