

Clinical trial results:

A Phase 3 Confirmatory Study Investigating the Efficacy and Safety of Dupilumab Monotherapy Administered to Adult Patients With Moderate-to-Severe Atopic Dermatitis

Summary

EudraCT number	2014-002619-40
Trial protocol	DE LT IT GB SE PL FR
Global end of trial date	20 January 2016
Results information	
Result version number	v2 (current)
This version publication date	06 June 2020
First version publication date	23 February 2017
Version creation reason	

Trial information

Trial identification				
Sponsor protocol code	R668-AD-1416			
Additional study identifiers				
ISRCTN number	-			
ClinicalTrials.gov id (NCT number)	NCT02277769			
WHO universal trial number (UTN)	-			
Other trial identifiers	Study Name: SOLO 2			

Notes:

Sponsors

Sponsor organisation name	Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
Sponsor organisation address	777 Old Saw Mill River Rd., Tarrytown, United States, 10591
	Clinical Trial Management, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., clinicaltrials@regeneron.com
Scientific contact	Clinical Trial Management, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., clinicaltrials@regeneron.com

Notes:

raculati ic regulatory uctaii	tric regulatory details
-------------------------------	-------------------------

Is trial part of an agreed paediatric investigation plan (PIP)	No
Does article 45 of REGULATION (EC) No 1901/2006 apply to this trial?	No
Does article 46 of REGULATION (EC) No 1901/2006 apply to this trial?	No

Notes:

Results analysis stage				
Analysis stage	Final			
Date of interim/final analysis	24 February 2016			
Is this the analysis of the primary completion data?	No			
Global end of trial reached?	Yes			
Global end of trial date	20 January 2016			
Was the trial ended prematurely?	No			

General information about the trial

Main objective of the trial:

To demonstrate the efficacy of dupilumab monotherapy compared to placebo treatment in adult subjects with moderate-to-severe Atopic Dermatitis (AD).

Protection of trial subjects:

This study was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles that have their origin in the Declaration of Helsinki and that are consistent with the International Conference on Harmonisation (ICH) guidelines for Good Clinical Practice (GCP) and applicable regulatory requirements.

Background therapy: -

Evidence for comparator: -				
Actual start date of recruitment	02 December 2014			
Long term follow-up planned	No			
Independent data monitoring committee	ee Yes			

Notes:

Population of trial subjects	Popu	lation	of trial	subj	jects
------------------------------	------	--------	----------	------	-------

Subjects	enrol	led	per	country

Country: Number of subjects enrolled	Poland: 97
Country: Number of subjects enrolled	United Kingdom: 19
Country: Number of subjects enrolled	France: 31
Country: Number of subjects enrolled	Germany: 87
Country: Number of subjects enrolled	Italy: 26
Country: Number of subjects enrolled	Lithuania: 17
Country: Number of subjects enrolled	United States: 238
Country: Number of subjects enrolled	Canada: 108
Country: Number of subjects enrolled	Korea, Republic of: 80
Country: Number of subjects enrolled	Hong Kong: 5
Worldwide total number of subjects	708
EEA total number of subjects	277

Notes:

Subjects	enrolled	l ner age	aroun
Subjects	emonec	i Dei aue	aroun

In utero	0
Preterm newborn - gestational age < 37 wk	0

Newborns (0-27 days)	0
Infants and toddlers (28 days-23 months)	0
Children (2-11 years)	0
Adolescents (12-17 years)	0
Adults (18-64 years)	676
From 65 to 84 years	30
85 years and over	2

Subject disposition

Recruitment

Recruitment details:

The study was conducted in 10 countries between 03 December 2014 and 21 January 2016. A total of 962 subjects were screened in the study.

Pre-assignment

Screening details:

Out of 962 subjects, 708 were randomized and 707 were treated in the study. Subjects were randomized in 1:1:1 ratio to receive dupilumab 300 mg weekly (qw), dupilumab 300 mg every 2 weeks (q2w) or placebo qw.

Period 1			
Period 1 title	Overall Study (overall period)		
Is this the baseline period?	Yes		
Allocation method	Randomised - controlled		
Blinding used	Double blind		
Roles blinded	Subject, Investigator, Assessor		
Arms			
Are arms mutually exclusive?	Yes		
Arm title	Placebo		
Arm description:	•		
Two subcutaneous injections of Placebo injection once weekly (qw) from Week 1	(for Dupilumab) as a loading dose on Day 1 followed by a single to Week 15.		
Arm type	Placebo		
Investigational medicinal product name	Placebo (for Dupilumab)		
Investigational medicinal product code			
Other name			
Pharmaceutical forms	Solution for injection		
Routes of administration	Subcutaneous use		

Dosage and administration details:

Subcutaneous injection among the different quadrants of the abdomen (avoiding navel and waist areas), upper thighs, and upper arms.

Arm title Dupilumab 300 mg q2w	
--------------------------------	--

Arm description:

Two subcutaneous injections of Dupilumab 300 mg (for a total of 600 mg) as a loading dose on Day 1, followed by a placebo alternating with single 300 mg injection of Dupilumab qw from Week 1 to Week 15.

Experimental
Dupilumab
REGN668; SAR231893
Solution for injection
Subcutaneous use

Dosage and administration details:

Subcutaneous injection among the different quadrants of the abdomen (avoiding navel and waist areas), upper thighs, and upper arms.

Arm title	Dupilumab 300 mg qw
-----------	---------------------

Arm description:

Two subcutaneous injections of Dupilumab 300 mg (for a total of 600 mg) as a loading dose on Day 1, followed by a single 300 mg injection of Dupilumab qw from Week 1 to Week 15.

	Arm type	Experimental
--	----------	--------------

Investigational medicinal product name	Dupilumab
Investigational medicinal product code	REGN668; SAR231893
Other name	
Pharmaceutical forms	Solution for injection
Routes of administration	Subcutaneous use

Dosage and administration details:

Subcutaneous injection among the different quadrants of the abdomen (avoiding navel and waist areas), upper thighs, and upper arms.

Number of subjects in period 1	Placebo	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w	Dupilumab 300 mg qw
Started	236	233	239
Treated	235	233	239
Completed	190	220	221
Not completed	46	13	18
Adverse Event	14	2	4
Other than specified	12	8	5
Lack of efficacy	17	-	4
Protocol deviation	3	3	5

Baseline characteristics

Reporting groups

Reporting group title	Placebo

Reporting group description:

Two subcutaneous injections of Placebo (for Dupilumab) as a loading dose on Day 1 followed by a single injection once weekly (qw) from Week 1 to Week 15.

Reporting group title Dupilumab 300 mg q2w

Reporting group description:

Two subcutaneous injections of Dupilumab 300 mg (for a total of 600 mg) as a loading dose on Day 1, followed by a placebo alternating with single 300 mg injection of Dupilumab qw from Week 1 to Week 15.

Reporting group title Dupilumab 300 mg qw

Reporting group description:

Two subcutaneous injections of Dupilumab 300 mg (for a total of 600 mg) as a loading dose on Day 1, followed by a single 300 mg injection of Dupilumab qw from Week 1 to Week 15.

Reporting group values	Placebo	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w	Dupilumab 300 mg gw
Number of subjects	236	233	239
Age categorical			
Units: Subjects			
	•		
Age continuous			
Units: years			
arithmetic mean	37.4	36.9	37.1
standard deviation	± 14.09	± 13.96	± 14.51
Can day askanayisal			

Units: years			
arithmetic mean	37.4	36.9	37.1
standard deviation	± 14.09	± 13.96	± 14.51
Gender categorical			
Units: Subjects			
Female	104	96	100
Male	132	137	139
Ethnicity			
Units: Subjects			
Not Hispanic or Latino	219	218	220
Hispanic or Latino	8	7	12
Not reported/missing	9	8	7

Eczema Area and Severity Index (EASI) score			
The EASI score was used to measure the erythema, infiltration, excoriation and lic upper and lower extremities. The total Extreflecting the worse severity of AD.	henification on 4 anat	comic regions of the bo	ody: head, trunk,
Units: units on a scale			
arithmetic mean	33.6	31.8	31.9
standard deviation	± 14.31	± 13.08	± 12.7
Investigator's Global Assessment (IGA) score			
IGA is an assessment scale used to determine the point scale (0 = clear; 1 = almost clear; papulation/infiltration. Therapeutic response.	2 = mild; 3 = modera	ate; 4 = severe) base	d on erythema and
Units: units on a scale			
arithmetic mean	3.5	3.5	3.5
standard deviation	± 0.5	± 0.5	± 0.5
Weekly average of peak daily pruritus numerical rating scale (NRS)			
Pruritus NRS is an assessment tool that i maximum and average intensity, during question: how would a subject rate his it maximum itch intensity on a scale of 0 – obtained in the 7-day period prior to the	a 24-hour recall perion at the worst mome $10 [0 = no itch; 10 = no itch]$	d. Subjects were askeent during the previou	ed the following s 24 hours (for
Units: units on a scale			
arithmetic mean	7.5	7.6	7.5
standard deviation	± 1.85	± 1.6	± 1.81
Body surface area (BSA) involvement with atopic dermatitis			
Body surface area affected by AD was assessed for each section of the body (the possible highest score for each region was: head and neck [9%], anterior trunk [18%], back [18%], upper limbs [18%], lower limbs [36%], and genitals [1%]). It was reported as a percentage of all major body sections combined.			
Units: Percentage of Body Surface Area			
arithmetic mean	54.3	52.7	52.2
standard deviation	± 23.06	± 21.23	± 21.51
SCORing Atopic Dermatitis (SCORAD) score			
SCORAD is a clinical tool for assessing the Force on Atopic Dermatitis (Severity scored for the European Task Force on Atopic Deand intensity of eczema as well as subject ranges from 0 [absent disease] to 103 [second for the content of the conten	ring of atopic dermatit rmatitis". Dermatolog ctive signs (insomnia,	tis: the SCORAD index y (Basel) 186 (1): 23	c. Consensus Report –31. 1993). Extent
Units: units on a scale			
arithmetic mean	69.2	67.2	67.5
standard deviation	± 14.91	± 13.48	± 13.1
Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) score			
The DLQI is a 10-item, validated questio impact of AD disease symptoms and treatover the past week, with an overall scori	atment on quality of lif	fe (QOL). The 10 ques	stions assessed QOL
Units: units on a scale			
arithmetic mean	15.4	15.4	16
standard deviation	± 7.69	± 7.07	± 7.33
Patient Oriented Eczema Measure (POEM)			
The POEM is a 7-item questionnaire that	assesses disease sym	nptoms (dryness, itchi	ng, flaking, cracking,

EU-CTR publication date: 06 June 2020

28

28

29

Asia Pacific

sleep loss, bleeding and weeping) with a	scoring system of 0 t	o 28 (high score indic	ative of poor quality
of life [QOL]).	_ ·	· -	
Units: units on a scale			
arithmetic mean	21	20.8	20.9
standard deviation	± 5.94	± 5.49	± 5.59
Global Individual Signs Score (GISS)			
Individual components of the AD lesions lichenification) were rated globally (each point scale $(0 = \text{none}, 1 = \text{mild}, 2 = \text{mod})$	assessed for the who	le body, not by anato	mical region) on a 4
Units: units on a scale			
arithmetic mean	9.2	9	9
standard deviation	± 1.78	± 1.8	± 1.75
Total Hospital Anxiety Depression Scale (HADS)			
The HADS is a fourteen item scale. Seve Each item on the questionnaire is scored (no symptoms) and 21 (severe symptom psychiatric distress has been reported as and 14 to 15 for severe anxiety or depre	from 0-3 and this me ns) for either anxiety of 3 7 to 8 for possible po	eans that a person car or depression. Cut-off	n score between 0 s for identifying
Units: units on a scale			
arithmetic mean	13.7	13.7	14.6
standard deviation	± 8.32	± 7.52	± 8.24
Reporting group values	Total		
Number of subjects	708		
Age categorical			
Units: Subjects			
Age continuous			
Units: years			
arithmetic mean			
standard deviation	-		
Gender categorical			
Units: Subjects			
Female	300		
Male	408		
Ethnicity			
Units: Subjects			
Not Hispanic or Latino	657		
Hispanic or Latino	27		
Not reported/missing	24		
Race			
Units: Subjects			
White	489		
Asian	139		
Black or African American	48		
More than one race	15		
Not reported/missing	17		
American Indian or Alaska Native	0		
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0		
Region			
Units: Subjects			
North and South America	346		

Western Europe	163		
Eastern Europe	114		
Asia Pacific	85		
Eczema Area and Severity Index (EASI) score			
The EASI score was used to measure the severity and extent of atopic dermatitis (AD) and measures erythema, infiltration, excoriation and lichenification on 4 anatomic regions of the body: head, trunk, upper and lower extremities. The total EASI score ranges from 0 to 72 points, with the higher scores reflecting the worse severity of AD.			
Units: units on a scale			
arithmetic mean			
standard deviation	-		
Investigator's Global Assessment (IGA) score			
IGA is an assessment scale used to dete point scale (0 = clear; 1 = almost clear; papulation/infiltration. Therapeutic response	2 = mild; 3 = moderate	ate; 4 = severe) base	d on erythema and
Units: units on a scale			
arithmetic mean			
standard deviation	-		
Weekly average of peak daily pruritus numerical rating scale (NRS)			
Pruritus NRS is an assessment tool that is used to report the intensity of subject's pruritus (itch), both maximum and average intensity, during a 24-hour recall period. Subjects were asked the following question: how would a subject rate his itch at the worst moment during the previous 24 hours (for maximum itch intensity on a scale of $0 - 10$ [$0 = \text{no itch}$; $10 = \text{worst itch imaginable}$]). Weekly average obtained in the 7-day period prior to the baseline visit.			
Units: units on a scale			
arithmetic mean			
standard deviation	-		
Body surface area (BSA) involvement with atopic dermatitis			
Body surface area affected by AD was assessed for each section of the body (the possible highest score for each region was: head and neck [9%], anterior trunk [18%], back [18%], upper limbs [18%], lower limbs [36%], and genitals [1%]). It was reported as a percentage of all major body sections combined.			
Units: Percentage of Body Surface Area			
arithmetic mean			
standard deviation	-		
SCORing Atopic Dermatitis (SCORAD)			
score			
SCORAD is a clinical tool for assessing the severity of atopic dermatitis developed by the European Task Force on Atopic Dermatitis (Severity scoring of atopic dermatitis: the SCORAD index. Consensus Report of the European Task Force on Atopic Dermatitis". Dermatology (Basel) 186 (1): 23–31. 1993). Extent and intensity of eczema as well as subjective signs (insomnia, etc.) are assessed and scored. Total score ranges from 0 [absent disease] to 103 [severe disease]).			
Units: units on a scale			
arithmetic mean			
standard deviation	-		
Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI)			
score			
The DLQI is a 10-item, validated questionnaire used in clinical practice and clinical trials to assess the impact of AD disease symptoms and treatment on quality of life (QOL). The 10 questions assessed QOL over the past week, with an overall scoring of 0 to 30; a high score was indicative of a poor QOL.			
Units: units on a scale			
arithmetic mean			
standard deviation			
Patient Oriented Eczema Measure (POEM)			
The POEM is a 7-item questionnaire that	assesses disease sym	nptoms (dryness, itchi	ng, flaking, cracking,

EU-CTR publication date: 06 June 2020

sleep loss, bleeding and weeping) with a scoring system of 0 to 28 (high score indicative of poor quality of life [QOL]).			
Units: units on a scale			
arithmetic mean			
standard deviation	-		
Global Individual Signs Score (GISS)			
Individual components of the AD lesions (erythema, infiltration/papulation, excoriations, and lichenification) were rated globally (each assessed for the whole body, not by anatomical region) on a 4-point scale $(0 = \text{none}, 1 = \text{mild}, 2 = \text{moderate} \text{ and } 3 = \text{severe})$ using the EASI severity grading criteria.			
Units: units on a scale			
arithmetic mean			
standard deviation	-		
Total Hospital Anxiety Depression Scale (HADS)			
The HADS is a fourteen item scale. Seven of the items relate to anxiety and seven relate to depression. Each item on the questionnaire is scored from 0-3 and this means that a person can score between 0 (no symptoms) and 21 (severe symptoms) for either anxiety or depression. Cut-offs for identifying psychiatric distress has been reported as 7 to 8 for possible presence, 10 to 11 for probable presence, and 14 to 15 for severe anxiety or depression.			
Units: units on a scale			
arithmetic mean			
standard deviation	-		

End points

End points reporting groups

Reporting group title	Placebo

Reporting group description:

Two subcutaneous injections of Placebo (for Dupilumab) as a loading dose on Day 1 followed by a single injection once weekly (qw) from Week 1 to Week 15.

Reporting group title Dupilumab 300 mg q2w

Reporting group description:

Two subcutaneous injections of Dupilumab 300 mg (for a total of 600 mg) as a loading dose on Day 1, followed by a placebo alternating with single 300 mg injection of Dupilumab qw from Week 1 to Week 15.

Reporting group title Dupilumab 300 mg qw

Reporting group description:

Two subcutaneous injections of Dupilumab 300 mg (for a total of 600 mg) as a loading dose on Day 1, followed by a single 300 mg injection of Dupilumab gw from Week 1 to Week 15.

Subject analysis set title	Placebo
Subject analysis set type	Safety analysis

Subject analysis set description:

Two subcutaneous injections of Placebo (for Dupilumab) as a loading dose on Day 1 followed by a single injection once weekly (qw) for 16 weeks

Subject analysis set title	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w
Subject analysis set type	Safety analysis

Subject analysis set description:

Two subcutaneous injections of Dupilumab 300 mg (for a total of 600 mg) as a loading dose on Day 1, followed by a placebo alternating with single 300 mg injection of Dupilumab qw for 16 weeks.

Subject analysis set title	Dupilumab 300 mg qw
Subject analysis set type	Safety analysis

Subject analysis set description:

Two subcutaneous injections of Dupilumab 300 mg (for a total of 600 mg) as a loading dose on Day 1, followed by a single 300 mg injection of Dupilumab qw for 16 weeks.

Primary: Percentage of Subjects with Eczema Area and Severity Index-75 (EASI-75) (≥75% Improvement from Baseline) at Week 16

End point title	Percentage of Subjects with Eczema Area and Severity Index-
	75 (EASI-75) (≥75% Improvement from Baseline) at Week 16

End point description:

The EASI score was used to measure the severity and extent of atopic dermatitis (AD) and measures erythema, infiltration, excoriation and lichenification on 4 anatomic regions of the body: head, trunk, upper and lower extremities. The total EASI score ranges from 0 to 72 points, with the higher scores reflecting the worse severity of AD. EASI-75 responders were the subjects who achieved ≥75% overall improvement in EASI score from baseline to Week 16. The subjects withdrew from the study or used rescue treatment or had a missing value at Week 16, were counted as non-responders. Full analysis set (FAS) included all randomized subjects.

End point type	Primary
End point timeframe:	
Week 16	

End point values	Placebo	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w	Dupilumab 300 mg qw	
Subject group type	Reporting group	Reporting group	Reporting group	
Number of subjects analysed	236	233	239	
Units: Percentage of Subjects				
number (not applicable)	11.9	44.2	48.1	

Statistical analysis title	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w vs Placebo	
Statistical analysis description:		
Analysis was performed using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test stratified by region and baseline disease severity.		
Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w v Placebo	
Number of subjects included in analysis	469	
Analysis specification	Pre-specified	
Analysis type	superiority	
P-value	< 0.0001 [1]	
Method	Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel	
Parameter estimate	difference in percentages	
Point estimate	32.3	
Confidence interval		
level	95 %	
sides	2-sided	
lower limit	24.75	
upper limit	39.94	

Notes:

[1] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Statistical analysis title	Dupilumab 300 mg qw vs Placebo		
Statistical analysis description:			
Analysis was performed using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test stratified by region and baseline disease severity.			
Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg qw v Placebo		
Number of subjects included in analysis	475		
Analysis specification	Pre-specified		
Analysis type	superiority		
P-value	< 0.0001 [2]		
Method	Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel		
Parameter estimate	difference in percentages		
Point estimate	36.3		
Confidence interval			
level	95 %		
sides	2-sided		
lower limit	28.69		
upper limit	43.81		

Notes:

[2] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Primary: Percentage of Subjects with Investigator's Global Assessment (IGA) Score of "0" or "1" (clear or almost clear) and Reduction from Baseline of ≥2 Points at Week 16

End point title	Percentage of Subjects with Investigator's Global Assessment
•	(IGA) Score of "0" or "1" (clear or almost clear) and Reduction
	from Baseline of ≥2 Points at Week 16

End point description:

IGA is an assessment scale used to determine severity of AD and clinical response to treatment on a 5-point scale (0 = clear; 1 = almost clear; 2 = mild; 3 = moderate; 4 = severe) based on erythema and papulation/infiltration. Therapeutic response is an IGA score of 0 (clear) or 1 (almost clear). Subjects with IGA "0" or "1" and a reduction from baseline of \geq 2 points at Week 16 were reported. Values after first rescue treatment were set to missing and subjects with missing IGA scores at Week 16 were counted as non-responders. Analysis was performed on FAS population.

End point type	Primary
End point timeframe:	
Week 16	

End point values	Placebo	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w	Dupilumab 300 mg qw	
Subject group type	Reporting group	Reporting group	Reporting group	
Number of subjects analysed	236	233	239	
Units: Percentage of Subjects				
number (not applicable)	8.5	36.1	36.4	

Statistical analyses

Statistical analysis title	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w vs Placebo		
Statistical analysis description:			
Analysis was performed using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test stratified by region and baseline disease severity.			
Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w v Placebo		
Number of subjects included in analysis	469		
Analysis specification	Pre-specified		
Analysis type	superiority		
P-value	< 0.0001 [3]		
Method	Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel		
Parameter estimate	difference in percentages		
Point estimate	27.6		
Confidence interval			
level	95 %		
sides	2-sided		
lower limit	20.46		
upper limit	34.69		

Notes:

[3] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Statistical analysis title	Dupilumab 300 mg qw vs Placebo

Statistical analysis description:

Analysis was performed using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test stratified by region and baseline disease

severity.

Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg qw v Placebo	
Number of subjects included in analysis	475	
Analysis specification	Pre-specified	
Analysis type	superiority	
P-value	< 0.0001 [4]	
Method	Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel	
Parameter estimate	difference in percentages	
Point estimate	27.9	
Confidence interval		
level	95 %	
sides	2-sided	
lower limit	20.87	
upper limit	34.99	

Notes:

[4] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Secondary: Percentage of Subjects with Improvement (Reduction ≥4 Points) of Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (NRS) Score from Baseline to Week 16

·	Percentage of Subjects with Improvement (Reduction ≥4 Points) of Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (NRS) Score from
	Baseline to Week 16

End point description:

Pruritus NRS is an assessment tool that is used to report the intensity of subject's pruritus (itch), both maximum and average intensity, during a 24-hour recall period. Subjects were asked the following question: how would a subject rate his itch at the worst moment during the previous 24 hours (for maximum itch intensity on a scale of 0 - 10 [0 = no itch; 10 = worst itch imaginable]). Subjects achieving a reduction of ≥ 4 points from baseline in weekly average of peak daily pruritus NRS score at Week 16 were reported. Values after first rescue treatment were set to missing and subjects with missing peak NRS at Week 16 were counted as non-responders. Analysis was performed on FAS population. Here, number of subjects analyzed = subjects with baseline peak pruritus NRS ≥ 4 .

End point type	Secondary
End point timeframe:	
Baseline to Week 16	

End point values	Placebo	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w	Dupilumab 300 mg qw	
Subject group type	Reporting group	Reporting group	Reporting group	
Number of subjects analysed	221	225	228	
Units: Percentage of Subjects				
number (not applicable)	9.5	36	39	

Statistical analyses

Statistical analysis title	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w vs Placebo

Statistical analysis description:

A hierarchical testing procedure was used to control type I error and handle multiple secondary endpoint analyses. Testing was then performed sequentially in the order the endpoints are reported. The hierarchical testing sequence continued only when previous endpoint was statistically significant at 0.025 level.

Comparison groups	Placebo v Dupilumab 300 mg q2w	
Number of subjects included in analysis	446	
Analysis specification	Pre-specified	
Analysis type	superiority	
P-value	< 0.0001 [5]	
Method	Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel	
Parameter estimate	difference in percentages	
Point estimate	26.5	
Confidence interval		
level	95 %	
sides	2-sided	
lower limit	19.13	
upper limit	33.87	

[5] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Statistical analysis title Dupilumab 300 mg qw vs Placebo

Statistical analysis description:

A hierarchical testing procedure was used to control type I error and handle multiple secondary endpoint analyses. Testing was then performed sequentially in the order the endpoints are reported. The hierarchical testing sequence continued only when previous endpoint was statistically significant at 0.025 level.

Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg qw v Placebo
Number of subjects included in analysis	449
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority
P-value	< 0.0001 [6]
Method	Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel
Parameter estimate	difference in percentages
Point estimate	29.5
Confidence interval	
level	95 %
sides	2-sided
lower limit	22.11
upper limit	36.95

Notes:

[6] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Secondary: Percentage of Subjects with Improvement (Reduction ≥3 Points) of Pruritus NRS Score from Baseline to Week 16

End point title	Percentage of Subjects with Improvement (Reduction ≥3
	Points) of Pruritus NRS Score from Baseline to Week 16

End point description:

Subjects achieving a reduction of ≥ 3 points from baseline in weekly average of peak daily pruritus NRS score at Week 16 were reported. Values after first rescue treatment were set to missing and subjects with missing peak NRS score at Week 16 were counted as non-responders. Analysis was performed on FAS population. Here, number of subjects analyzed = subjects with baseline peak pruritus NRS ≥ 3 .

End point type	Secondary
End point timeframe:	
Baseline to Week 16	

(QG SRLQW YDOXHV	Placebo	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w	Dupilumab 300 mg qw	
Subject group type	Reporting group	Reporting group	Reporting group	
Number of subjects analysed	226	231	234	
Units: Percentage of subjects				
number (not applicable)	12.8	50.6	49.1	

6WDWLVWLFDO DQDO\VHV

ONDWEVWEI DO DQBOVVII	.*
6WDWLVWLFDO DQDO\VL	/Du խ/i և ու/թի 34 0 mg qw vs Placebo
Statistical analysis description:	
Testing according to the hierarchical test statistically significant).	ing procedure (only performed if the previous endpoint was
Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg qw v Placebo
Number of subjects included in analysis	460
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority
P-value	< 0.0001 [7]
Method	Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel
Parameter estimate	difference in percentages
Point estimate	36.3
Confidence interval	
level	95 %
sides	2-sided

28.56

44.06

Notes:

lower limit

upper limit

[7] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

6WDWLVWLFDO DQDO\VL	/Du /Milun/Mal©3+0 0 mg q2w vs Placebo
Statistical analysis description:	
Testing according to the hierarchical test statistically significant).	ing procedure (only performed if the previous endpoint was
Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w v Placebo
Number of subjects included in analysis	457
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority
P-value	< 0.0001 [8]
Method	Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel
Parameter estimate	difference in percentages
Point estimate	37.8
Confidence interval	
level	95 %
sides	2-sided
lower limit	30.03
upper limit	45.6

[8] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Secondary: Percent Change from Baseline in Peak Daily Pruritus NRS Score to Week 16

	Percent Change from Baseline in Peak Daily Pruritus NRS Score to Week 16
End point description:	
Analysis was performed on FAS population available data for this endpoint.	on. Here, number of subjects analyzed = subjects with
End point type	Secondary
End point timeframe:	

End point values	Placebo	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w	Dupilumab 300 mg qw	
Subject group type	Reporting group	Reporting group	Reporting group	
Number of subjects analysed	105	195	182	
Units: Percent Change				
arithmetic mean (standard deviation)	-18.1 (± 27.66)	-47.2 (± 28.5)	-50.9 (± 30.56)	

Statistical analyses

Baseline to Week 16

Statistical analysis title	Dupilumab 300 mg qw vs Placebo
Charles I I I I I I I	

Statistical analysis description:

Testing according to the hierarchical testing procedure (only performed if the previous endpoint was statistically significant).

statistically significantly.	
Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg qw v Placebo
Number of subjects included in analysis	287
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority
P-value	< 0.0001 [9]
Method	ANCOVA
Parameter estimate	Least Square (LS) mean difference
Point estimate	-32.8
Confidence interval	
level	95 %
sides	2-sided
lower limit	-40.2
upper limit	-25.49

Notes:

[9] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Statistical analysis title Dupilumab 300 mg q2w vs Placebo
--

Statistical analysis description:

Testing according to the hierarchical testing procedure (only performed if the previous endpoint was

statistically significant).

Dupilumab 300 mg q2w v Placebo
300
Pre-specified
superiority
< 0.0001 [10]
ANCOVA
Least square (LS) mean difference
-28.9
95 %
2-sided
-36.04
-21.83

Notes:

[10] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Secondary: Percentage of Subjects with Improvement (Reduction ≥4 Points) of Pruritus NRS Score from Baseline to Week 4

End point title Percentage of Subjects with Improvement (Reduction ≥4 Points) of Pruritus NRS Score from Baseline to Week 4	
---	--

End point description:

Subjects achieving a reduction of ≥ 4 points from baseline in weekly average of peak daily pruritus NRS score at Week 4 were reported. Values after first rescue treatment were set to missing and subjects with missing peak NRS at Week 4 were counted as non-responders. Analysis was performed on FAS population. Here, number of subjects analyzed = subjects with baseline peak pruritus NRS ≥ 4 .

End point type	Secondary
----------------	-----------

End point timeframe:

Baseline to Week 4

End point values	Placebo	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w	Dupilumab 300 mg qw	
Subject group type	Reporting group	Reporting group	Reporting group	
Number of subjects analysed	221	225	228	
Units: Percentage of subjects				
number (not applicable)	6.3	22.7	27.6	

Statistical analyses

Statistical analysis title	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w vs Placebo
Statistical analysis description:	
Testing according to the hierarchical test statistically significant).	ing procedure (only performed if the previous endpoint was
Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w v Placebo

Number of subjects included in analysis	446
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority
P-value	< 0.0001 [11]
Method	Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel
Parameter estimate	difference in percentages
Point estimate	16.3
Confidence interval	
level	95 %
sides	2-sided
lower limit	9.99
upper limit	22.68

[11] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Statistical analysis title	Dupilumab 300 mg qw vs Placebo			
Statistical analysis description:				
Testing according to the hierarchical testing procedure (only performed if the previous endpoint was statistically significant).				
Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg qw v Placebo			
Number of subjects included in analysis	449			
Analysis specification	Pre-specified			
Analysis type	superiority			
P-value	< 0.0001 [12]			
Method	Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel			
Parameter estimate	difference in percentages			
Point estimate	21.3			
Confidence interval				
level	95 %			
sides	2-sided			
lower limit	14.66			
upper limit	27.93			

Notes:

[12] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Secondary: Percentage of Subjects with Improvement (Reduction ≥4 Points) of Pruritus NRS Score from Baseline to Week 2		
End point title	Percentage of Subjects with Improvement (Reduction ≥4 Points) of Pruritus NRS Score from Baseline to Week 2	
End point description:		
score at Week 2 were reported. Values a missing peak NRS at Week 2 were count	ints from baseline in weekly average of peak daily pruritus NRS after first rescue treatment were set to missing and subjects with the das non-responders. Analysis was performed on FAS alyzed = subjects with baseline peak pruritus NRS ≥4.	
End point type Secondary		
End point timeframe:		

Baseline to Week 2

End point values	Placebo	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w	Dupilumab 300 mg qw	
Subject group type	Reporting group	Reporting group	Reporting group	
Number of subjects analysed	221	225	228	
Units: Percentage of Subjects				
number (not applicable)	0.9	10.7	12.7	

Statistical analysis title	Dupilumab 300 mg qw vs Placebo			
Statistical analysis description:				
Testing according to the hierarchical testing procedure (only performed if the previous endpoint was statistically significant).				
Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg qw v Placebo			
Number of subjects included in analysis	449			
Analysis specification	Pre-specified			
Analysis type	superiority			
P-value	< 0.0001 [13]			
Method	Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel			
Parameter estimate	difference in percentages			
Point estimate	11.8			
Confidence interval				
level	95 %			
sides	2-sided			
lower limit	7.31			
upper limit	16.32			

Notes:

[13] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Statistical analysis title	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w vs Placebo			
Statistical analysis description:				
Testing according to the hierarchical testing procedure (only performed if the previous endpoint was statistically significant).				
Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w v Placebo			
Number of subjects included in analysis	446			
Analysis specification	Pre-specified			
Analysis type	superiority			
P-value	< 0.0001 [14]			
Method	Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel			
Parameter estimate	difference in percentages			
Point estimate	9.8			
Confidence interval				
level	95 %			
sides	2-sided			
lower limit	5.54			
upper limit	13.98			
Nakaa				

Notes:

[14] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Secondary: Change From Baseline in Peak Daily Pruritus NRS Score to Week 16			
End point title	Change From Baseline in Peak Daily Pruritus NRS Score to Week 16		
End point description:			
Analysis was performed on FAS population data for this endpoint.	on. Here, number of subjects analyzed = subjects with available		
End point type	Secondary		
End point timeframe:			
Baseline to Week 16			

End point values	Placebo	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w	Dupilumab 300 mg qw	
Subject group type	Reporting group	Reporting group	Reporting group	
Number of subjects analysed	105	195	182	
Units: units on a scale				
arithmetic mean (standard deviation)	-1.41 (± 1.973)	-3.56 (± 2.258)	-3.87 (± 2.426)	

Statistical analyses		
Statistical analysis title	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w vs Placebo	
Statistical analysis description:		
Testing according to the hierarchical testing procedure (only performed if the previous endpoint was statistically significant).		
Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w v Placebo	
Number of subjects included in analysis	300	
Analysis specification	Pre-specified	
Analysis type	superiority	
P-value	< 0.0001 ^[15]	
Method	ANCOVA	
Parameter estimate	LS mean difference	
Point estimate	-2.1	
Confidence interval		
1 1	05.07	

level	95 %
sides	2-sided
lower limit	-2.605
upper limit	-1.587

Notes:

[15] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Statistical analysis title Dupilumab 300 mg qw vs Placebo		
Statistical analysis description:		
Testing according to the hierarchical testing procedure (only performed if the previous endpoint was statistically significant).		
Comparison groups Dupilumab 300 mg qw v Placebo		

Number of subjects included in analysis	287
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority
P-value	< 0.0001 [16]
Method	ANCOVA
Parameter estimate	LS mean difference
Point estimate	-2.47
Confidence interval	
level	95 %
sides	2-sided
lower limit	-2.982
upper limit	-1.957

[16] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Secondary: Percent Change From Baseline in EASI Score to Week 16		
End point title Percent Change From Baseline in EASI Score to Week 16		
End point description:		
Analysis was performed on FAS populatidata for this endpoint.	on. Here, number of subjects analyzed = subjects with available	
End point type	Secondary	
End point timeframe:		
Baseline to Week 16		

End point values	Placebo	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w	Dupilumab 300 mg qw	
Subject group type	Reporting group	Reporting group	Reporting group	
Number of subjects analysed	105	197	181	
Units: Percent Change				
arithmetic mean (standard deviation)	-33.7 (± 33.45)	-69.6 (± 27.84)	-71.6 (± 27.08)	

Statistical analyses

Statistical analysis title Dupilumab 300 mg q2w vs Placebo		
Statistical analysis description:		
Testing according to the hierarchical testing procedure (only performed if the previous endpoint was statistically significant).		
Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w v Placebo	
Number of subjects included in analysis	302	
Analysis specification	Pre-specified	
Analysis type	superiority	
P-value	< 0.0001 [17]	
Method	ANCOVA	
Parameter estimate	LS mean difference	
Point estimate	-36.2	

Confidence interval	
level	95 %
sides	2-sided
lower limit	-43.46
upper limit	-28.86

[17] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Statistical analysis title Dupilumab 300 mg qw vs Placebo		
Statistical analysis description:		
Testing according to the hierarchical testing procedure (only performed if the previous endpoint was statistically significant).		
Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg qw v Placebo	
Number of subjects included in analysis	286	
Analysis specification	Pre-specified	
Analysis type	superiority	
P-value	< 0.0001 [18]	
Method	ANCOVA	
Parameter estimate	LS mean difference	
Point estimate	-38.2	
Confidence interval		
level	95 %	
sides	2-sided	
lower limit	-45.55	
upper limit	-30.88	

Notes:

Week 16

[18] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Secondary: Percentage of Subjects with EASI-50 (≥50% Improvement from Baseline) at Week 16

End point title	Percentage of Subjects with EASI-50 (≥50% Improvement
	from Baseline) at Week 16

End point description:

EASI-50 responders were the subjects who achieved \geq 50% overall improvement in EASI score from baseline to Week 16. Values after first rescue treatment were set to missing and subjects with missing EASI-50 scores at Week 16 were counted as non-responders. Analysis was performed on FAS population.

End point type	Secondary
End point timeframe:	

End point values	Placebo	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w	Dupilumab 300 mg qw	
Subject group type	Reporting group	Reporting group	Reporting group	
Number of subjects analysed	236	233	239	
Units: Percentage of Subjects				
number (not applicable)	22	65.2	61.1	

Statistical analysis title	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w vs Placebo	
Statistical analysis description:		
Testing according to the hierarchical testing procedure (only performed if the previous endpoint was statistically significant).		
Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w v Placebo	
Number of subjects included in analysis	469	
Analysis specification	Pre-specified	
Analysis type	superiority	
P-value	< 0.0001 [19]	
Method	Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel	
Parameter estimate	difference in percentages	
Point estimate	43.2	
Confidence interval		
level	95 %	
sides	2-sided	
lower limit	35.12	
upper limit	51.29	

Notes:

[19] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Statistical analysis title	Dupilumab 300 mg qw vs Placebo	
Statistical analysis description:		
Testing according to the hierarchical testing procedure (only performed if the previous endpoint was statistically significant).		
Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg qw v Placebo	
Number of subjects included in analysis	475	
Analysis specification	Pre-specified	
Analysis type	superiority	
P-value	< 0.0001 [20]	
Method	Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel	
Parameter estimate	difference in percentages	
Point estimate	39.1	
Confidence interval		
level	95 %	
sides	2-sided	
lower limit	30.92	
upper limit	47.19	

Notes:

[20] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Secondary: Percentage of Subjects with EASI-90 (≥90% Improvement from	1
Baseline) at Week 16	

End point title	Percentage of Subjects with EASI-90 (≥90% Improvement

from Baseline) at Week 16

End point description:

EASI-90 responders were the subjects who achieved $\geq 90\%$ overall improvement in EASI score from baseline to Week 16. Values after first rescue treatment were set to missing and subjects with missing EASI-90 scores at Week 16 were counted as non-responders. Analysis was performed on FAS population.

End point type	Secondary	
End point timeframe:		
Week 16		

End point values	Placebo	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w	Dupilumab 300 mg qw	
Subject group type	Reporting group	Reporting group	Reporting group	
Number of subjects analysed	236	233	239	
Units: Percentage of Subjects				
number (not applicable)	7.2	30	30.5	

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses		
Statistical analysis title	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w vs Placebo	
Statistical analysis description:		
Testing according to the hierarchical test statistically significant).	ing procedure (only performed if the previous endpoint was	
Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w v Placebo	
Number of subjects included in analysis	469	
Analysis specification	Pre-specified	
Analysis type	superiority	
P-value	< 0.0001 [21]	
Method	Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel	
Parameter estimate	difference in percentages	
Point estimate	22.8	
Confidence interval		
level	95 %	
sides	2-sided	
lower limit	16.09	
upper limit	29.59	

Notes:

[21] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Statistical analysis title	Dupilumab 300 mg qw vs Placebo	
Statistical analysis description:		
Testing according to the hierarchical testing procedure (only performed if the previous endpoint was statistically significant).		
Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg qw v Placebo	

Number of subjects included in analysis	475
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority
P-value	< 0.0001 [22]
Method	Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel
Parameter estimate	difference in percentages
Point estimate	23.3
Confidence interval	
level	95 %
sides	2-sided
lower limit	16.63
upper limit	30.05

[22] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Secondary: Change From Baseline in Percent Body Surface Area (BSA) to Week 16	
•	Change From Baseline in Percent Body Surface Area (BSA) to Week 16

End point description:

Body surface area affected by AD was assessed for each section of the body (the possible highest score for each region was: head and neck [9%], anterior trunk [18%], back [18%], upper limbs [18%], lower limbs [36%], and genitals [1%]). It was reported as a percentage of all major body sections combined. Analysis was performed on FAS population. Here, number of subjects analyzed = subjects with available data for this endpoint.

End point type	Secondary
End point timeframe:	
Baseline to Week 16	

End point values	Placebo	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w	Dupilumab 300 mg qw	
Subject group type	Reporting group	Reporting group	Reporting group	
Number of subjects analysed	105	197	181	
Units: Percentage of Body Surface Area				
arithmetic mean (standard deviation)	-14.48 (± 17.81)	-31.69 (± 19.614)	-32.97 (± 20.4)	

Statistical analyses

Statistical analysis title	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w vs Placebo		
Statistical analysis description:			
Testing according to the hierarchical testing procedure (only performed if the previous endpoint was statistically significant).			
Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w v Placebo		

Number of subjects included in analysis	302
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority
P-value	< 0.0001 [23]
Method	ANCOVA
Parameter estimate	LS mean difference
Point estimate	-17.99
Confidence interval	
level	95 %
sides	2-sided
lower limit	-22.06
upper limit	-13.92

[23] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Statistical analysis title	Dupilumab 300 mg qw vs Placebo		
Statistical analysis description:			
Testing according to the hierarchical testing procedure (only performed if the previous endpoint was statistically significant).			
Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg qw v Placebo		
Number of subjects included in analysis	286		
Analysis specification	Pre-specified		
Analysis type	superiority		
P-value	< 0.0001 [24]		
Method	ANCOVA		
Parameter estimate	LS mean difference		
Point estimate	-19.51		
Confidence interval			
level	95 %		
sides	2-sided		
lower limit	-23.491		
upper limit	-15.529		

Notes:

[24] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Secondary: Percent Change From Baseline in SCORing Atopic Dermatitis (SCORAD) Score to Week 16

End point title	Percent Change From Baseline in SCORing Atopic Dermatitis
	(SCORAD) Score to Week 16

End point description:

SCORAD is a clinical tool for assessing the severity of atopic dermatitis developed by the European Task Force on Atopic Dermatitis (Severity scoring of atopic dermatitis: the SCORAD index. Consensus Report of the European Task Force on Atopic Dermatitis". Dermatology (Basel) 186 (1): 23–31. 1993). Extent and intensity of eczema as well as subjective signs (insomnia, etc.) are assessed and scored. Total score ranges from 0 [absent disease] to 103 [severe disease]). Analysis was performed on FAS population. Here, number of subjects analyzed = subjects with available data for this endpoint.

End point type	Secondary
End point timeframe:	
Baseline to Week 16	

End point values	Placebo	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w	Dupilumab 300 mg qw	
Subject group type	Reporting group	Reporting group	Reporting group	
Number of subjects analysed	105	193	178	
Units: Percent Change				
arithmetic mean (standard deviation)	-22.7 (± 25.48)	-53.5 (± 25.23)	-56 (± 25.53)	

Statistical analysis title	Dupilumab 300 mg qw vs Placebo		
Statistical analysis description:			
Testing according to the hierarchical testing procedure (only performed if the previous endpoint was statistically significant).			
Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg qw v Placebo		
Number of subjects included in analysis	283		
Analysis specification	Pre-specified		
Analysis type	superiority		
P-value	< 0.0001 [25]		
Method	ANCOVA		
Parameter estimate	LS mean difference		
Point estimate	-33.8		
Confidence interval			
level	95 %		
sides	2-sided		
lower limit	-39.75		
upper limit	-27.8		

Notes

 $\ensuremath{[25]}$ - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Statistical analysis title

Secondary: Change From Baseline in Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) to Week 16

End point title	Change From Baseline in Dermatology Life Quality Index
	(DLQI) to Week 16

End point description:

The DLQI is a 10-item, validated questionnaire used in clinical practice and clinical trials to assess the impact of AD disease symptoms and treatment on quality of life (QOL). The 10 questions assessed QOL over the past week, with an overall scoring of 0 to 30; a high score was indicative of a poor QOL. Analysis was performed on FAS population. Here, number of subjects analyzed = subjects with available data for this endpoint.

End point type	Secondary
End point timeframe:	
Baseline to Week 16	

End point values	Placebo	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w	Dupilumab 300 mg qw	
Subject group type	Reporting group	Reporting group	Reporting group	
Number of subjects analysed	105	197	181	
Units: units on a scale				
arithmetic mean (standard deviation)	-4 (± 5.75)	-9.7 (± 6.2)	-10.3 (± 6.75)	

Statistical analyses

Statistical analysis title	Dupilumab 300 mg qw vs Placebo
----------------------------	--------------------------------

Statistical analysis description:

Testing according to the hierarchical testing procedure (only performed if the previous endpoint was statistically significant).

Statistically Significantly.			
Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg qw v Placebo		
Number of subjects included in analysis	286		
Analysis specification	Pre-specified		
Analysis type	superiority		
P-value	< 0.0001 [27]		
Method	ANCOVA		
Parameter estimate	LS mean difference		
Point estimate	-5.9		
Confidence interval			
level	95 %		
sides	2-sided		
lower limit	-7.1		
upper limit	-4.72		

Notes:

[27] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Statistical analysis title	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w vs Placebo			
Statistical analysis description:				
Testing according to the hierarchical testing procedure (only performed if the previous endpoint was statistically significant).				
Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w v Placebo			

Number of subjects included in analysis	302
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority
P-value	< 0.0001 [28]
Method	ANCOVA
Parameter estimate	LS mean difference
Point estimate	-5.7
Confidence interval	
level	95 %
sides	2-sided
lower limit	-6.86
upper limit	-4.47

[28] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Secondary: Change From Baseline in Patient Oriented Eczema Measure (POEM) to Week 16

End point title	Change From Baseline in Patient Oriented Eczema Measure
	(POEM) to Week 16

End point description:

The POEM is a 7-item questionnaire that assesses disease symptoms (dryness, itching, flaking, cracking, sleep loss, bleeding and weeping) with a scoring system of 0 to 28 (high score indicative of poor quality of life [QOL]). Analysis was performed on FAS population. Here, number of subjects analyzed = subjects with available data for this endpoint.

End point type	Secondary
End point timeframe:	
Baseline to Week 16	

End point values	Placebo	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w	Dupilumab 300 mg qw	
Subject group type	Reporting group	Reporting group	Reporting group	
Number of subjects analysed	104	196	181	
Units: Units on a scael				
arithmetic mean (standard deviation)	-3.8 (± 6.07)	-10.7 (± 6.89)	-11.7 (± 7.13)	

Statistical analyses

Statistical analysis title	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w vs Placebo			
Statistical analysis description:				
Testing according to the hierarchical testing procedure (only performed if the previous endpoint was statistically significant).				
Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w v Placebo			

Number of subjects included in analysis	300	
Analysis specification	Pre-specified	
Analysis type	superiority	
P-value	< 0.0001 [29]	
Method	ANCOVA	
Parameter estimate	LS mean difference	
Point estimate	-7	
Confidence interval		
level	95 %	
sides	2-sided	
lower limit	-8.36	
upper limit	-5.57	

[29] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Statistical analysis title	Dupilumab 300 mg qw vs Placebo			
Statistical analysis description:				
Testing according to the hierarchical testing procedure (only performed if the previous endpoint was statistically significant).				
Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg qw v Placebo			
Number of subjects included in analysis	285			
Analysis specification	Pre-specified			
Analysis type	superiority			
P-value	< 0.0001 [30]			
Method	ANCOVA			
Parameter estimate	LS mean difference			
Point estimate	-8			
Confidence interval				
level	95 %			
sides	2-sided			
lower limit	-9.36			
upper limit	-6.64			

Notes:

[30] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Secondary: Change From Baseline in Hospital Anxiety Depression Scale (HADS) to Week 16

End point title	Change From Baseline in Hospital Anxiety Depression Scale
	(HADS) to Week 16

End point description:

The HADS is a fourteen item scale. Seven of the items relate to anxiety and seven relate to depression. Each item on the questionnaire is scored from 0-3 and this means that a person can score between 0 (no symptoms) and 21 (severe symptoms) for either anxiety or depression. Cut-offs for identifying psychiatric distress has been reported as 7 to 8 for possible presence, 10 to 11 for probable presence, and 14 to 15 for severe anxiety or depression. Analysis was performed on FAS population. Here, number of subjects analyzed = subjects with available data for this endpoint.

End point type	Secondary
End point timeframe:	
Baseline to Week 16	

End point values	Placebo	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w	Dupilumab 300 mg qw	
Subject group type	Reporting group	Reporting group	Reporting group	
Number of subjects analysed	103	191	175	
Units: units on a scale				
arithmetic mean (standard deviation)	-1 (± 4.44)	-5.2 (± 5.42)	-6.2 (± 6.01)	

Statistical analysis title	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w vs Placebo		
Statistical analysis description:			
Testing according to the hierarchical testing procedure (only performed if the previous endpoint was statistically significant).			
Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w v Placebo		
Number of subjects included in analysis	294		
Analysis specification	Pre-specified		
Analysis type	superiority		
P-value	< 0.0001 [31]		
Method	ANCOVA		
Parameter estimate	LS mean difference		
Point estimate	-4.2		
Confidence interval			
level	95 %		
sides	2-sided		
lower limit	-5.34		
upper limit	-3.09		

Notes:

 $\ensuremath{[31]}$ - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Statistical analysis title	Dupilumab 300 mg qw vs Placebo		
Statistical analysis description:			
Testing according to the hierarchical testing procedure (only performed if the previous endpoint was statistically significant).			
Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg qw v Placebo		
Number of subjects included in analysis	278		
Analysis specification	Pre-specified		
Analysis type	superiority		
P-value	< 0.0001 [32]		
Method	ANCOVA		
Parameter estimate	LS mean difference		
Point estimate	-4.9		
Confidence interval			
level	95 %		
sides	2-sided		
lower limit	-6.04		
upper limit	-3.81		

Notes:

[32] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Secondary: Percent Change From Baseline in Global Individual Signs Score (GISS) to Week 16

End point title	Percent Change From Baseline in Global Individual Signs Score
	(GISS) to Week 16

End point description:

Individual components of the AD lesions (erythema, infiltration/papulation, excoriations, and lichenification) were rated globally (each assessed for the whole body, not by anatomical region) on a 4-point scale (0 = none, 1 = mild, 2 = moderate and 3 = severe) using the EASI severity grading criteria. Analysis was performed on FAS population. Here, number of subjects analyzed = subjects with available data for this endpoint.

End point type	Secondary
End point timeframe:	
Baseline to Week 16	

End point values	Placebo	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w	Dupilumab 300 mg qw	
Subject group type	Reporting group	Reporting group	Reporting group	
Number of subjects analysed	105	197	181	
Units: Percent Change				
arithmetic mean (standard deviation)	-20.3 (± 25.03)	-47.5 (± 27)	-48.4 (± 27.29)	

Statistical analyses

Statistical analysis title	Dupilumab 300 mg qw vs Placebo
----------------------------	--------------------------------

Statistical analysis description:

Testing according to the hierarchical testing procedure (only performed if the previous endpoint was statistically significant).

Statistically Significantly.		
Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg qw v Placebo	
Number of subjects included in analysis	286	
Analysis specification	Pre-specified	
Analysis type	superiority	
P-value	< 0.0001 [33]	
Method	ANCOVA	
Parameter estimate	LS mean difference	
Point estimate	-28.9	
Confidence interval		
level	95 %	
sides	2-sided	
lower limit	-35.03	
upper limit	-22.74	

Notes:

[33] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Statistical analysis title	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w vs Placebo

Statistical analysis description:

Testing according to the hierarchical testing procedure (only performed if the previous endpoint was statistically significant).

Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w v Placebo
Number of subjects included in analysis	302
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority
P-value	< 0.0001 [34]
Method	ANCOVA
Parameter estimate	LS mean difference
Point estimate	-27.7
Confidence interval	
level	95 %
sides	2-sided
lower limit	-33.73
upper limit	-21.7

[34] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Secondary: Percent Change from Baseline in Peak Daily Pruritus NRS Score to Week 2

2	
End point title	Percent Change from Baseline in Peak Daily Pruritus NRS Score to Week 2
End point description:	
Analysis was performed on FAS population data for this endpoint.	on. Here, number of subjects analyzed = subjects with available
End point type Secondary	
End point timeframe:	
Baseline to Week 2	

End point values	Placebo	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w	Dupilumab 300 mg qw	
Subject group type	Reporting group	Reporting group	Reporting group	
Number of subjects analysed	223	224	229	
Units: percent change				
arithmetic mean (standard deviation)	-6.3 (± 21.91)	-24.1 (± 21.22)	-21.2 (± 24.96)	

Statistical analyses

Statistical analysis title Dupilumab 300 mg q2w vs Placebo			
Statistical analysis description:			
Testing according to the hierarchical testing procedure (only performed if the previous endpoint was statistically significant).			
Comparison groups Dupilumab 300 mg q2w v Placebo			

Number of subjects included in analysis	447
Analysis specification	Pre-specified
Analysis type	superiority
P-value	< 0.0001 [35]
Method	ANCOVA
Parameter estimate	LS mean difference
Point estimate	-17.7
Confidence interval	
level	95 %
sides	2-sided
lower limit	-21.96
upper limit	-13.53

[35] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Statistical analysis title	Dupilumab 300 mg qw vs Placebo	
Statistical analysis description:		
Testing according to the hierarchical testing procedure (only performed if the previous endpoint was statistically significant).		
Comparison groups	Dupilumab 300 mg qw v Placebo	
Number of subjects included in analysis	452	
Analysis specification	Pre-specified	
Analysis type	superiority	
P-value	< 0.0001 [36]	
Method	ANCOVA	
Parameter estimate	LS mean difference	
Point estimate	-15	
Confidence interval		
level	95 %	
sides	2-sided	
lower limit	-19.16	
upper limit	-10.78	

Notes:

[36] - Threshold for significance at 0.025 level.

Secondary: Percentage of Subjects With Skin Infection Treatment Emergent Adverse Events (TEAEs) Requiring Systemic Treatment From Baseline Through Week 16 End point title Percentage of Subjects With Skin Infection Treatment

End point title	Percentage of Subjects With Skin Infection Treatment
	Emergent Adverse Events (TEAEs) Requiring Systemic
	Treatment From Baseline Through Week 16
_	

End point description:

Analysis was performed on safety analysis set (SAF) which included all randomized subjects who received any study drug and analyzed based on the treatment received. Statistical significance in the hierarchical testing of secondary hypotheses was broken at this endpoint; therefore, subsequent secondary efficacy endpoints were not tested for statistical significance.

End point type	Secondary
End point timeframe:	
Baseline up to Week 16	

End point values	Placebo	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w	Dupilumab 300 mg qw	
Subject group type	Subject analysis set	Subject analysis set	Subject analysis set	
Number of subjects analysed	234	236	237	
Units: Percentage of Subjects				
number (not applicable)	0	0	0	

No statistical analyses for this end point

Secondary: Percentage of Subjects With Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events (TESAEs) From Baseline Through Week 16

Lvents (TLSALS) Trom baseling	e illiougii week 10			
End point title	Percentage of Subjects With Treatment-Emergent Serious Adverse Events (TESAEs) From Baseline Through Week 16			
End point description:				
Analysis was performed on safety ana received any study drug and analyzed	lysis set (SAF) which included all randomized subjects who based on the treatment received.			
End point type	Secondary			
End point timeframe:				
Baseline up to Week 16				

End point values	Placebo	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w	Dupilumab 300 mg qw	
Subject group type	Subject analysis set	Subject analysis set	Subject analysis set	
Number of subjects analysed	234	236	237	
Units: Percentage of Subjects				
number (not applicable)	5.6	1.7	3.4	

Statistical analyses

No statistical analyses for this end point

Secondary: Percentage of Subjects with Treatment Emergent Adverse Events (TEAEs) Leading to Treatment Discontinuation from Baseline Through Week 16

End point title	Percentage of Subjects with Treatment Emergent Adverse
	Events (TEAEs) Leading to Treatment Discontinuation from
	Baseline Through Week 16

End point description:

Analysis was performed on safety analysis set (SAF) which included all randomized subjects who received any study drug and analyzed based on the treatment received.

received any study and analyzed based on the treatment received.		
End point type	Secondary	
End point timeframe:		
Baseline up to Week 16		

End point values	Placebo	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w	Dupilumab 300 mg qw	
Subject group type	Subject analysis set	Subject analysis set	Subject analysis set	
Number of subjects analysed	234	236	237	
Units: Percentage of Subjects				
number (not applicable)	2.1	0.8	1.3	

No statistical analyses for this end point

Adverse events

Adverse events information

Timeframe for reporting adverse events:

All Adverse Events (AE) were collected from signature of the informed consent form up to the final visit (Week 28) regardless of seriousness or relationship to investigational product

Adverse event reporting additional description:

Reported adverse events are treatment emergent adverse events that developed/worsened during the 'on-treatment period' (including the 16 week treatment period).

Assessment type	Systematic	
Dictionary used		
Dictionary name	MedDRA	
Dictionary version	18.0	
Reporting groups		
Reporting group title	Placebo	

Reporting group description:

Subjects exposed to Placebo (for Dupilumab) for 16 weeks (mean exposure of 14 weeks).

Reporting group title Dupilumab 300 mg qw

Reporting group description:

Subjects exposed to Dupilumab 300 mg qw for 16 weeks (mean exposure of 15 weeks).

Reporting group title Dupilumab 300 mg q2w

Reporting group description:

Subjects exposed to Dupilumab 300 mg alternating with placebo qw for 16 weeks (mean exposure of 15 weeks).

Serious adverse events	Placebo	Dupilumab 300 mg qw	Dupilumab 300 mg q2w
Total subjects affected by serious adverse events			
subjects affected / exposed	16 / 234 (6.84%)	9 / 237 (3.80%)	6 / 236 (2.54%)
number of deaths (all causes)	0	1	3
number of deaths resulting from adverse events			
Neoplasms benign, malignant and unspecified (incl cysts and polyps)			
Hodgkin's disease			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 234 (0.00%)	1 / 237 (0.42%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 1	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Malignant melanoma in situ			
subjects affected / exposed	1 / 234 (0.43%)	0 / 237 (0.00%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 1	0 / 0	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0/0
Pregnancy, puerperium and perinatal conditions			

Abortion spontaneous			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 234 (0.00%)	1 / 237 (0.42%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	1 / 1	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders			
Respiratory failure			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 234 (0.00%)	0 / 237 (0.00%)	1 / 236 (0.42%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 1
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 1
Asthma			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 234 (0.00%)	0 / 237 (0.00%)	1 / 236 (0.42%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 1
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 1
Psychiatric disorders			
Completed suicide			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 234 (0.00%)	1 / 237 (0.42%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 1	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 1	0 / 0
Confusional state			
subjects affected / exposed	1 / 234 (0.43%)	0 / 237 (0.00%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 1	0 / 0	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Delirium			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 234 (0.00%)	1 / 237 (0.42%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 1	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Mental status changes			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 234 (0.00%)	1 / 237 (0.42%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 1	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Psychotic disorder subjects affected / exposed	1 / 234 (0 420/)	0 / 237 (0 000/ \	0 / 236 /0 000/ \
	1 / 234 (0.43%)	0 / 237 (0.00%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 1	0 / 0	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0/0	0 / 0	0 / 0

	1		
Suicidal ideation			
subjects affected / exposed	1 / 234 (0.43%)	0 / 237 (0.00%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	1/2	0 / 0	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Schizophrenia, paranoid type			
subjects affected / exposed	1 / 234 (0.43%)	0 / 237 (0.00%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 1	0 / 0	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Suicide attempt			
subjects affected / exposed	1 / 234 (0.43%)	0 / 237 (0.00%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 2	0 / 0	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Injury, poisoning and procedural complications			
Concussion			
subjects affected / exposed	1 / 234 (0.43%)	0 / 237 (0.00%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 1	0 / 0	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Ligament sprain			
subjects affected / exposed	1 / 234 (0.43%)	0 / 237 (0.00%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 1	0 / 0	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0/0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Fall			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 234 (0.00%)	0 / 237 (0.00%)	1 / 236 (0.42%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 1
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0/0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Radius fracture			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 234 (0.00%)	0 / 237 (0.00%)	1 / 236 (0.42%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 1
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0/0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Cardiac disorders			
Acute myocardial infarction			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 234 (0.00%)	1 / 237 (0.42%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0/0	0 / 1	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all			0 / 0

Cardiac failure congestive			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 234 (0.00%)	1 / 237 (0.42%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0/0	0 / 1	0/0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0/0
Nervous system disorders			
Cerebrovascular accident			
subjects affected / exposed	1 / 234 (0.43%)	0 / 237 (0.00%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 1	0 / 0	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Headache			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 234 (0.00%)	0 / 237 (0.00%)	1 / 236 (0.42%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 1
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Hypoxic-Ischaemic encephalopathy			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 234 (0.00%)	0 / 237 (0.00%)	1 / 236 (0.42%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 1
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 1
Subarachnoid haemorrhage			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 234 (0.00%)	0 / 237 (0.00%)	1 / 236 (0.42%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 1
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Blood and lymphatic system disorders			
Thrombocytopenia			
subjects affected / exposed	1 / 234 (0.43%)	0 / 237 (0.00%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 1	0 / 0	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Eye disorders			
Angle closure glaucoma			
subjects affected / exposed	1 / 234 (0.43%)	0 / 237 (0.00%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 1	0 / 0	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Gastrointestinal disorders			
Abdominal pain			

	_	_	
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 234 (0.00%)	1 / 237 (0.42%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 1	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Colonic pseudo-obstruction			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 234 (0.00%)	1 / 237 (0.42%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 1	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders			
Dermatitis atopic			
subjects affected / exposed	6 / 234 (2.56%)	1 / 237 (0.42%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 8	1 / 1	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Dermatitis exfoliative			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 234 (0.00%)	0 / 237 (0.00%)	1 / 236 (0.42%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 1
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Renal and urinary disorders			
Acute kidney injury			
subjects affected / exposed	2 / 234 (0.85%)	0 / 237 (0.00%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 2	0 / 0	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders			
Bursitis			
subjects affected / exposed	1 / 234 (0.43%)	0 / 237 (0.00%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 1	0 / 0	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Infections and infestations			
Cellulitis			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 234 (0.00%)	1 / 237 (0.42%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 1	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0/0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Endocarditis bacterial			

subjects affected / exposed	1 / 234 (0.43%)	0 / 237 (0.00%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 1	0/0	0/0
deaths causally related to			
treatment / all	0/0	0 / 0	0/0
Erysipelas			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 234 (0.00%)	1 / 237 (0.42%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 1	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Pyelonephritis			
subjects affected / exposed	0 / 234 (0.00%)	0 / 237 (0.00%)	1 / 236 (0.42%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 1
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Sepsis			
subjects affected / exposed	2 / 234 (0.85%)	0 / 237 (0.00%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 2	0 / 0	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Septic embolus			
subjects affected / exposed	1 / 234 (0.43%)	0 / 237 (0.00%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 1	0 / 0	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Skin infection			
subjects affected / exposed	1 / 234 (0.43%)	0 / 237 (0.00%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 1	0 / 0	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Metabolism and nutrition disorders			
Tetany			
subjects affected / exposed	1 / 234 (0.43%)	0 / 237 (0.00%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 1	0 / 0	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0
Failure to thrive			
subjects affected / exposed	1 / 234 (0.43%)	0 / 237 (0.00%)	0 / 236 (0.00%)
occurrences causally related to treatment / all	0 / 1	0 / 0	0 / 0
deaths causally related to treatment / all	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0

Frequency threshold for reporting non-serious adverse events: 5 %

Non-serious adverse events	Placebo	Dupilumab 300 mg	Dupilumab 300 mg g2w
Total subjects affected by non-serious		qw	qzw
adverse events subjects affected / exposed	100 / 234 (46 58%)	90 / 237 (37.97%)	84 / 236 (35.59%)
Nervous system disorders	109 / 254 (40.56 /0)	90 / 237 (37.97 70)	04 / 230 (33.33 /0)
Headache			
subjects affected / exposed	12 / 234 (5.13%)	23 / 237 (9.70%)	18 / 236 (7.63%)
occurrences (all)	20	50	29
General disorders and administration site conditions			
Injection site reaction			
subjects affected / exposed	15 / 234 (6.41%)	31 / 237 (13.08%)	32 / 236 (13.56%)
occurrences (all)	17	84	58
Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders			
Dermatitis atopic			
subjects affected / exposed	82 / 234 (35.04%)	39 / 237 (16.46%)	34 / 236 (14.41%)
occurrences (all)	136	49	39
Infections and infestations			
Nasopharyngitis			
subjects affected / exposed	25 / 234 (10.68%)	22 / 237 (9.28%)	23 / 236 (9.75%)
occurrences (all)	26	26	25

More information

Substantial protocol amendments (globally)

Were there any global substantial amendments to the protocol? Yes

Date	Amendment
23 October 2014	-Clarified that the required period for application of emollients prior to randomization was at least the 7 consecutive days immediately before randomizationAdded positive hepatitis B core antibody as an exclusion criterion in response to a health authority requestClarified that the first step of rescue treatment should be limited to topical medications if possibleModified the list of medications leading to temporary or permanent discontinuation of study drug, and added possible resumption of study drug treatment after the medication leading to discontinuation was stoppedRevised the list of prohibited medications, and the study periods in which they were prohibitedModified the frequency for subject self-assessment of pruritusSpecified that fasting was recommended but not mandatory prior to collecting samples for laboratory testingAllowed retesting for bilirubin and creatine phosphokinase.
01 February 2015	-Clarified that emollients should not be applied to areas of non-lesional designated for assessment of skin dryness for at least 8 hours before each clinic visit Changed the terminology for the European reference marketReorganized the secondary endpoints into "Key" and "Other" categoriesRevised the definition of the Full Analysis Set, and added the Per Protocol SetAdded description of methods for missing data imputation, and for data analysis for continuous secondary endpoints to be used in US and US reference market countriesAdded an inclusion criterion requiring a subject to have a baseline Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (NRS) score ≥3 for weekly average of peak daily pruritus to be eligible to enroll in the studyClarified that non-invasive skin swabs were included in a sub-study that might be conducted at selected sitesAdded a potential use for research samples: to study biomarkers that might had predictive utility for response to dupilumab treatmentClarified that samples for exploratory biomarker testing might had been bankedClarified that assessment of "Other" endpoints through Week 16 would include both absolute and percent changesFor the primary efficacy analysis, added a sensitivity analysis using the Cochran-Mantel Haenszel adjusted by randomization strata on observed values, regardless of rescue medication use or missing values.

Notes:

Interruptions (globally)

Were there any global interruptions to the trial? No

Limitations and caveats

None reported

Online references

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27690741