



## Clinical trial results:

**A phase III, double blind, randomised, placebo controlled study to assess the efficacy and safety of a single treatment of Clostridium botulinum toxin type A to improve the appearance of moderate to severe glabellar lines**

### Summary

|                          |                |
|--------------------------|----------------|
| EudraCT number           | 2013-002321-34 |
| Trial protocol           | DE             |
| Global end of trial date | 31 August 2015 |

### Results information

|                                |               |
|--------------------------------|---------------|
| Result version number          | v1 (current)  |
| This version publication date  | 04 March 2018 |
| First version publication date | 04 March 2018 |

### Trial information

#### Trial identification

|                       |                |
|-----------------------|----------------|
| Sponsor protocol code | Y-52-52120-189 |
|-----------------------|----------------|

#### Additional study identifiers

|                                    |             |
|------------------------------------|-------------|
| ISRCTN number                      | -           |
| ClinicalTrials.gov id (NCT number) | NCT02353871 |
| WHO universal trial number (UTN)   | -           |

Notes:

### Sponsors

|                              |   |
|------------------------------|---|
| Sponsor organisation name    | Ipsen Innovation  |
| Sponsor organisation address | 5 Avenue du Canada, Les Ulis, Cedex, France, 91940            |
| Public contact               | Medical Director, Neurology, Ipsen, clinical.trials@ipson.com |
| Scientific contact           | Medical Director, Neurology, Ipsen, clinical.trials@ipson.com |

Notes:

### Paediatric regulatory details

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Is trial part of an agreed paediatric investigation plan (PIP)       | No |
| Does article 45 of REGULATION (EC) No 1901/2006 apply to this trial? | No |
| Does article 46 of REGULATION (EC) No 1901/2006 apply to this trial? | No |

Notes:

---

**Results analysis stage**

---

|  |                |
|--|----------------|
| Analysis stage                                       | Final          |
| Date of interim/final analysis                       | 31 August 2015 |
| Is this the analysis of the primary completion data? | Yes            |
| Primary completion date                              | 31 August 2015 |
| Global end of trial reached?                         | Yes            |
| Global end of trial date                             | 31 August 2015 |
| Was the trial ended prematurely?                     | No             |

Notes:

---

**General information about the trial**

---

Main objective of the trial:

To demonstrate the efficacy of a single treatment of an injectable liquid form of Clostridium Botulinum toxin type A haemagglutinin complex (BTX-A-HAC) next generation (NG) at 50 Units (U), used for the improvement in the appearance of moderate to severe glabellar lines at maximum frown.

Protection of trial subjects:

The study was conducted under the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki and in accordance with the International Council for Harmonisation Consolidated Guideline on Good Clinical Practice.

Background therapy: -

Evidence for comparator: -

|   |                 |
|---|-----------------|
| Actual start date of recruitment                          | 12 January 2015 |
| Long term follow-up planned                               | No              |
| Independent data monitoring committee (IDMC) involvement? | No              |

Notes:

---

**Population of trial subjects**

---

**Subjects enrolled per country**

|                                      |              |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | France: 76   |
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | Germany: 109 |
| Worldwide total number of subjects   | 185          |
| EEA total number of subjects         | 185          |

Notes:

---

**Subjects enrolled per age group**

---

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| In utero                                  | 0   |
| Preterm newborn - gestational age < 37 wk | 0   |
| Newborns (0-27 days)                      | 0   |
| Infants and toddlers (28 days-23 months)  | 0   |
| Children (2-11 years)                     | 0   |
| Adolescents (12-17 years)                 | 0   |
| Adults (18-64 years)                      | 183 |
| From 65 to 84 years                       | 2   |
| 85 years and over                         | 0   |

## Subject disposition

### Recruitment

Recruitment details:

Subjects with moderate or severe vertical glabellar lines at maximum frown were recruited to nine active sites in France and Germany from January 2015. The study was completed in August 2015.

### Pre-assignment

Screening details:

Overall, 190 subjects were screened, five of whom were screening failures. A total of 185 subjects were enrolled and randomised to receive treatment. One of the subjects who was randomised to placebo did not receive study treatment due to violation of an inclusion criterion.

### Period 1

|                              |                                |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Period 1 title               | Overall Study (overall period) |
| Is this the baseline period? | Yes                            |
| Allocation method            | Randomised - controlled        |
| Blinding used                | Double blind                   |
| Roles blinded                | Subject, Investigator, Carer   |

### Arms

|                              |                   |
|------------------------------|-------------------|
| Are arms mutually exclusive? | Yes               |
| <b>Arm title</b>             | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U |

Arm description:

Subjects were randomised to receive BTX-A-HAC NG. A total dose of 50 U was injected on Day 1. The total treatment volume (0.25 millilitres [mL]) was divided into five injections (0.05 mL per injection) injected into five predefined sites across the glabellar region.

|  |                            |
|--|----------------------------|
| Arm type                               | Experimental               |
| Investigational medicinal product name | BTX-A-HAC NG Solution 50 U |
| Investigational medicinal product code |                            |
| Other name                             | BTX-A-HAC                  |
| Pharmaceutical forms                   | Solution for injection     |
| Routes of administration               | Intramuscular use          |

Dosage and administration details:

Subjects received a single total dose of 50 U BTX-A-HAC NG solution (10 U/0.05 mL) divided into five equal injections (0.05 mL per injection) to be administered into five predefined sites in the glabellar region.

|                  |         |
|------------------|---------|
| <b>Arm title</b> | Placebo |
|------------------|---------|

Arm description:

Subjects were randomised to receive placebo. The total placebo volume (0.25 mL) was divided into five injections (0.05 mL per injection) injected into five predefined sites across the glabellar region

|  |                        |
|--|------------------------|
| Arm type                               | Placebo                |
| Investigational medicinal product name | Placebo                |
| Investigational medicinal product code |                        |
| Other name                             |                        |
| Pharmaceutical forms                   | Solution for injection |
| Routes of administration               | Intramuscular use      |

Dosage and administration details:

The placebo solution for injection contained only the excipients of BTX-A-HAC NG. Subjects received a single dose of 0.25 mL divided into five equal injections (0.05 mL per injection) to be administered into five predefined sites in the glabellar region.

| <b>Number of subjects in period 1</b> | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U | Placebo |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Started                               | 125               | 60      |
| Completed                             | 122               | 51      |
| Not completed                         | 3                 | 9       |
| Lost to follow-up                     | 2                 | -       |
| Consent withdrawn                     | 1                 | 8       |
| Protocol deviation                    | -                 | 1       |

## Baseline characteristics

### Reporting groups

|                       |                   |
|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Reporting group title | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U |
|-----------------------|-------------------|

Reporting group description:

Subjects were randomised to receive BTX-A-HAC NG. A total dose of 50 U was injected on Day 1. The total treatment volume (0.25 millilitres [mL]) was divided into five injections (0.05 mL per injection) injected into five predefined sites across the glabellar region.

|                       |         |
|-----------------------|---------|
| Reporting group title | Placebo |
|-----------------------|---------|

Reporting group description:

Subjects were randomised to receive placebo. The total placebo volume (0.25 mL) was divided into five injections (0.05 mL per injection) injected into five predefined sites across the glabellar region

| Reporting group values | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U | Placebo | Total |
|------------------------|-------------------|---------|-------|
| Number of subjects     | 125               | 60      | 185   |
| Age categorical        |                   |         |       |
| Units: Subjects        |                   |         |       |

|                         |        |        |     |
|-------------------------|--------|--------|-----|
| Age continuous          |        |        |     |
| Units: Years            |        |        |     |
| arithmetic mean         | 47.7   | 48.0   |     |
| standard deviation      | ± 9.75 | ± 9.09 | -   |
| Gender categorical      |        |        |     |
| Units: Subjects         |        |        |     |
| Female                  | 108    | 52     | 160 |
| Male                    | 17     | 8      | 25  |
| Ethnicity (NIH/OMB)     |        |        |     |
| Units: Subjects         |        |        |     |
| Hispanic or Latino      | 0      | 0      | 0   |
| Not Hispanic or Latino  | 125    | 60     | 185 |
| Unknown or Not Reported | 0      | 0      | 0   |
| Race, Customised        |        |        |     |
| Units: Subjects         |        |        |     |
| Caucasion/White         | 124    | 59     | 183 |
| Black/African American  | 0      | 1      | 1   |
| Other                   | 1      | 0      | 1   |

## End points

### End points reporting groups

|  |                   |
|--|-------------------|
| Reporting group title  | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U |
| Reporting group description:<br>Subjects were randomised to receive BTX-A-HAC NG. A total dose of 50 U was injected on Day 1. The total treatment volume (0.25 millilitres [mL]) was divided into five injections (0.05 mL per injection) injected into five predefined sites across the glabellar region. |                   |
| Reporting group title  | Placebo           |
| Reporting group description:<br>Subjects were randomised to receive placebo. The total placebo volume (0.25 mL) was divided into five injections (0.05 mL per injection) injected into five predefined sites across the glabellar region   |                   |

### Primary: The percentage of responders at Day 29 assessed by the Investigator's live assessment (ILA) of the appearance of glabellar lines at maximum frown.

|                 |  |
|-----------------|--|
| End point title | The percentage of responders at Day 29 assessed by the Investigator's live assessment (ILA) of the appearance of glabellar lines at maximum frown. |
|-----------------|--|

#### End point description:

The ILA was used to assess the appearance of glabellar lines at maximum frown on Day 29, and consists of a validated 4-point photographic scale:

Grade 0 - none; Grade 1 - mild; Grade 2 - moderate; Grade 3 - severe.

A responder at maximum frown was defined as having a severity grade of none (Grade 0) or mild (Grade 1) at maximum frown on Day 29 and a severity grade of moderate (Grade 2) or severe (Grade 3) at Baseline (Day 1, pretreatment).

The adjusted percentage of responders in each treatment group is presented and was calculated using a multivariate logistic regression model with treatment group, centre and stratification factors (gender and baseline ILA score at maximum frown) as fixed effects.

The modified intent-to-treat (mITT) population consisted of subjects who had received at least one injection and had a baseline and at least one post-baseline value for the ILA of glabellar lines at maximum frown.

|   |         |
|---|---------|
| End point type                                      | Primary |
| End point timeframe:<br>Day 1 (Baseline) and Day 29 |         |

| End point values                         | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U   | Placebo          |  |  |
|--|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type                       | Reporting group     | Reporting group  |  |  |
| Number of subjects analysed              | 124                 | 58               |  |  |
| Units: Adjusted percentage of responders |                     |                  |  |  |
| number (confidence interval 95%)         | 88.3 (76.1 to 94.7) | 1.4 (0.3 to 6.5) |  |  |

## Statistical analyses

|   |                                  |
|---|----------------------------------|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b>   | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo |
| Statistical analysis description:<br>The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups is presented. |                                  |
| Comparison groups   | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo      |
| Number of subjects included in analysis   | 182                              |
| Analysis specification  | Pre-specified                    |
| Analysis type   | superiority                      |
| P-value   | < 0.0001 <sup>[1]</sup>          |
| Method  | Regression, Logistic             |
| Parameter estimate  | Treatment difference             |
| Point estimate  | 86.9                             |
| Confidence interval   |                                  |
| level   | 95 %                             |
| sides   | 2-sided                          |
| lower limit   | 80.5                             |
| upper limit   | 93.3                             |

Notes:

[1] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

### Secondary: The percentage of responders at each post-treatment visit to the study centre (except Day 29) as measured by the ILA at maximum frown.

|                 |  |
|-----------------|--|
| End point title | The percentage of responders at each post-treatment visit to the study centre (except Day 29) as measured by the ILA at maximum frown. |
|-----------------|--|

End point description:

The ILA was used to assess the appearance of glabellar lines at maximum frown on Days 8, 15, 57, 113, 148 and 183 and consists of a validated 4-point photographic scale:  
Grade 0 - none; Grade 1 - mild; Grade 2 - moderate; Grade 3 - severe.

A responder at maximum frown was defined as having a severity grade of none (Grade 0) or mild (Grade 1) at maximum frown on a given visit and a severity grade of moderate (Grade 2) or severe (Grade 3) at Baseline (Day 1, pretreatment).

The adjusted percentage of responders in each treatment group is presented for each post-treatment visit and was calculated using a multivariate logistic regression model with treatment group, centre and stratification factors (gender and baseline ILA score at maximum frown) as fixed effects.

The mITT population consisted of subjects who had received at least one injection and had a baseline and at least one post-baseline value for the ILA of glabellar lines at maximum frown.

|                |           |
|----------------|-----------|
| End point type | Secondary |
|----------------|-----------|

End point timeframe:

Days 1 (Baseline), 8, 15, 57, 85, 113, 148 and 183 (End of Study).

| End point values                         | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U   | Placebo          |  |  |
|--|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type                       | Reporting group     | Reporting group  |  |  |
| Number of subjects analysed              | 124                 | 59               |  |  |
| Units: Adjusted percentage of responders |                     |                  |  |  |
| number (confidence interval 95%)         |                     |                  |  |  |
| Day 8 (n=124 BTX-A-HAC; n=57 Placebo)    | 80.5 (65.3 to 90.0) | 1.3 (0.3 to 6.2) |  |  |

|   |                     |                  |  |  |
|---|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| Day 15 (n=124 BTX-A-HAC; n=59 Placebo)  | 87.0 (74.5 to 93.9) | 1.5 (0.3 to 7.0) |  |  |
| Day 57 (n=124 BTX-A-HAC; n=58 Placebo)  | 77.4 (63.0 to 87.3) | 1.0 (0.2 to 5.4) |  |  |
| Day 85 (n=121 BTX-A-HAC; n=57 Placebo)  | 51.3 (35.1 to 67.3) | 1.0 (0.2 to 5.6) |  |  |
| Day 113 (n=123 BTX-A-HAC; n=56 Placebo) | 34.0 (20.5 to 50.7) | 0.8 (0.1 to 5.1) |  |  |
| Day 148 (n=121 BTX-A-HAC; n=51 Placebo) | 17.1 (7.9 to 33.1)  | 1.8 (0.3 to 9.8) |  |  |
| Day 183 (n=122 BTX-A-HAC; n=51 Placebo) | 4.9 (0.9 to 23.2)   | 0.9 (0.1 to 8.9) |  |  |

## Statistical analyses

|                                   |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b> | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 8 |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 8 is presented.

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Comparison groups                       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 183                         |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified               |
| Analysis type                           | superiority                 |
| P-value                                 | < 0.0001 <sup>[2]</sup>     |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic        |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference        |
| Point estimate                          | 79.2                        |
| Confidence interval                     |                             |
| level                                   | 95 %                        |
| sides                                   | 2-sided                     |
| lower limit                             | 71.6                        |
| upper limit                             | 86.7                        |

Notes:

[2] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|                                   |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b> | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 15 |
|-----------------------------------|--|

Statistical analysis description:

The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 15 is presented.

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Comparison groups                       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 183                         |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified               |
| Analysis type                           | superiority                 |
| P-value                                 | < 0.0001 <sup>[3]</sup>     |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic        |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference        |
| Point estimate                          | 85.5                        |



|                     |         |
|---------------------|---------|
| Confidence interval |         |
| level               | 95 %    |
| sides               | 2-sided |
| lower limit         | 78.8    |
| upper limit         | 92.2    |

Notes:

[3] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|                                   |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b> | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 57 |
|-----------------------------------|--|

Statistical analysis description:

The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 57 is presented.

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Comparison groups                       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 183                         |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified               |
| Analysis type                           | superiority                 |
| P-value                                 | < 0.0001 <sup>[4]</sup>     |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic        |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference        |
| Point estimate                          | 76.3                        |

Confidence interval

|             |         |
|-------------|---------|
| level       | 95 %    |
| sides       | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 68.5    |
| upper limit | 84.2    |

Notes:

[4] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|                                   |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b> | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 85 |
|-----------------------------------|--|

Statistical analysis description:

The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 85 is presented.

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Comparison groups                       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 183                         |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified               |
| Analysis type                           | superiority                 |
| P-value                                 | < 0.0001 <sup>[5]</sup>     |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic        |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference        |
| Point estimate                          | 50.3                        |

Confidence interval

|             |         |
|-------------|---------|
| level       | 95 %    |
| sides       | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 41      |
| upper limit | 59.6    |

Notes:

[5] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|                                   |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b> | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 113 |
|-----------------------------------|---|

**Statistical analysis description:**

The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 113 is presented.

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Comparison groups                       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 183                         |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified               |
| Analysis type                           | superiority                 |
| P-value                                 | < 0.0001 <sup>[6]</sup>     |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic        |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference        |
| Point estimate                          | 33.2                        |
| Confidence interval                     |                             |
| level                                   | 95 %                        |
| sides                                   | 2-sided                     |
| lower limit                             | 24.5                        |
| upper limit                             | 41.9                        |

Notes:

[6] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|                                   |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b> | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 148 |
|-----------------------------------|---|

**Statistical analysis description:**

The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 148 is presented.

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Comparison groups                       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 183                         |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified               |
| Analysis type                           | superiority                 |
| P-value                                 | = 0.0035 <sup>[7]</sup>     |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic        |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference        |
| Point estimate                          | 15.3                        |
| Confidence interval                     |                             |
| level                                   | 95 %                        |
| sides                                   | 2-sided                     |
| lower limit                             | 7.6                         |
| upper limit                             | 22.9                        |

Notes:

[7] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|                                   |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b> | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 183 |
|-----------------------------------|---|

**Statistical analysis description:**

The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 183 is presented.

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Comparison groups                       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 183                         |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified               |
| Analysis type                           | superiority                 |
| P-value                                 | = 0.0441 <sup>[8]</sup>     |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic        |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference        |
| Point estimate                          | 4.1                         |

|                     |         |
|---------------------|---------|
| Confidence interval |         |
| level               | 95 %    |
| sides               | 2-sided |
| lower limit         | -0.5    |
| upper limit         | 8.7     |

Notes:

[8] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

---

**Secondary: The percentage of responders on Day 29 who remained responders on Days 57, 85, 113, 148 and 183 as measured by the ILA at maximum frown.**

---

|                 |  |
|-----------------|--|
| End point title | The percentage of responders on Day 29 who remained responders on Days 57, 85, 113, 148 and 183 as measured by the ILA at maximum frown. |
|-----------------|--|

End point description:

The ILA was used to assess the appearance of glabellar lines at maximum frown and consists of a validated 4-point photographic scale: Grade 0 - none; Grade 1 - mild; Grade 2 - moderate; Grade 3 - severe.

A responder at maximum frown was defined as having a severity grade of none (Grade 0) or mild (Grade 1) at maximum frown on a given visit and a severity grade of moderate (Grade 2) or severe (Grade 3) at Baseline (Day 1). Subjects who were not responders at Day 29 were excluded from the analysis.

The adjusted percentage of remaining responders in each treatment group following Day 29 is presented and was calculated using a multivariate logistic regression model with treatment group, centre and stratification factors (gender and baseline ILA score at maximum frown) as fixed effects.

The modified mITT population consisted of subjects who had received at least one injection and had a baseline and at least one post-baseline value for the ILA of glabellar lines at maximum frown.

|                |           |
|----------------|-----------|
| End point type | Secondary |
|----------------|-----------|

End point timeframe:

Days 57, 85, 113, 148 and 183 (End of Study).

---

| End point values                         | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U   | Placebo            |  |  |
|--|---------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type                       | Reporting group     | Reporting group    |  |  |
| Number of subjects analysed              | 112                 | 2                  |  |  |
| Units: Adjusted percentage of responders |                     |                    |  |  |
| number (confidence interval 95%)         |                     |                    |  |  |
| Day 57 (n=112 BTX-A-HAC; n=2 Placebo)    | 87.3 (72.4 to 94.8) | 47.0 (2.8 to 96.5) |  |  |
| Day 85 (n=109 BTX-A-HAC; n=2 Placebo)    | 61.1 (42.5 to 77.0) | 4.9 (0.1 to 73.4)  |  |  |
| Day 113 (n=111 BTX-A-HAC; n=2 Placebo)   | 39.6 (23.9 to 57.9) | 24.0 (0.7 to 93.5) |  |  |
| Day 148 (n=109 BTX-A-HAC; n=2 Placebo)   | 20.1 (9.0 to 39.0)  | 32.1 (1.8 to 92.6) |  |  |
| Day 183 (n=110 BTX-A-HAC; n=2 Placebo)   | 5.3 (0.9 to 26.6)   | 8.0 (0.2 to 76.9)  |  |  |

## Statistical analyses

|                            |  |
|----------------------------|--|
| Statistical analysis title | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 57 |
|----------------------------|--|

---

**Statistical analysis description:**

The difference in the adjusted percentage of remaining responders between the treatment groups at Day 57 is presented.

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Comparison groups                       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 114                         |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified               |
| Analysis type                           | superiority                 |
| P-value                                 | = 0.2422 <sup>[9]</sup>     |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic        |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference        |
| Point estimate                          | 40.3                        |
| Confidence interval                     |                             |
| level                                   | 95 %                        |
| sides                                   | 2-sided                     |
| lower limit                             | -29.1                       |
| upper limit                             | 100                         |

Notes:

[9] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

---

|                                   |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b> | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 85 |
|-----------------------------------|--|

---

**Statistical analysis description:**

The difference in the adjusted percentage of remaining responders between the treatment groups at Day 85 is presented.

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Comparison groups                       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 114                         |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified               |
| Analysis type                           | superiority                 |
| P-value                                 | = 0.0917 <sup>[10]</sup>    |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic        |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference        |
| Point estimate                          | 56.2                        |
| Confidence interval                     |                             |
| level                                   | 95 %                        |
| sides                                   | 2-sided                     |
| lower limit                             | 24.8                        |
| upper limit                             | 87.5                        |

Notes:

[10] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

---

|                                   |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b> | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 113 |
|-----------------------------------|---|

---

**Statistical analysis description:**

The difference in the adjusted percentage of remaining responders between the treatment groups at Day 113 is presented.

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Comparison groups                       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 114                         |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified               |
| Analysis type                           | superiority                 |
| P-value                                 | = 0.7064 <sup>[11]</sup>    |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic        |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference        |
| Point estimate                          | 15.6                        |

|                     |         |
|---------------------|---------|
| Confidence interval |         |
| level               | 95 %    |
| sides               | 2-sided |
| lower limit         | -44.3   |
| upper limit         | 75.5    |

Notes:

[11] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|                                   |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b> | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 148 |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

The difference in the adjusted percentage of remaining responders between the treatment groups at Day 148 is presented.

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Comparison groups                       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 114                         |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified               |
| Analysis type                           | superiority                 |
| P-value                                 | = 0.701 <sup>[12]</sup>     |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic        |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference        |
| Point estimate                          | -11.9                       |

Confidence interval

|             |         |
|-------------|---------|
| level       | 95 %    |
| sides       | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -77.1   |
| upper limit | 53.2    |

Notes:

[12] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|                                   |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b> | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 183 |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

The difference in the adjusted percentage of remaining responders between the treatment groups at Day 183 is presented.

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Comparison groups                       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 114                         |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified               |
| Analysis type                           | superiority                 |
| P-value                                 | = 0.7894 <sup>[13]</sup>    |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic        |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference        |
| Point estimate                          | -2.7                        |

Confidence interval

|             |         |
|-------------|---------|
| level       | 95 %    |
| sides       | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -40.5   |
| upper limit | 35.2    |

Notes:

[13] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

## Secondary: The percentage of responders at each post-treatment visit to the study centre as measured by the ILA at rest.

|                 |  |
|-----------------|--|
| End point title | The percentage of responders at each post-treatment visit to |
|-----------------|--|

**End point description:**

The ILA was used to assess the appearance of glabellar lines at rest and consists of a validated 4-point photographic scale: Grade 0 - none; Grade 1 - mild; Grade 2 - moderate; Grade 3 - severe.

A responder at rest was defined as having a severity grade of none (Grade 0) or mild (Grade 1) at rest at a given visit and a severity grade of moderate (Grade 2) or severe (Grade 3) at Baseline (Day 1).

The adjusted percentage of responders in each treatment group is presented for each post-treatment visit and was calculated using a multivariate logistic regression model with treatment group, centre and stratification factors (gender and baseline ILA score at maximum frown) as fixed effects. Day 148 results (BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U) were not calculable due to quasi-complete separation of data point.

The mITT population consisted of subjects who had received at least one injection and had a baseline and at least one post-baseline value for the ILA of glabellar lines at maximum frown.

|                |           |
|----------------|-----------|
| End point type | Secondary |
|----------------|-----------|

**End point timeframe:**

Days 1 (Baseline), 8, 15, 29, 57, 85, 113, 148 and 183 (End of Study).

| <b>End point values</b>                  | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U               | Placebo                         |  |  |
|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type                       | Reporting group                 | Reporting group                 |  |  |
| Number of subjects analysed              | 53 <sup>[14]</sup>              | 59 <sup>[15]</sup>              |  |  |
| Units: Adjusted percentage of responders |                                 |                                 |  |  |
| number (confidence interval 95%)         |                                 |                                 |  |  |
| Day 8 (n=53 BTX-A-HAC; n=31 Placebo)     | 73.2 (38.4 to 92.3)             | 2.0 (0.2 to 15.8)               |  |  |
| Day 15 (n=53 BTX-A-HAC; n=32 Placebo)    | 74.5 (37.7 to 93.3)             | 1.3 (0.1 to 12.7)               |  |  |
| Day 29 (n=53 BTX-A-HAC; n=32 Placebo)    | 81.1 (46.5 to 95.5)             | 1.5 (0.1 to 14.4)               |  |  |
| Day 57 (n=53 BTX-A-HAC; n=32 Placebo)    | 77.2 (41.7 to 94.1)             | 0.5 (0.0 to 10.6)               |  |  |
| Day 85 (n=53 BTX-A-HAC; n=32 Placebo)    | 58.5 (24.0 to 86.2)             | 0.5 (0.0 to 8.0)                |  |  |
| Day 113 (n=53 BTX-A-HAC; n=31 Placebo)   | 74.7 (43.5 to 91.8)             | 4.8 (0.8 to 24.6)               |  |  |
| Day 148 (n=51 BTX-A-HAC; n=29 Placebo)   | 99999999 (99999999 to 99999999) | 99999999 (99999999 to 99999999) |  |  |
| Day 183 (n=53 BTX-A-HAC; n=29 Placebo)   | 56.0 (26.4 to 81.9)             | 10.4 (2.2 to 37.9)              |  |  |

Notes:

[14] - Not calculable denoted 99999999.

[15] - Not calculable denoted 99999999.

**Statistical analyses**

|                                   |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b> | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 8 |
|-----------------------------------|---|

**Statistical analysis description:**

The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 8 is presented.

|                   |                             |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Comparison groups | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|

|   |                          |
|---|--------------------------|
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 112                      |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified            |
| Analysis type                           | superiority              |
| P-value                                 | < 0.0001 <sup>[16]</sup> |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic     |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference     |
| Point estimate                          | 71.2                     |
| Confidence interval                     |                          |
| level                                   | 95 %                     |
| sides                                   | 2-sided                  |
| lower limit                             | 58.2                     |
| upper limit                             | 84.1                     |

Notes:

[16] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b>  | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 15 |
| Statistical analysis description:  |  |
| The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 15 is presented. |  |
| Comparison groups  | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo                |
| Number of subjects included in analysis  | 112  |
| Analysis specification   | Pre-specified                              |
| Analysis type  | superiority                                |
| P-value  | < 0.0001 <sup>[17]</sup>                   |
| Method   | Regression, Logistic                       |
| Parameter estimate   | Treatment difference                       |
| Point estimate   | 73.2                                       |
| Confidence interval  |  |
| level  | 95 %                                       |
| sides  | 2-sided                                    |
| lower limit  | 60.9                                       |
| upper limit  | 85.6                                       |

Notes:

[17] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b>  | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 29 |
| Statistical analysis description:  |  |
| The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 29 is presented. |  |
| Comparison groups  | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo                |
| Number of subjects included in analysis  | 112  |
| Analysis specification   | Pre-specified                              |
| Analysis type  | superiority                                |
| P-value  | < 0.0001 <sup>[18]</sup>                   |
| Method   | Regression, Logistic                       |
| Parameter estimate   | Treatment difference                       |
| Point estimate   | 79.6                                       |

|                     |         |
|---------------------|---------|
| Confidence interval |         |
| level               | 95 %    |
| sides               | 2-sided |
| lower limit         | 68.2    |
| upper limit         | 90.9    |

Notes:

[18] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|                                   |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b> | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 57 |
|-----------------------------------|--|

Statistical analysis description:

The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 57 is presented.

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Comparison groups                       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 112                         |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified               |
| Analysis type                           | superiority                 |
| P-value                                 | < 0.0001 <sup>[19]</sup>    |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic        |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference        |
| Point estimate                          | 76.7                        |

Confidence interval

|             |         |
|-------------|---------|
| level       | 95 %    |
| sides       | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 65.1    |
| upper limit | 88.2    |

Notes:

[19] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|                                   |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b> | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 85 |
|-----------------------------------|--|

Statistical analysis description:

The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 85 is presented.

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Comparison groups                       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 112                         |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified               |
| Analysis type                           | superiority                 |
| P-value                                 | < 0.0001 <sup>[20]</sup>    |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic        |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference        |
| Point estimate                          | 58                          |

Confidence interval

|             |         |
|-------------|---------|
| level       | 95 %    |
| sides       | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 44.5    |
| upper limit | 71.5    |

Notes:

[20] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|                                   |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b> | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 113 |
|-----------------------------------|---|



---

**Statistical analysis description:**

The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 113 is presented.

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Comparison groups                       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 112                         |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified               |
| Analysis type                           | superiority                 |
| P-value                                 | < 0.0001 <sup>[21]</sup>    |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic        |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference        |
| Point estimate                          | 69.9                        |
| Confidence interval                     |                             |
| level                                   | 95 %                        |
| sides                                   | 2-sided                     |
| lower limit                             | 56                          |
| upper limit                             | 83.8                        |

Notes:

[21] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

---

|                                   |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b> | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 183 |
|-----------------------------------|---|

---

**Statistical analysis description:**

The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 183 is presented.

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Comparison groups                       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 112                         |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified               |
| Analysis type                           | superiority                 |
| P-value                                 | = 0.0015 <sup>[22]</sup>    |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic        |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference        |
| Point estimate                          | 45.6                        |
| Confidence interval                     |                             |
| level                                   | 95 %                        |
| sides                                   | 2-sided                     |
| lower limit                             | 28.3                        |
| upper limit                             | 63                          |

Notes:

[22] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

---

**Secondary: The percentage of subjects with a reduction of two or more grades in the severity of glabellar lines at each post-treatment visit to the study centre as measured by the ILA at maximum frown**

---

|                 |   |
|-----------------|---|
| End point title | The percentage of subjects with a reduction of two or more grades in the severity of glabellar lines at each post-treatment visit to the study centre as measured by the ILA at maximum frown |
|-----------------|---|

---

**End point description:**

The ILA was used to assess the appearance of glabellar lines at maximum frown and consists of a validated 4-point photographic scale: Grade 0 - none; Grade 1 - mild; Grade 2 - moderate; Grade 3 - severe.

Adjusted percentages of subjects with a reduction of two or more grades in the severity of glabellar lines at each post-treatment visit compared with Baseline are presented, and was calculated using a multivariate logistic regression model with treatment group, centre and stratification factors (gender and

baseline ILA score at maximum frown) as fixed effects.

The mITT population consisted of subjects who had received at least one injection and had a baseline and at least one post-baseline value for the ILA of glabellar lines at maximum frown

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| End point type   | Secondary |
| End point timeframe:   |           |
| Days 1 (Baseline), 8, 15, 29, 57, 85, 113, 148 and 183 (End of Study). |           |

| End point values                        | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U   | Placebo           |  |  |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type                      | Reporting group     | Reporting group   |  |  |
| Number of subjects analysed             | 124                 | 59                |  |  |
| Units: Adjusted percentage of subjects  |                     |                   |  |  |
| number (confidence interval 95%)        |                     |                   |  |  |
| Day 8 (n=124 BTX-A-HAC; n=57 Placebo)   | 56.2 (37.1 to 73.6) | 0.2 (0.0 to 3.0)  |  |  |
| Day 15 (n=124 BTX-A-HAC; n=59 Placebo)  | 69.7 (52.0 to 83.0) | 0.2 (0.0 to 3.1)  |  |  |
| Day 29 (n=124 BTX-A-HAC; n=58 Placebo)  | 63.6 (46.4 to 77.9) | 0.1 (0.0 to 1.8)  |  |  |
| Day 57 (n=124 BTX-A-HAC; n=58 Placebo)  | 48.0 (31.6 to 64.9) | 0.1 (0.0 to 2.6)  |  |  |
| Day 85 (n=121 BTX-A-HAC; n=57 Placebo)  | 24.3 (13.4 to 39.9) | 0.3 (0.0 to 4.8)  |  |  |
| Day 113 (n=123 BTX-A-HAC; n=56 Placebo) | 10.1 (4.3 to 21.7)  | 0.3 (0.0 to 4.4)  |  |  |
| Day 148 (n=121 BTX-A-HAC; n=51 Placebo) | 3.5 (0.8 to 13.5)   | 0.3 (0.0 to 5.4)  |  |  |
| Day 183 (n=122 BTX-A-HAC; n=51 Placebo) | 3.4 (0.8 to 12.6)   | 1.3 (0.1 to 12.0) |  |  |

## Statistical analyses

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b>   | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 8 |
| Statistical analysis description:   |   |
| The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 8 is presented. |   |
| Comparison groups   | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo               |
| Number of subjects included in analysis   | 183                                       |
| Analysis specification  | Pre-specified                             |
| Analysis type   | superiority                               |
| P-value   | < 0.0001 <sup>[23]</sup>                  |
| Method  | Regression, Logistic                      |
| Parameter estimate  | Treatment difference                      |
| Point estimate  | 56  |
| Confidence interval   |   |
| level   | 95 %                                      |
| sides   | 2-sided                                   |
| lower limit   | 47.2                                      |
| upper limit   | 64.8                                      |

Notes:

[23] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b>   | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 15 |
| Statistical analysis description:<br>The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 15 is presented. |  |
| Comparison groups   | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo                |
| Number of subjects included in analysis   | 183  |
| Analysis specification  | Pre-specified                              |
| Analysis type   | superiority                                |
| P-value   | < 0.0001 <sup>[24]</sup>                   |
| Method  | Regression, Logistic                       |
| Parameter estimate  | Treatment difference                       |
| Point estimate  | 69.5                                       |
| Confidence interval   |  |
| level   | 95 %                                       |
| sides   | 2-sided                                    |
| lower limit   | 61.3                                       |
| upper limit   | 77.7                                       |

Notes:

[24] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b>   | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 29 |
| Statistical analysis description:<br>The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 29 is presented. |  |
| Comparison groups   | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo                |
| Number of subjects included in analysis   | 183  |
| Analysis specification  | Pre-specified                              |
| Analysis type   | superiority                                |
| P-value   | < 0.0001 <sup>[25]</sup>                   |
| Method  | Regression, Logistic                       |
| Parameter estimate  | Treatment difference                       |
| Point estimate  | 63.5                                       |
| Confidence interval   |  |
| level   | 95 %                                       |
| sides   | 2-sided                                    |
| lower limit   | 55   |
| upper limit   | 72   |

Notes:

[25] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b>   | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 57 |
| Statistical analysis description:<br>The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 57 is presented. |  |
| Comparison groups   | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo                |

|   |                          |
|---|--------------------------|
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 183                      |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified            |
| Analysis type                           | superiority              |
| P-value                                 | < 0.0001 <sup>[26]</sup> |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic     |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference     |
| Point estimate                          | 47.9                     |
| Confidence interval                     |                          |
| level                                   | 95 %                     |
| sides                                   | 2-sided                  |
| lower limit                             | 39.1                     |
| upper limit                             | 56.7                     |

Notes:

[26] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b>  | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 85 |
| Statistical analysis description:  |  |
| The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 85 is presented. |  |
| Comparison groups  | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo                |
| Number of subjects included in analysis  | 183  |
| Analysis specification   | Pre-specified                              |
| Analysis type  | superiority                                |
| P-value  | = 0.0008 <sup>[27]</sup>                   |
| Method   | Regression, Logistic                       |
| Parameter estimate   | Treatment difference                       |
| Point estimate   | 24   |
| Confidence interval  |  |
| level  | 95 %                                       |
| sides  | 2-sided                                    |
| lower limit  | 16.2                                       |
| upper limit  | 31.7                                       |

Notes:

[27] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b>   | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 113 |
| Statistical analysis description:   |   |
| The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 113 is presented. |   |
| Comparison groups   | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo                 |
| Number of subjects included in analysis   | 183   |
| Analysis specification  | Pre-specified                               |
| Analysis type   | superiority                                 |
| P-value   | = 0.0065 <sup>[28]</sup>                    |
| Method  | Regression, Logistic                        |
| Parameter estimate  | Treatment difference                        |
| Point estimate  | 9.8   |

|                     |         |
|---------------------|---------|
| Confidence interval |         |
| level               | 95 %    |
| sides               | 2-sided |
| lower limit         | 4.3     |
| upper limit         | 15.3    |

Notes:

[28] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|                                   |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b> | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 148 |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 148 is presented.

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Comparison groups                       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 183                         |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified               |
| Analysis type                           | superiority                 |
| P-value                                 | = 0.0643 <sup>[29]</sup>    |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic        |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference        |
| Point estimate                          | 3.1                         |

Confidence interval

|             |         |
|-------------|---------|
| level       | 95 %    |
| sides       | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -0.5    |
| upper limit | 6.8     |

Notes:

[29] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|                                   |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b> | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 183 |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 183 is presented.

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Comparison groups                       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 183                         |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified               |
| Analysis type                           | superiority                 |
| P-value                                 | = 0.367 <sup>[30]</sup>     |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic        |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference        |
| Point estimate                          | 2.1                         |

Confidence interval

|             |         |
|-------------|---------|
| level       | 95 %    |
| sides       | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -2.4    |
| upper limit | 6.5     |

Notes:

[30] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

## Secondary: The percentage of responders at each post-treatment visit as measured by the subject's self-assessment (SSA) at maximum frown

|                 |  |
|-----------------|--|
| End point title | The percentage of responders at each post-treatment visit as |
|-----------------|--|

**End point description:**

The SSA was used to assess the appearance of glabellar lines at maximum frown and consists of a validated 4-point categorical scale: Grade 0 - no wrinkles; Grade 1 - mild wrinkles; Grade 2 - moderate wrinkles; Grade 3 - severe wrinkles.

A responder at maximum frown was defined as having a severity grade of no wrinkles (Grade 0) or mild wrinkles (Grade 1) at maximum frown at a given visit and a severity grade of moderate wrinkles (Grade 2) or severe wrinkles (Grade 3) at Baseline (Day 1, pretreatment).

The adjusted percentage of responders in each treatment group is presented for each post-treatment visit and was calculated using a multivariate logistic regression model with treatment group, centre and stratification factors (gender and baseline ILA score at maximum frown) as fixed effects.

The mITT population consisted of subjects who had received at least one injection and had a baseline and at least one post-baseline value for the ILA of glabellar lines at maximum frown.

|                |           |
|----------------|-----------|
| End point type | Secondary |
|----------------|-----------|

**End point timeframe:**

Days 1 (Baseline), 8, 15, 29, 57, 85, 113, 148 and 183 (End of Study).

| End point values                         | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U   | Placebo            |  |  |
|--|---------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type                       | Reporting group     | Reporting group    |  |  |
| Number of subjects analysed              | 124                 | 59                 |  |  |
| Units: Adjusted percentage of responders |                     |                    |  |  |
| number (confidence interval 95%)         |                     |                    |  |  |
| Day 8 (n=124 BTX-A-HAC; n=57 Placebo)    | 62.0 (47.2 to 74.9) | 5.1 (1.6 to 14.8)  |  |  |
| Day 15 (n=124 BTX-A-HAC; n=59 Placebo)   | 75.6 (61.8 to 85.6) | 4.4 (1.3 to 13.7)  |  |  |
| Day 29 (n=124 BTX-A-HAC; n=58 Placebo)   | 76.0 (62.2 to 85.9) | 5.2 (1.7 to 15.0)  |  |  |
| Day 57 (n=124 BTX-A-HAC; n=58 Placebo)   | 67.5 (52.4 to 79.6) | 2.2 (0.6 to 8.3)   |  |  |
| Day 85 (n=121 BTX-A-HAC; n=57 Placebo)   | 61.8 (46.1 to 75.3) | 3.9 (1.1 to 12.6)  |  |  |
| Day 113 (n=123 BTX-A-HAC; n=56 Placebo)  | 46.2 (32.8 to 60.2) | 9.8 (3.9 to 22.5)  |  |  |
| Day 148 (n=121 BTX-A-HAC; n=51 Placebo)  | 44.1 (31.2 to 57.7) | 13.1 (5.3 to 28.9) |  |  |
| Day 183 (n=122 BTX-A-HAC; n=51 Placebo)  | 27.0 (16.4 to 41.1) | 6.0 (1.8 to 17.8)  |  |  |

## Statistical analyses

|                                   |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b> | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 8 |
|-----------------------------------|---|

**Statistical analysis description:**

The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 8 is presented.

|                   |                             |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Comparison groups | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|

|   |                          |
|---|--------------------------|
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 183                      |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified            |
| Analysis type                           | superiority              |
| P-value                                 | < 0.0001 <sup>[31]</sup> |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic     |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference     |
| Point estimate                          | 56.9                     |
| Confidence interval                     |                          |
| level                                   | 95 %                     |
| sides                                   | 2-sided                  |
| lower limit                             | 46.6                     |
| upper limit                             | 67.2                     |

Notes:

[31] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b>  | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 15 |
| Statistical analysis description:  |  |
| The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 15 is presented. |  |
| Comparison groups  | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo                |
| Number of subjects included in analysis  | 183  |
| Analysis specification   | Pre-specified                              |
| Analysis type  | superiority                                |
| P-value  | < 0.0001 <sup>[32]</sup>                   |
| Method   | Regression, Logistic                       |
| Parameter estimate   | Treatment difference                       |
| Point estimate   | 71.2                                       |
| Confidence interval  |  |
| level  | 95 %                                       |
| sides  | 2-sided                                    |
| lower limit  | 62   |
| upper limit  | 80.4                                       |

Notes:

[32] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b>  | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 29 |
| Statistical analysis description:  |  |
| The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 29 is presented. |  |
| Comparison groups  | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo                |
| Number of subjects included in analysis  | 183  |
| Analysis specification   | Pre-specified                              |
| Analysis type  | superiority                                |
| P-value  | < 0.0001 <sup>[33]</sup>                   |
| Method   | Regression, Logistic                       |
| Parameter estimate   | Treatment difference                       |
| Point estimate   | 70.8                                       |

|                     |         |
|---------------------|---------|
| Confidence interval |         |
| level               | 95 %    |
| sides               | 2-sided |
| lower limit         | 61.4    |
| upper limit         | 80.2    |

Notes:

[33] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|                                   |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b> | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 57 |
|-----------------------------------|--|

Statistical analysis description:

The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 57 is presented.

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Comparison groups                       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 183                         |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified               |
| Analysis type                           | superiority                 |
| P-value                                 | < 0.0001 <sup>[34]</sup>    |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic        |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference        |
| Point estimate                          | 65.3                        |
| Confidence interval                     |                             |
| level                                   | 95 %                        |
| sides                                   | 2-sided                     |
| lower limit                             | 56.2                        |
| upper limit                             | 74.3                        |

Notes:

[34] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|                                   |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b> | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 85 |
|-----------------------------------|--|

Statistical analysis description:

The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 85 is presented.

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Comparison groups                       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 183                         |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified               |
| Analysis type                           | superiority                 |
| P-value                                 | < 0.0001 <sup>[35]</sup>    |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic        |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference        |
| Point estimate                          | 57.9                        |
| Confidence interval                     |                             |
| level                                   | 95 %                        |
| sides                                   | 2-sided                     |
| lower limit                             | 47.9                        |
| upper limit                             | 67.9                        |

Notes:

[35] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|                                   |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b> | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 113 |
|-----------------------------------|---|



**Statistical analysis description:**

The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 113 is presented.

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Comparison groups                       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 183                         |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified               |
| Analysis type                           | superiority                 |
| P-value                                 | < 0.0001 <sup>[36]</sup>    |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic        |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference        |
| Point estimate                          | 36.4                        |
| Confidence interval                     |                             |
| level                                   | 95 %                        |
| sides                                   | 2-sided                     |
| lower limit                             | 24.7                        |
| upper limit                             | 48.2                        |

Notes:

[36] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|                                   |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b> | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 148 |
|-----------------------------------|---|

**Statistical analysis description:**

The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 148 is presented.

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Comparison groups                       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 183                         |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified               |
| Analysis type                           | superiority                 |
| P-value                                 | = 0.0011 <sup>[37]</sup>    |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic        |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference        |
| Point estimate                          | 30.9                        |
| Confidence interval                     |                             |
| level                                   | 95 %                        |
| sides                                   | 2-sided                     |
| lower limit                             | 18.1                        |
| upper limit                             | 43.7                        |

Notes:

[37] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|                                   |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b> | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 183 |
|-----------------------------------|---|

**Statistical analysis description:**

The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 183 is presented.

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Comparison groups                       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 183                         |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified               |
| Analysis type                           | superiority                 |
| P-value                                 | = 0.0036 <sup>[38]</sup>    |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic        |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference        |
| Point estimate                          | 21                          |

|                     |         |
|---------------------|---------|
| Confidence interval |         |
| level               | 95 %    |
| sides               | 2-sided |
| lower limit         | 10.7    |
| upper limit         | 31.2    |

Notes:

[38] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

### Secondary: The percentage of responders at each post-treatment visit as measured by the subject's level of satisfaction with the appearance of their glabellar lines

|                 |   |
|-----------------|---|
| End point title | The percentage of responders at each post-treatment visit as measured by the subject's level of satisfaction with the appearance of their glabellar lines |
|-----------------|---|

End point description:

The subject's level of satisfaction with the appearance of their glabellar lines was assessed with a validated 4-point categorical scale: Grade 0 - very satisfied; Grade 1 - satisfied; Grade 2 - dissatisfied; Grade 3 - very dissatisfied.

A responder was defined as having a satisfaction rating of very satisfied (Grade 0) or satisfied (Grade 1) at a given visit and a satisfaction rating of dissatisfied (Grade 2) or very dissatisfied (Grade 3) at Baseline (Day 1, pretreatment).

The adjusted percentage of responders in each treatment group is presented for each post-treatment visit and was calculated using a multivariate logistic regression model with treatment group, centre and stratification factors (gender and baseline ILA score at maximum frown) as fixed effects.

The mITT population consisted of subjects who had received at least one injection and had a baseline and at least one post-baseline value for the ILA of glabellar lines at maximum frown.

|                |           |
|----------------|-----------|
| End point type | Secondary |
|----------------|-----------|

End point timeframe:

Day 8, 15, 29, 57, 85, 113, 148 and 183

| End point values                         | BTX-A-HAC NG<br>50 U | Placebo            |  |  |
|--|----------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type                       | Reporting group      | Reporting group    |  |  |
| Number of subjects analysed              | 124                  | 59                 |  |  |
| Units: Adjusted percentage of responders |                      |                    |  |  |
| number (confidence interval 95%)         |                      |                    |  |  |
| Day 8 (n=124 BTX-A-HAC; n=57 Placebo)    | 72.7 (58.9 to 83.2)  | 4.9 (1.6 to 14.5)  |  |  |
| Day 15 (n=124 BTX-A-HAC; n=59 Placebo)   | 80.6 (68.4 to 88.9)  | 7.9 (3.1 to 19.1)  |  |  |
| Day 29 (n=124 BTX-A-HAC; n=58 Placebo)   | 80.9 (68.7 to 89.1)  | 8.3 (3.1 to 20.2)  |  |  |
| Day 57 (n=124 BTX-A-HAC; n=58 Placebo)   | 74.7 (61.2 to 84.6)  | 5.9 (2.1 to 15.3)  |  |  |
| Day 85 (n=121 BTX-A-HAC; n=57 Placebo)   | 70.9 (56.1 to 82.3)  | 7.3 (2.7 to 18.7)  |  |  |
| Day 113 (n=123 BTX-A-HAC; n=56 Placebo)  | 61.9 (48.3 to 73.8)  | 9.8 (4.0 to 22.2)  |  |  |
| Day 148 (n=121 BTX-A-HAC; n=51 Placebo)  | 58.9 (45.4 to 71.3)  | 12.3 (5.0 to 27.3) |  |  |
| Day 183 (n=122 BTX-A-HAC; n=51 Placebo)  | 49.8 (36.3 to 63.3)  | 11.3 (4.5 to 25.6) |  |  |

## Statistical analyses

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b>  | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 8 |
| Statistical analysis description:<br>The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 8 is presented. |   |
| Comparison groups  | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo               |
| Number of subjects included in analysis  | 183                                       |
| Analysis specification   | Pre-specified                             |
| Analysis type  | superiority                               |
| P-value  | < 0.0001 <sup>[39]</sup>                  |
| Method   | Regression, Logistic                      |
| Parameter estimate   | Treatment difference                      |
| Point estimate   | 67.8                                      |
| Confidence interval  |   |
| level  | 95 %                                      |
| sides  | 2-sided                                   |
| lower limit  | 58.1                                      |
| upper limit  | 77.4                                      |

Notes:

[39] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b>   | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 15 |
| Statistical analysis description:<br>The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 15 is presented. |  |
| Comparison groups   | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo                |
| Number of subjects included in analysis   | 183  |
| Analysis specification  | Pre-specified                              |
| Analysis type   | superiority                                |
| P-value   | < 0.0001 <sup>[40]</sup>                   |
| Method  | Regression, Logistic                       |
| Parameter estimate  | Treatment difference                       |
| Point estimate  | 72.7                                       |
| Confidence interval   |  |
| level   | 95 %                                       |
| sides   | 2-sided                                    |
| lower limit   | 62.9                                       |
| upper limit   | 82.5                                       |

Notes:

[40] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|                                   |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b> | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 29 |
|-----------------------------------|--|

Statistical analysis description:

The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 29 is

presented.

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Comparison groups                       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 183                         |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified               |
| Analysis type                           | superiority                 |
| P-value                                 | < 0.0001 <sup>[41]</sup>    |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic        |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference        |
| Point estimate                          | 72.6                        |
| Confidence interval                     |                             |
| level                                   | 95 %                        |
| sides                                   | 2-sided                     |
| lower limit                             | 62.7                        |
| upper limit                             | 82.5                        |

Notes:

[41] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b>  | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 57 |
| Statistical analysis description:  |  |
| The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 57 is presented. |  |
| Comparison groups  | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo                |
| Number of subjects included in analysis  | 183  |
| Analysis specification   | Pre-specified                              |
| Analysis type  | superiority                                |
| P-value  | < 0.0001 <sup>[42]</sup>                   |
| Method   | Regression, Logistic                       |
| Parameter estimate   | Treatment difference                       |
| Point estimate   | 68.8                                       |
| Confidence interval  |  |
| level  | 95 %                                       |
| sides  | 2-sided                                    |
| lower limit  | 59   |
| upper limit  | 78.6                                       |

Notes:

[42] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b>  | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 85 |
| Statistical analysis description:  |  |
| The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 85 is presented. |  |
| Comparison groups  | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo                |
| Number of subjects included in analysis  | 183  |
| Analysis specification   | Pre-specified                              |
| Analysis type  | superiority                                |
| P-value  | < 0.0001 <sup>[43]</sup>                   |
| Method   | Regression, Logistic                       |
| Parameter estimate   | Treatment difference                       |
| Point estimate   | 63.6                                       |

|                     |         |
|---------------------|---------|
| Confidence interval |         |
| level               | 95 %    |
| sides               | 2-sided |
| lower limit         | 53      |
| upper limit         | 74.1    |

Notes:

[43] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|                                   |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b> | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 113 |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 113 is presented.

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Comparison groups                       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 183                         |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified               |
| Analysis type                           | superiority                 |
| P-value                                 | < 0.0001 <sup>[44]</sup>    |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic        |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference        |
| Point estimate                          | 52                          |

Confidence interval

|             |         |
|-------------|---------|
| level       | 95 %    |
| sides       | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 40.4    |
| upper limit | 63.6    |

Notes:

[44] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|                                   |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b> | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 148 |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 148 is presented.

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Comparison groups                       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 183                         |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified               |
| Analysis type                           | superiority                 |
| P-value                                 | < 0.0001 <sup>[45]</sup>    |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic        |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference        |
| Point estimate                          | 46.6                        |

Confidence interval

|             |         |
|-------------|---------|
| level       | 95 %    |
| sides       | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 34.1    |
| upper limit | 59.2    |

Notes:

[45] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

|                                   |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b> | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo at Day 183 |
|-----------------------------------|---|

**Statistical analysis description:**

The difference in the adjusted percentage of responders between the treatment groups at Day 183 is presented.

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Comparison groups                       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 183                         |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified               |
| Analysis type                           | superiority                 |
| P-value                                 | < 0.0001 <sup>[46]</sup>    |
| Method                                  | Regression, Logistic        |
| Parameter estimate                      | Treatment difference        |
| Point estimate                          | 38.5                        |
| Confidence interval                     |                             |
| level                                   | 95 %                        |
| sides                                   | 2-sided                     |
| lower limit                             | 26                          |
| upper limit                             | 50.9                        |

Notes:

[46] - Statistical tests were two-sided with a type I error rate at 5%.

---

**Secondary: The time to onset of treatment response based on the subject's diary card.**

|                 |  |
|-----------------|--|
| End point title | The time to onset of treatment response based on the subject's diary card. |
|-----------------|--|

**End point description:**

The median time to onset of treatment response is presented and was based on the subject's diary card in which subjects were asked to record their assessment of study treatment response on Days 1 to 7. They responded 'yes' or 'no' to the following question: 'Since being injected have you noticed an improvement in the appearance of your glabellar lines (lines between your eyebrows)?'. The onset of response was defined as the first day the subject responded 'yes'.

The median time to onset of treatment response was not calculable for the placebo treatment group due to the small number of subjects who answered 'yes'.

The mITT population consisted of subjects who had received at least one injection and had a baseline and at least one post-baseline value for the ILA of glabellar lines at maximum frown.

|                |           |
|----------------|-----------|
| End point type | Secondary |
|----------------|-----------|

End point timeframe:

Day 1 to 7

| End point values                 | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U | Placebo                            |  |  |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type               | Reporting group   | Reporting group                    |  |  |
| Number of subjects analysed      | 125               | 58 <sup>[47]</sup>                 |  |  |
| Units: Days                      |                   |                                    |  |  |
| median (confidence interval 95%) | 3.0 (2.0 to 3.0)  | 99999999<br>(99999999 to 99999999) |  |  |

Notes:

[47] - Not calculable denoted as 99999999.

**Statistical analyses**

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b>       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo- Log rank |
| Comparison groups                       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo                |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 183  |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified                              |
| Analysis type                           | superiority                                |
| P-value                                 | < 0.0001                                   |
| Method                                  | Logrank                                    |

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <b>Statistical analysis title</b>       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U versus Placebo - Cox analysis |
| Comparison groups                       | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U v Placebo                     |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 183   |
| Analysis specification                  | Pre-specified                                   |
| Analysis type                           | superiority                                     |
| P-value                                 | < 0.0001  |
| Method                                  | Cox proportional hazard model                   |

## Adverse events

### Adverse events information

Timeframe for reporting adverse events:

Treatment emergent adverse events were collected from Day 1 until end of study (Day 183)/early withdrawal (approximately 7 months).

Adverse event reporting additional description:

Adverse events were reported for the safety population which consisted of all randomised subjects who received at least one injection of study treatment into at least one injection site.

|                 |            |
|-----------------|------------|
| Assessment type | Systematic |
|-----------------|------------|

### Dictionary used

|                 |        |
|-----------------|--------|
| Dictionary name | MedDRA |
|-----------------|--------|

|                    |      |
|--------------------|------|
| Dictionary version | 18.1 |
|--------------------|------|

### Reporting groups

|                       |                   |
|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Reporting group title | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U |
|-----------------------|-------------------|

Reporting group description:

Subjects were randomised to receive Botulinum toxin type A haemagglutinin complex (BTX-A-HAC) next generation (NG). A single total dose of 50 U was injected on Day 1. The total treatment volume (0.25 millilitres [mL]) was divided into five injections (0.05 mL per injection) injected into five predefined sites across the glabellar region.

|                       |         |
|-----------------------|---------|
| Reporting group title | Placebo |
|-----------------------|---------|

Reporting group description:

Subjects were randomised to receive placebo. A single total dose of 50 U was injected on Day 1. The total treatment volume (0.25 mL) was divided into five injections (0.05 mL per injection) injected into five predefined sites across the glabellar region

| Serious adverse events                            | BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U | Placebo        |  |
|---|-------------------|----------------|--|
| Total subjects affected by serious adverse events |                   |                |  |
| subjects affected / exposed                       | 2 / 125 (1.60%)   | 1 / 59 (1.69%) |  |
| number of deaths (all causes)                     | 0                 | 0              |  |
| number of deaths resulting from adverse events    | 0                 | 0              |  |
| Eye disorders                                     |                   |                |  |
| Mydriasis   |                   |                |  |
| subjects affected / exposed                       | 1 / 125 (0.80%)   | 0 / 59 (0.00%) |  |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all   | 0 / 1             | 0 / 0          |  |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all        | 0 / 0             | 0 / 0          |  |
| Gastrointestinal disorders                        |                   |                |  |
| Apthous ulcer                                     |                   |                |  |
| subjects affected / exposed                       | 0 / 125 (0.00%)   | 1 / 59 (1.69%) |  |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all   | 0 / 0             | 0 / 1          |  |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all        | 0 / 0             | 0 / 0          |  |
| Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders   |                   |                |  |



|   |                 |                |  |
|---|-----------------|----------------|--|
| Foot deformity                                  |                 |                |  |
| subjects affected / exposed                     | 1 / 125 (0.80%) | 0 / 59 (0.00%) |  |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 1           | 0 / 0          |  |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all      | 0 / 0           | 0 / 0          |  |

Frequency threshold for reporting non-serious adverse events: 1 %

| <b>Non-serious adverse events</b>                     | <b>BTX-A-HAC NG 50 U</b> | <b>Placebo</b>   |  |
|---|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| Total subjects affected by non-serious adverse events |                          |                  |  |
| subjects affected / exposed                           | 41 / 125 (32.80%)        | 17 / 59 (28.81%) |  |
| Injury, poisoning and procedural complications        |                          |                  |  |
| Procedural pain                                       |                          |                  |  |
| subjects affected / exposed                           | 0 / 125 (0.00%)          | 1 / 59 (1.69%)   |  |
| occurrences (all)                                     | 0                        | 1                |  |
| Road traffic accident                                 |                          |                  |  |
| subjects affected / exposed                           | 0 / 125 (0.00%)          | 1 / 59 (1.69%)   |  |
| occurrences (all)                                     | 0                        | 1                |  |
| Spinal column injury                                  |                          |                  |  |
| subjects affected / exposed                           | 0 / 125 (0.00%)          | 1 / 59 (1.69%)   |  |
| occurrences (all)                                     | 0                        | 1                |  |
| Cardiac disorders                                     |                          |                  |  |
| Palpitations  |                          |                  |  |
| subjects affected / exposed                           | 0 / 125 (0.00%)          | 1 / 59 (1.69%)   |  |
| occurrences (all)                                     | 0                        | 1                |  |
| Nervous system disorders                              |                          |                  |  |
| Headache  |                          |                  |  |
| subjects affected / exposed                           | 18 / 125 (14.40%)        | 2 / 59 (3.39%)   |  |
| occurrences (all)                                     | 27                       | 2                |  |
| Balance disorder                                      |                          |                  |  |
| subjects affected / exposed                           | 0 / 125 (0.00%)          | 1 / 59 (1.69%)   |  |
| occurrences (all)                                     | 0                        | 1                |  |
| General disorders and administration site conditions  |                          |                  |  |
| Injection site pain                                   |                          |                  |  |
| subjects affected / exposed                           | 10 / 125 (8.00%)         | 3 / 59 (5.08%)   |  |
| occurrences (all)                                     | 10                       | 3                |  |
| Eye disorders   |                          |                  |  |

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| Eyelid oedema<br>subjects affected / exposed<br>occurrences (all)   | 2 / 125 (1.60%)<br>2   | 0 / 59 (0.00%)<br>0   |  |
| Blepharochalasis<br>subjects affected / exposed<br>occurrences (all)  | 2 / 125 (1.60%)<br>2   | 0 / 59 (0.00%)<br>0   |  |
| Reproductive system and breast disorders<br>Ovarian cyst<br>subjects affected / exposed<br>occurrences (all)  | 0 / 125 (0.00%)<br>0   | 1 / 59 (1.69%)<br>1   |  |
| Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders<br>Brow ptosis<br>subjects affected / exposed<br>occurrences (all)<br><br>Papule<br>subjects affected / exposed<br>occurrences (all)<br><br>Pruritis generalised<br>subjects affected / exposed<br>occurrences (all) | 3 / 125 (2.40%)<br>3<br><br>0 / 125 (0.00%)<br>0<br><br>0 / 125 (0.00%)<br>0 | 0 / 59 (0.00%)<br>0<br><br>1 / 59 (1.69%)<br>1<br><br>1 / 59 (1.69%)<br>1 |  |
| Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders<br>Tendon calcification<br>subjects affected / exposed<br>occurrences (all)<br><br>Tendonitis<br>subjects affected / exposed<br>occurrences (all)   | 0 / 125 (0.00%)<br>0<br><br>0 / 125 (0.00%)<br>0                             | 1 / 59 (1.69%)<br>1<br><br>1 / 59 (1.69%)<br>1                            |  |
| Infections and infestations<br>Nasopharyngitis<br>subjects affected / exposed<br>occurrences (all)<br><br>Influenza<br>subjects affected / exposed<br>occurrences (all)<br><br>Tonsillitis  | 10 / 125 (8.00%)<br>10<br><br>3 / 125 (2.40%)<br>4                           | 5 / 59 (8.47%)<br>6<br><br>3 / 59 (5.08%)<br>3                            |  |

|                             |                 |                |  |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|--|
| subjects affected / exposed | 3 / 125 (2.40%) | 0 / 59 (0.00%) |  |
| occurrences (all)           | 3               | 0              |  |
| Cystitis                    |                 |                |  |
| subjects affected / exposed | 2 / 125 (1.60%) | 0 / 59 (0.00%) |  |
| occurrences (all)           | 2               | 0              |  |
| Gastroenteritis             |                 |                |  |
| subjects affected / exposed | 2 / 125 (1.60%) | 0 / 59 (0.00%) |  |
| occurrences (all)           | 2               | 0              |  |
| Oral herpes                 |                 |                |  |
| subjects affected / exposed | 2 / 125 (1.60%) | 0 / 59 (0.00%) |  |
| occurrences (all)           | 2               | 0              |  |
| Bronchitis                  |                 |                |  |
| subjects affected / exposed | 1 / 125 (0.80%) | 1 / 59 (1.69%) |  |
| occurrences (all)           | 1               | 1              |  |
| Pharyngitis                 |                 |                |  |
| subjects affected / exposed | 1 / 125 (0.80%) | 1 / 59 (1.69%) |  |
| occurrences (all)           | 1               | 1              |  |
| Sinusitis                   |                 |                |  |
| subjects affected / exposed | 1 / 125 (0.80%) | 1 / 59 (1.69%) |  |
| occurrences (all)           | 1               | 1              |  |
| Subcutaneous abscess        |                 |                |  |
| subjects affected / exposed | 0 / 125 (0.00%) | 1 / 59 (1.69%) |  |
| occurrences (all)           | 0               | 1              |  |

## **More information**

### **Substantial protocol amendments (globally)**

Were there any global substantial amendments to the protocol? No

---

### **Interruptions (globally)**

Were there any global interruptions to the trial? No

### **Limitations and caveats**

None reported