Clinical trial results:

Efficacy, safety and tolerability of multiple doses of oral cebranopadol in subjects with moderate to severe chronic pain due to diabetic peripheral neuropathy

Summary

EudraCT number	2013-000473-68	
Trial protocol	AT IT NL BE ES DE DK	
Global end of trial date	28 January 2015	
Results information		
Result version number	v1 (current)	
This version publication date	27 April 2016	
First version publication date	27 April 2016	

Trial information

Trial identification		
Sponsor protocol code	KF6005/08	
Additional study identifiers		
ISRCTN number	-	
ClinicalTrials.gov id (NCT number)	NCT01939366	
WHO universal trial number (UTN)	U1111-1151-4331	
Notes:		

Sponsors	
Sponsor organisation name	Grünenthal GmbH
Sponsor organisation address	Zieglerstr. 6, Aachen, Germany, 52099
Public contact	GRT Trial Information Desk, Grünenthal GmbH, +49 2415693223, Clinical-Trials@grunenthal.com
Scientific contact	GRT Trial Information Desk, Grünenthal GmbH, +49 2415693223, Clinical-Trials@grunenthal.com

Notes:

Paediatric regulatory details

	-
Is trial part of an agreed paediatric investigation plan (PIP)	No
Does article 45 of REGULATION (EC) No 1901/2006 apply to this trial?	No
Does article 46 of REGULATION (EC) No 1901/2006 apply to this trial?	No

Notes:

Results analysis stage	
Analysis stage	Final
Date of interim/final analysis	28 January 2015
Is this the analysis of the primary completion data?	Yes
Primary completion date	28 January 2015
Global end of trial reached?	Yes
Global end of trial date	28 January 2015
Was the trial ended prematurely?	No
	•

Notes:

General information about the trial

Main objective of the trial:

To assess the analgesic efficacy, safety, and tolerability of once daily orally administered cebranopadol in a total of 3 fixed doses (100 μ g, 300 μ g, and 600 μ g cebranopadol) compared to placebo in subjects with moderate to severe chronic pain due to DPN.

Protection of trial subjects:

The trial was conducted according to ICH-GCP guidelines, the applicable local laws, and in accordance with the ethical principles that have their origins in the Declaration of Helsinki. Regulatory authorities were notified of the trial and amendments as required by national regulations, and where necessary relevant authorization was obtained. Furthermore, the competent authorities were notified of this trial in accordance with national requirements.

Background therapy:

Allowed concomitant treatments were:

• Anti-diabetic medication (kept stable for the duration of the trial, unless changes were medically warranted).

• Acetylsalicylic acid at oral doses equal or lower than 325 mg per day for cardiovascular prophylaxis.

• Anti-emetics and laxatives for the treatment but not for prophylaxis.

• Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors and hypnotics including benzodiazepines and non-

benzodiazepines if previously used regularly, on a stable dose, according to the Summary of Product Characteristics for at least 4 weeks prior to Enrollment Visit and planned to continue on the same dose regimen throughout the trial.

• Triptans for the treatment of migraine.

- Vitamin B12 injections.
- Inhaled steroids and topical (skin) steroids.

• Non-pharmacological pain therapies, provided that the subjects have been on that therapy for at least 4 weeks prior to the Enrollment Visit and continue to undergo therapy for the duration of the trial at the same frequency and intensity as before.

- Transcutaneous electrical nerve simulation (TENS).
- Acupuncture.
- Biofeedback.
- Chiropractic manipulation.

Paracetamol (500 mg tablets) was provided as rescue medication for unacceptable pain due to DPN, with the following exception: no rescue medication was allowed during the last 3 days before Baseline Visit. The maximum total daily dose of paracetamol was 2 g. Paracetamol should not have been taken for more than 3 consecutive days at the maximum allowed total daily dose. In addition, the use of rescue medication at the maximum allowed total daily dose was not to be exceeded for 20 days in total during the Maintenance Phase and the Follow-up Period.

Evidence for comparator:

Neuropathic pain

Efficacy has been shown in trials in diabetic neuropathy, post herpetic neuralgia and spinal cord injury. Efficacy has not been studied in other models of neuropathic pain.

Pregabalin has been studied in 10 controlled clinical trials of up to 13 weeks with twice a day dosing (BID) and up to 8 weeks with three times a day (TID) dosing. Overall, the safety and efficacy profiles for BID and TID dosing regimens were similar.

In clinical trials up to 12 weeks for both peripheral and central neuropathic pain, a reduction in pain was seen by week 1 and was maintained throughout the treatment period.

In controlled clinical trials in peripheral neuropathic pain 35% of the pregabalin treated patients and 18% of the patients on placebo had a 50% improvement in pain score.

Actual start date of recruitment	27 September 2013
Long term follow-up planned	No
Independent data monitoring committee (IDMC) involvement?	Νο
Notes:	

Population of trial subjects

Subjects enrolled per country

Country: Number of subjects enrolled	Netherlands: 16
Country: Number of subjects enrolled	Spain: 6
Country: Number of subjects enrolled	Austria: 27
Country: Number of subjects enrolled	Denmark: 9
Country: Number of subjects enrolled	France: 38
Country: Number of subjects enrolled	Germany: 154
Country: Number of subjects enrolled	Italy: 9
Country: Number of subjects enrolled	United States: 55
Worldwide total number of subjects	314
EEA total number of subjects	259

Notes:

Subjects enrolled per age group

Subjects chroned per age group	
In utero	0
Preterm newborn - gestational age < 37 wk	0
Newborns (0-27 days)	0
Infants and toddlers (28 days-23 months)	0
Children (2-11 years)	0
Adolescents (12-17 years)	0
Adults (18-64 years)	181
From 65 to 84 years	133
85 years and over	0

Recruitment

Recruitment details:

First subject signed informed consent on the 27 September 2013 and the last subject completed the trial on the 28 January 2015.

Pre-assignment

Screening details:

699 subjects signed informed consent in 82 active sites. The main reason for a subject not being allocated to treatment was a failure to meet the inclusion/exclusion criteria (322 subjects). 13 allocated subjects from 2 sites were excluded from the SAF and FAS analyses due to CGP noncompliance; 2 more subjects were allocated but not treated.

Period 1	
Period 1 title	Overall trial (overall period)
Is this the baseline period?	Yes
Allocation method	Randomised - controlled
Blinding used	Double blind
Roles blinded	Subject, Investigator, Monitor, Data analyst, Carer, Assessor
Blinding implementation details:	•

Blinding implementation details:

Matching placebo and double dummy technique.

Arms

Are arms mutually exclusive?	Yes
Arm title	Matching Placebo

Arm description:

Placebo was matched to pregabalin and cebranopadol.	
Arm type	Placebo
Investigational medicinal product name	Matching Placebo
Investigational medicinal product code	
Other name	
Pharmaceutical forms	Capsule, Tablet
Routes of administration	Oral use

Dosage and administration details:

Placebo matching cebranopadol film-coated tablets were taken once daily in the morning, placebo capsules matching over-encapsulated pregabalin were taken BID (morning and evening).

Arm title	Cebranopadol 100 µg

Arm description:

Participants randomized to 100 μg cebranopadol started with 100 μg per day and remained on 100 μg per day.

Arm type	Experimental
Investigational medicinal product name	Cebranopadol
Investigational medicinal product code	GRT6005
Other name	
Pharmaceutical forms	Tablet
Routes of administration	Oral use
Dosage and administration details:	

Dosage and administration details:

Cebranopadol film-coated tablets were taken once daily in the morning.

Arm title	Cebranopadol 300 µg

Arm description:

Participants randomized to 300 μ g cebranopadol started with 100 μ g per day. On day 4 participants increased their dose to 300 μ g per day and then remained on 300 μ g per day.

Arm type	Experimental
Investigational medicinal product name	Cebranopadol
Investigational medicinal product code	GRT6005
Other name	
Pharmaceutical forms	Tablet
Routes of administration	Oral use

Dosage and administration details:

Cebranopadol film-coated tablets were taken once daily in the morning.

Arm title	Cebranopadol 600 µg

Arm description:

Participants randomized to 600 μ g cebranopadol started with 200 μ g per day and increased to 400 μ g per day on day 4 and to 600 μ g on day 7, thereafter they remained on 600 μ g per day.

Arm type	Experimental
Investigational medicinal product name	Cebranopadol
Investigational medicinal product code	
Other name	
Pharmaceutical forms	Tablet
Routes of administration	Oral use

Dosage and administration details:

Cebranopadol film-coated tablets were taken once daily in the morning.

•	,
Arm title	Pregabalin 600 mg

Arm description:

Stepwise titration from 75 mg twice a day to 300 mg twice a day over 2 weeks.

Arm type	Active comparator
Investigational medicinal product name	Pregabalin
Investigational medicinal product code	
Other name	
Pharmaceutical forms	Capsule
Routes of administration	Oral use

Dosage and administration details:

Pregabalin over-encapsulated capsules were taken in the morning and in the evening. In the 2-week Titration Phase, the pregabalin starting dose was 75 mg twice daily (BID) on Day 1 with an increase to 150 mg BID on Day 4, to 225 mg BID on Day 8, and to 300 mg BID on Day 12. In the Maintenance Phase, pregabalin was to be taken at the target dose of 300 mg BID but with the option to permanently reduce to 225 mg BID if not tolerated. At the end of the Maintenance Phase, the pregabalin dose was to be gradually tapered off over 1 week (150 mg BID for 4 days and 75 mg BID for 3 days).

Number of subjects in period 1	Matching Placebo	Cebranopadol 100 µg	Cebranopadol 300 µg
Started	62	64	61
Completed	48	52	41
Not completed	14	12	20
Consent withdrawn by subject	3	1	-
Adverse event, non-fatal	5	8	17
Not specified	1	-	-
Sponsor decision	2	-	-
Lost to follow-up	-	1	1
Inclusion criteria not met	-	-	1

Lack of efficacy	3	2
------------------	---	---

Reporting groups			
Reporting group title	Matching Placebo		
Reporting group description:			
Placebo was matched to pregabalin and	cebranopadol.		
Reporting group title	Cebranopadol 100 µg		
Reporting group description:			
Participants randomized to 100 µg cebra per day.	nopadol started with 100 μg per day and remained on 100 μg		
Reporting group title	Cebranopadol 300 µg		
Reporting group description:			
Participants randomized to 300 μ g cebra increased their dose to 300 μ g per day a	nopadol started with 100 µg per day. On day 4 participants nd then remained on 300 µg per day.		
Reporting group title	Cebranopadol 600 µg		
Reporting group description:			
	nopadol started with 200 µg per day and increased to 400 µg 7, thereafter they remained on 600 µg per day.		
Reporting group title	Pregabalin 600 mg		
Reporting group description:			

Stepwise titration from 75 mg twice a day to 300 mg twice a day over 2 weeks.

Reporting group values	Matching Placebo	Cebranopadol 100 µg	Cebranopadol 300 µg
Number of subjects	62	64	61
Age categorical			
Safety Set			
Units: Subjects			
Adults (18-64 years)	32	37	38
From 65-84 years	30	27	23
85 years and over	0	0	0
Age continuous			
Safety Set			
Units: years			
arithmetic mean	63.3	62.2	61.6
standard deviation	± 10.3	± 8.6	± 8.7
Gender categorical			
Safety Set			
Units: Subjects			
Female	13	20	23
Male	49	44	38
Height			
Safety Set			-
Units: meter			
arithmetic mean	1.736	1.721	1.736
standard deviation	± 0.076	± 0.098	± 0.092
Weight			
Safety Set			
Units: kilogram(s)			
arithmetic mean	99	95.77	96.51

standard deviation	± 15.99	± 15.62	± 18.12
Body Mass Index (BMI)			
Safety Set			
Units: kilogram(s)/square meter			
arithmetic mean	32.8	32.3	31.87
standard deviation	± 4.53	± 4.41	± 4.39
Pain Assessment - Average 24-hour pain			1.55
The pain was assessed in the evening be		L subject was asked to a	answer the following
question: "Please rate your pain by select during the last 24 hours." Subject's pain bad as you can imagine) using an e-diar Safety Set.	ting the one number assessments on an 1	that best describes yo 1-point NRS (0 = no p	our pain on average
Units: unit(s)			
arithmetic mean	6.84	6,92	6.8
standard deviation	± 1.15	± 1.34	± 1.37
Pain Assessment - Worst 24-hour pain	± 1.15	± 1.34	± 1.57
The pain was assessed in the evening be question: "Please rate your pain by sele during the last 24 hours". Subject's pain bad as you can imagine) using an e-diar Safety Set.	cting the one number assessments on an 1	that best describes y 1 -point NRS ($0 = no p$	our pain at its worst
Units: unit(s)			
arithmetic mean	7.33	7.41	7.32
standard deviation	± 1.14	± 1.36	± 1.28
Pain Assessment - Current Morning Pain			
Safety Set. Units: unit(s) arithmetic mean	6.58	6.6	6.58
standard deviation	± 1.48	± 1.58	± 1.53
Pain Assessment - Current Evening Pain			
The pain was assessed in the evening be question: "Please rate your pain by selec have right now". Subject's pain assessme can imagine) using an e-diary: current p Safety Set. Units: unit(s)	ting the one number ents on an 11-point N ain in the evening.	that best describes ho RS (0 = no pain, 10 =	ow much pain you = pain as bad as you
arithmetic mean	6.55	6.98	6.87
standard deviation	± 1.34	± 1.5	± 1.46
Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathic Pain Impact Measure (DPNPI)			
The DPNPI measure was developed at F painful DPN on daily and physical functio Sleep (5 items), and Daily Activity (5 iter indicates a better health state. Full Analysis Set.	ning. Three domains i	in the DPNPI: Physical	l/Mobility (8 items),
Units: units on a scale			
arithmetic mean	57.4	59.7	56.8
standard deviation	± 18.1	± 18	± 22.1
Neuropathic Pain Symptom Inventory (NPSI)			
The NPSI is a self-administered question neuropathic pain qualities/intensity of ne 10 descriptors resulting in a total score f symptoms. A score of 1 indicates that all intensity.	europathic pain compo rom 0 to 1. A score of	onents. The questionna f 0 indicates that there	aire includes a list of e are no neuropathic

Full Analysis Set.			
Units: units on a scale			
arithmetic mean	0.48	0.505	0.523
standard deviation	± 0.208	± 0.203	± 0.202
Sleep Problems Index	- 0.200	- 0.205	1 0.202
The Sleep Problems Index measures 3 falling asleep (CPSI1), awakened by pa (CPSI4). Each item of the CPSI is scale indicate greater Sleep Problems. Full Analysis Set.	ain during the night (CP	SI3) and awakened by	pain in the morning
Units: Units on a scale			
arithmetic mean	153.9	173.5	158.1
standard deviation	± 85.9	± 78.1	± 94.9
EuroQol-5 Dimension quality of life questionnaire			
care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, 5 possible levels: no, slight, moderate estimates for various health states. In tends to vary between 0 = death and Full Analysis Set. Units: Units on a scale arithmetic mean	, severe and extreme. T general, the range of th 1 = perfect health. 0.51	The index uses general the single weighted aver	population weighte rage index and 0.506
standard deviation	± 0.21	± 0.207	± 0.248
Reporting group values	Cebranopadol 600 µg	Pregabalin 600 mg	Total
Number of subjects	62	65	314
Age categorical			
Safety Set			
Units: Subjects			
Adults (18-64 years)	39	35	181
From 65-84 years	23	30	133
85 years and over	0	0	0
Age continuous			
Safety Set	•	•	
Units: years			
arithmetic mean	62.2	61.7	
standard deviation	± 8.1	± 9.9	-
Gender categorical			
Safety Set			
Units: Subjects			
Female	22	16	94
Male	40	49	220
Height			
Safety Set	1	<u> </u>	
Units: meter			
arithmetic mean	1.717	1.736	
standard deviation	± 0.104	± 0.11	-
Weight			
Safety Set		11	
Units: kilogram(s)			
	1	02.25	
	CT 20	02.25 I	
arithmetic mean standard deviation	93.72 ± 16.05	92.25 ± 17.28	

Safety Set			
Units: kilogram(s)/square meter			
arithmetic mean	31.7	30.66	
standard deviation	± 4.29	± 5.26	-
Pain Assessment - Average 24-hour pain			
The pain was assessed in the evening being question: "Please rate your pain by select during the last 24 hours." Subject's pain bad as you can imagine) using an e-diary Safety Set.	ting the one number tassessments on an 1	that best describes yo 1-point NRS (0 = no p	our pain on average
Units: unit(s)			
arithmetic mean	6.8	6.78	
standard deviation	± 1.25	± 1.22	-
Pain Assessment - Worst 24-hour pain			
The pain was assessed in the evening ber question: "Please rate your pain by selec during the last 24 hours". Subject's pain bad as you can imagine) using an e-diary Safety Set.	cting the one number assessments on an 1	that best describes y 1-point NRS (0 = no p	our pain at its worst
Units: unit(s)			
arithmetic mean	7.38	7.32	
standard deviation	± 1.21	± 1.16	-
Pain Assessment - Current Morning Pain			
The pain was assessed in the morning be question: "Please rate your pain by selec have right now". Subject's pain assessme can imagine) using an e-diary: current pa Safety Set.	ting the one number t ents on an 11-point N	that best describes ho	w much pain you
Units: unit(s)	<i>c</i>		
arithmetic mean	6.44	6.61	
standard deviation	± 1.46	± 1.44	-
Pain Assessment - Current Evening Pain			
The pain was assessed in the evening before IMP intake. The subject was asked to answer the following question: "Please rate your pain by selecting the one number that best describes how much pain you have right now". Subject's pain assessments on an 11-point NRS ($0 = no pain$, $10 = pain as bad as you can imagine$) using an e-diary: current pain in the evening. Safety Set.			
Units: unit(s)			
arithmetic mean	6.68	6.75	
standard deviation	± 1.33	± 1.36	-
Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathic Pain Impact Measure (DPNPI)			
The DPNPI measure was developed at For painful DPN on daily and physical function Sleep (5 items), and Daily Activity (5 item indicates a better health state. Full Analysis Set.	ning. Three domains i	in the DPNPI: Physical	l/Mobility (8 items),
Units: units on a scale			
arithmetic mean	57.7	56.6	
standard deviation	± 21	± 18.9	-
Neuropathic Pain Symptom Inventory (NPSI)			
The NPSI is a self-administered questionnaire specifically designed for the evaluation of different neuropathic pain qualities/intensity of neuropathic pain components. The questionnaire includes a list of 10 descriptors resulting in a total score from 0 to 1. A score of 0 indicates that there are no neuropathic symptoms. A score of 1 indicates that all neuropathic symptoms are present and at their worst possible intensity. Full Analysis Set. Units: units on a scale			

arithmetic mean	0.49	0.491	
standard deviation	± 0.206	± 0.178	-
Sleep Problems Index			
The Sleep Problems Index measures 3 items of the Chronic Pain Sleep Inventory (CPSI), i.e. trouble falling asleep (CPSI1), awakened by pain during the night (CPSI3) and awakened by pain in the morning (CPSI4). Each item of the CPSI is scaled separately using 100 mm visual analog scales. Higher scores indicate greater Sleep Problems. Full Analysis Set.			
Units: Units on a scale			
arithmetic mean	155.9	171.6	
standard deviation	± 88.9	± 83.1	-
EuroQol-5 Dimension quality of life questionnaire			
The EQ-5D Health Questionnaire will be completed by the subjects and has 5 dimensions: mobility, self- care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression. Each of the 5 dimensions has 5 possible levels: no, slight, moderate, severe and extreme. The index uses general population weighted estimates for various health states. In general, the range of the single weighted average index and tends to vary between 0 = death and 1 = perfect health. Full Analysis Set.			
Units: Units on a scale			
arithmetic mean	0.504	0.5	
standard deviation	± 0.21	± 0.217	-

End points reporting groups		
Reporting group title	Matching Placebo	
Reporting group description:		
Placebo was matched to pregabalin and o	cebranopadol.	
Reporting group title	Cebranopadol 100 µg	
Reporting group description:		
Participants randomized to 100 μ g cebra per day.	nopadol started with 100 μg per day and remained on 100 μg	
Reporting group title	Cebranopadol 300 µg	
Reporting group description:		
Participants randomized to 300 μg cebra increased their dose to 300 μg per day a	nopadol started with 100 μ g per day. On day 4 participants nd then remained on 300 μ g per day.	
Reporting group title	Cebranopadol 600 µg	
Reporting group description:		
Participants randomized to 600 μ g cebranopadol started with 200 μ g per day and increased to 400 μ g per day on day 4 and to 600 μ g on day 7, thereafter they remained on 600 μ g per day.		
Reporting group title	Pregabalin 600 mg	
Reporting group description:		
Stepwise titration from 75 mg twice a da	y to 300 mg twice a day over 2 weeks.	

Primary: Change in Average Pain Intensity

End point title

Change in Average Pain Intensity

End point description:

Subjects will be asked to record their pain intensity in the evening. Subjects were asked to rate how much pain they had on average in the past 24 hours. The subject scored their pain intensity on an 11-point Numerical Rating Scale (NRS) where a score of 0 indicated "no pain" and a score of 10 indicated "pain as bad as you can imagine". Baseline average pain scores were calculated from the averages of all scores recorded during the 3 days prior to randomization. The average pain at week 6 will be the average pain scores calculated from all pain scores measured during week 6.

End point type	Primary
End point timeframe:	
Baseline to End of Week 6 of the Maintenance Phase	

Matching Cebranopadol Cebranopadol Cebranopadol End point values Placebo 100 µg 300 µg 600 µg Subject group type Reporting group Reporting group Reporting group Reporting group Number of subjects analysed 61 64 60 58 Units: unit(s) number (confidence interval 95%) -1.55 (-2.1 to -2.24 (-2.78 to -2.28 (-2.86 to -2.56 (-3.2 to -Change in Average Pain Intensity 1.91) -1.7) -1.71) 1)

	End point values	Pregabalin 600 mg			
--	------------------	----------------------	--	--	--

Subject group type	Reporting group		
Number of subjects analysed	64		
Units: unit(s)			
number (confidence interval 95%)			
Change in Average Pain Intensity	-2.79 (-3.33 to -2.26)		

Statistical analyses

Statistical analysis title	Cebranopadol 100 µg versus Matching Placebo
----------------------------	---

Statistical analysis description:

The mixed model repeated measurement (MMRM) model included fixed effects of pooled sites, treatment, week, treatment-by-week interaction, baseline pain, and a subject-specific random effect. The model was based on the weekly average 24-hour pain intensity of the 2 weeks in the Titration Phase and 6 weeks in the Maintenance Phase. An unstructured covariance matrix was used to model the covariance structure, denominator degrees of freedom were estimated using the Kenward-Roger approximation.

Comparison groups	Matching Placebo v Cebranopadol 100 µg
Number of subjects included in analysis	125
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority ^[1]
P-value	= 0.0621 ^[2]
Method	Mixed models analysis
Parameter estimate	Mixed Model Analysis
Point estimate	-0.7
Confidence interval	
level	95 %
sides	2-sided
lower limit	-1.43
upper limit	0.04
Variability estimate	Standard error of the mean
Dispersion value	0.37
lower limit upper limit Variability estimate	-1.43 0.04 Standard error of the mean

Notes:

[1] - The analysis consisted of the contrasts (mixed model Wald tests) of individual cebranopadol doses versus placebo during Week 6 of Maintenance Phase.

[2] - Due to the exploratory character of this trial, no multiple testing adjustment for control of the false positive rate was applied.

Statistical analysis title	Cebranopadol 300 µg versus Matching Placebo

Statistical analysis description:

The mixed model repeated measurement (MMRM) model included fixed effects of pooled sites, treatment, week, treatment-by-week interaction, baseline pain, and a subject-specific random effect. The model was based on the weekly average 24-hour pain intensity of the 2 weeks in the Titration Phase and 6 weeks in the Maintenance Phase. An unstructured covariance matrix was used to model the covariance structure, denominator degrees of freedom were estimated using the Kenward-Roger approximation.

Comparison groups	Matching Placebo v Cebranopadol 300 µg

Number of subjects included in analysis	121
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority ^[3]
P-value	= 0.0564 ^[4]
Method	Mixed models analysis
Parameter estimate	Mixed Model Analysis
Point estimate	-0.74
Confidence interval	
level	95 %
sides	2-sided
lower limit	-1.5
upper limit	0.02
Variability estimate	Standard error of the mean
Dispersion value	0.39

Notes:

[3] - The analysis consisted of the contrasts (mixed model Wald tests) of individual cebranopadol doses versus placebo during Week 6 of Maintenance Phase.

[4] - Due to the exploratory character of this trial, no multiple testing adjustment for control of the false positive rate was applied.

Statistical analysis description:

The mixed model repeated measurement (MMRM) model included fixed effects of pooled sites, treatment, week, treatment-by-week interaction, baseline pain, and a subject-specific random effect. The model was based on the weekly average 24-hour pain intensity of the 2 weeks in the Titration Phase and 6 weeks in the Maintenance Phase. An unstructured covariance matrix was used to model the covariance structure, denominator degrees of freedom were estimated using the Kenward-Roger approximation.

Matching Placebo v Cebranopadol 600 µg
119
Pre-specified
superiority ^[5]
= 0.0153 ^[6]
Mixed Model Analysis
Mixed Model Analysis
-1.01
•
95 %
2-sided
-1.83
-0.2
Standard error of the mean
0.41

Notes:

[5] - The analysis consisted of the contrasts (mixed model Wald tests) of individual cebranopadol doses versus placebo during Week 6 of Maintenance Phase.

[6] - Due to the exploratory character of this trial, no multiple testing adjustment for control of the false positive rate was applied.

Adverse events information	
Timeframe for reporting adverse e	vents:
Baseline Visit first IMP dose (Day 1	l) to Visit 9 (Day 71).
Assessment type	Systematic
Dictionary used	
Dictionary name	MedDRA
Dictionary version	17.1
Reporting groups	
Reporting group title	Matching Placebo
Reporting group description:	
Placebo was matched to pregabalin	n and cebranopadol.
Reporting group title	Cebranopadol 100 µg
Reporting group description:	
Participants randomized to 100 μg per day.	cebranopadol started with 100 μg per day and remained on 100 μg
Reporting group title	Cebranopadol 300 µg
Reporting group description:	
	cebranopadol started with 100 μ g per day. On day 4 participants day and then remained on 300 μ g per day.
Reporting group title	Cebranopadol 600 µg
Reporting group description:	
	cebranopadol started with 200 μ g per day and increased to 400 μ g day 7, thereafter they remained on 600 μ g per day.
Reporting group title	Pregabalin
Reporting group description:	

Stepwise titration from 75 mg twice a day to 300 mg twice a day over 2 weeks.

Serious adverse events	Matching Placebo	Cebranopadol 100 µg	Cebranopadol 300 µg
Total subjects affected by serious adverse events			
subjects affected / exposed	2 / 62 (3.23%)	1 / 64 (1.56%)	2 / 61 (3.28%)
number of deaths (all causes)	0	0	0
number of deaths resulting from adverse events	0	0	0
Vascular disorders			
Haematoma			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 62 (0.00%)	1 / 64 (1.56%)	0 / 61 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0/1	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
General disorders and administration site conditions			
Peripheral swelling			

subjects affected / exposed	1 / 62 (1.61%)	0 / 64 (0.00%)	0 / 61 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 1	0 / 0	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Gastrointestinal disorders			
Abdominal pain			
subjects affected / exposed	1 / 62 (1.61%)	0 / 64 (0.00%)	0 / 61 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 1	0 / 0	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Diverticulum intestinal haemorrhagic	:		
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 62 (0.00%)	0 / 64 (0.00%)	0 / 61 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Gastrooesophageal reflux disease			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 62 (0.00%)	0 / 64 (0.00%)	0 / 61 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0/0	0 / 0	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Vomiting			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 62 (0.00%)	0 / 64 (0.00%)	0 / 61 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Renal and urinary disorders			
Renal failure acute			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 62 (0.00%)	0 / 64 (0.00%)	1 / 61 (1.64%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 1
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Metabolism and nutrition disorders			
Dehydration			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 62 (0.00%)	0 / 64 (0.00%)	0 / 61 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Diabetes mellitus			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 62 (0.00%)	0 / 64 (0.00%)	1 / 61 (1.64%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 1
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0

Hypoglycaemic coma subjects affected / exposed	0 / 62 (0.00%)	0 / 64 (0.00%)	0 / 61 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
deaths causally related to			

subjects affected / exposed	2 / 62 (3.23%)	0 / 65 (0.00%)	
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	1 / 2	0 / 0	
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	
Renal and urinary disorders			
Renal failure acute			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 62 (0.00%)	0 / 65 (0.00%)	
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	
Metabolism and nutrition disorders			
Dehydration			
subjects affected / exposed	1 / 62 (1.61%)	0 / 65 (0.00%)	
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 1	0 / 0	
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	
Diabetes mellitus			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 62 (0.00%)	0 / 65 (0.00%)	
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	
Hypoglycaemic coma			
subjects affected / exposed	1 / 62 (1.61%)	0 / 65 (0.00%)	
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 1	0 / 0	
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	

Frequency threshold for reporting non-serious adverse events: 5 %

Non-serious adverse events	Matching Placebo	Cebranopadol 100 µg	Cebranopadol 300 µg
Total subjects affected by non-serious adverse events			
subjects affected / exposed	43 / 62 (69.35%)	47 / 64 (73.44%)	50 / 61 (81.97%)
Investigations Weight increase subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all)	1 / 62 (1.61%) 1	0 / 64 (0.00%) 0	0 / 61 (0.00%) 0
Nervous system disorders Dizziness subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all)	6 / 62 (9.68%) 7	8 / 64 (12.50%) 9	10 / 61 (16.39%) 11

2 / 62 (3.23%)	3 / 64 (4.69%)	6 / 61 (9.84%)
2	3	6
1 / 62 (1.61%)	3 / 64 (4.69%)	8 / 61 (13.11%)
1	4	8
0 / 62 (0.00%)	1 / 64 (1.56%)	1 / 61 (1.64%)
0	1	1
2 / 62 (3.23%)	8 / 64 (12.50%)	11 / 61 (18.03%)
2	8	11
1 / 62 (1.61%)	3 / 64 (4.69%)	3 / 61 (4.92%)
1	3	3
2 / 62 (3.23%)	0 / 64 (0.00%)	6 / 61 (9.84%)
2	0	6
2 / 62 (3.23%)	3 / 64 (4.69%)	6 / 61 (9.84%)
4	3	7
5 / 62 (8.06%)	4 / 64 (6.25%)	2 / 61 (3.28%)
5	4	2
1 / 62 (1.61%)	1 / 64 (1.56%)	1 / 61 (1.64%)
1	1	1
6 / 62 (9.68%)	6 / 64 (9.38%)	22 / 61 (36.07%)
6	6	28
2 / 62 (3.23%)	2 / 64 (3.13%)	10 / 61 (16.39%)
		1
	2 1 / 62 (1.61%) 1 0 / 62 (0.00%) 0 2 / 62 (0.00%) 0 2 / 62 (0.00%) 2 1 / 62 (1.61%) 1 2 / 62 (0.00%) 2 2 / 62 (0.00%) 1 1 6 / 62 (0.00%) 6	23 $1 / 62 (1.61\%)$ $3 / 64 (4.69\%)$ $1 / 62 (0.00\%)$ $1 / 64 (1.56\%)$ $0 / 62 (0.00\%)$ $1 / 64 (1.56\%)$ $0 / 62 (3.23\%)$ $8 / 64 (12.50\%)$ $2 / 62 (3.23\%)$ $3 / 64 (4.69\%)$ $1 / 62 (1.61\%)$ $3 / 64 (4.69\%)$ $2 / 62 (3.23\%)$ $0 / 64 (0.00\%)$ $2 / 62 (3.23\%)$ $3 / 64 (4.69\%)$ $2 / 62 (3.23\%)$ $3 / 64 (4.69\%)$ 4 3 $5 / 62 (8.06\%)$ $4 / 64 (6.25\%)$ $5 / 62 (8.06\%)$ $4 / 64 (6.25\%)$ $1 / 62 (1.61\%)$ $1 / 64 (1.56\%)$ $1 / 62 (1.61\%)$ $1 / 64 (1.56\%)$ $1 / 62 (9.68\%)$ $6 / 64 (9.38\%)$ $6 / 64 (9.38\%)$ $6 / 64 (9.38\%)$

Hyperhidrosis subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all)	2 / 62 (3.23%) 2	3 / 64 (4.69%) 3	8 / 61 (13.11%) 8
Psychiatric disorders			
Depressed mood			
subjects affected / exposed	4 / 62 (6.45%)	1 / 64 (1.56%)	1 / 61 (1.64%)
occurrences (all)	5	1	1
Infections and infestations			
Bacteriuria			
subjects affected / exposed	6 / 62 (9.68%)	5 / 64 (7.81%)	3 / 61 (4.92%)
occurrences (all)	6	5	3
Nasopharyngitis			
subjects affected / exposed	6 / 62 (9.68%)	3 / 64 (4.69%)	1 / 61 (1.64%)
occurrences (all)	7	3	1

Non-serious adverse events	Cebranopadol 600 µg	Pregabalin	
Total subjects affected by non-serious adverse events			
subjects affected / exposed	52 / 62 (83.87%)	49 / 65 (75.38%)	
Investigations			
Weight increase			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 62 (0.00%)	5 / 65 (7.69%)	
occurrences (all)	0	5	
Nervous system disorders			
Dizziness			
subjects affected / exposed	21 / 62 (33.87%)	12 / 65 (18.46%)	
occurrences (all)	21	18	
Headache			
subjects affected / exposed	3 / 62 (4.84%)	4 / 65 (6.15%)	
occurrences (all)	3	4	
Somnolence			
subjects affected / exposed	8 / 62 (12.90%)	3 / 65 (4.62%)	
occurrences (all)	9	4	
Tremor			
subjects affected / exposed	1 / 62 (1.61%)	4 / 65 (6.15%)	
occurrences (all)	1	5	
General disorders and administration site conditions			

Fatigue			I
subjects affected / exposed	10 / 62 (16.13%)	5 / 65 (7.69%)	
occurrences (all)	11	5	
Oedema peripheral subjects affected / exposed	1 / 62 (1.61%)	6 / 65 (9.23%)	
occurrences (all)	1	8	
	Ť	0	
Gastrointestinal disorders			
Abdominal pain upper subjects affected / exposed	0 / 62 (0 00%)		
occurrences (all)	0 / 62 (0.00%)	0 / 65 (0.00%)	
	0	0	
Constipation			
subjects affected / exposed	7 / 62 (11.29%)	6 / 65 (9.23%)	
occurrences (all)	8	6	
Diarrhoea			
subjects affected / exposed	3 / 62 (4.84%)	2 / 65 (3.08%)	
occurrences (all)	3	2	
Dry mouth subjects affected / exposed	8 / 62 (12.90%)	1 / 65 (1.54%)	
occurrences (all)	9	1 1	
	3	L	
Nausea			
subjects affected / exposed	16 / 62 (25.81%)	6 / 65 (9.23%)	
occurrences (all)	16	6	
Vomiting			
subjects affected / exposed	17 / 62 (27.42%)	1 / 65 (1.54%)	
occurrences (all)	20	1	
Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders			
Hyperhidrosis			
subjects affected / exposed	6 / 62 (9.68%)	1 / 65 (1.54%)	
occurrences (all)	7	1	
Psychiatric disorders			
Depressed mood			
subjects affected / exposed	2 / 62 (3.23%)	0 / 65 (0.00%)	
occurrences (all)	2	0	
Infections and infestations			
Bacteriuria			
subjects affected / exposed	3 / 62 (4.84%)	6 / 65 (9.23%)	
occurrences (all)		6	1

Nasopharyngitis			
subjects affected / exposed	1 / 62 (1.61%)	5 / 65 (7.69%)	
occurrences (all)	1	5	

Substantial protocol amendments (globally)

Date	Amendment
11 September 2013	 Introduction of an additional mandatory criterion for discontinuation in case of lack of efficacy. Exchange of the English example of the COWS questionnaire in the appendix. Minor corrections regarding the description of the handling of prematurely discontinued subjects, physical examination, medical history, concomitant medication, and the microscopic examination of the urine dipstick test. Correction of the description regarding the process for generation of subject numbers.
12 December 2013	Additional mandatory criterion for the compulsory discontinuation of subjects in the UK in case of an increase in the QTcF interval of >60 ms compared to baseline.
16 January 2014	Modification of inclusion and exclusion criteria to better reflect daily clinical practice and broaden the target population.
28 July 2014	Prolongation of the subject recruitment period by 6 months which became necessary due to the slower than anticipated recruitment.
Notes:	

Were there any global substantial amendments to the protocol? Yes

Notes:

Interruptions (globally)

Were there any global interruptions to the trial? No

Limitations and caveats

None reported