



Clinical trial results:

An International, Multicentre, Parallel-group, Randomised, Double-blind, Placebo-controlled, Phase III Study Evaluating the effect of Dapagliflozin on Exercise Capacity in Heart Failure Patients with Preserved Ejection Fraction (HFpEF)

Summary

| | |
|--------------------------|----------------|
| EudraCT number | 2018-003441-42 |
| Trial protocol | SE DK SK BG IT |
| Global end of trial date | 09 July 2020 |

Results information

| | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|
| Result version number | v1 (current) |
| This version publication date | 22 July 2021 |
| First version publication date | 22 July 2021 |

Trial information

Trial identification

| | |
|-----------------------|-------------|
| Sponsor protocol code | D169EC00001 |
|-----------------------|-------------|

Additional study identifiers

| | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|
| ISRCTN number | - |
| ClinicalTrials.gov id (NCT number) | NCT03877224 |
| WHO universal trial number (UTN) | - |

Notes:

Sponsors

| | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Sponsor organisation name | AstraZeneca |
| Sponsor organisation address | Forskargatan 18, Södertälje, Sweden, 151 85 |
| Public contact | Global Clinical Lead, AstraZeneca, +1 877-240-9479, information.center@astrazeneca.com |
| Scientific contact | Global Clinical Lead, AstraZeneca, +1 877-240-9479, information.center@astrazeneca.com |

Notes:

Paediatric regulatory details

| | |
|--|----|
| Is trial part of an agreed paediatric investigation plan (PIP) | No |
| Does article 45 of REGULATION (EC) No 1901/2006 apply to this trial? | No |
| Does article 46 of REGULATION (EC) No 1901/2006 apply to this trial? | No |

Notes:

Results analysis stage

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| Analysis stage | Final |
| Date of interim/final analysis | 02 October 2020 |
| Is this the analysis of the primary completion data? | Yes |
| Primary completion date | 09 July 2020 |
| Global end of trial reached? | Yes |
| Global end of trial date | 09 July 2020 |
| Was the trial ended prematurely? | No |

Notes:

General information about the trial

Main objective of the trial:

To determine whether dapagliflozin is superior to placebo in patients with chronic HF NYHA Functional Class II-IV and preserved ejection fraction (LVEF>40%) [HFpEF] in: • reducing patient-reported HF symptoms • reducing patient-reported physical limitation • improving exercise capacity

Protection of trial subjects:

This study will be conducted in accordance with the protocol and with the following: 1) Consensus ethical principles derived from international guidelines including the Declaration of Helsinki and Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS) International Ethical Guidelines 2) Applicable International Council for Harmonisation (ICH) Good Clinical Practice (GCP) Guidelines 3) Applicable laws and regulations. The protocol, protocol amendments, ICF, Investigator Brochure, and other relevant documents (eg, advertisements) must be submitted to an IRB/IEC by the Investigator and reviewed and approved by the IRB/IEC before the study is initiated. Any amendments to the protocol will require IRB/IEC approval before implementation of changes made to the study design, except for changes necessary to eliminate an immediate hazard to study subjects.

Background therapy:

All HFpEF patients were treated according to locally recognised guidelines on standard of care treatment with both drugs and devices, as appropriate. Guideline-recommended medications were used at recommended doses unless contraindicated or not tolerated. Therapy have been individually optimised and stable for ≥ 4 weeks before Visit 1 (this does not apply to diuretics) and include (unless contraindicated or not tolerated) treatment of co-morbidities (including high blood pressure, ischaemic heart disease, atrial fibrillation/flutter). Optimised and stable treatment applies also past Visit 1 and until patient is randomised.

Evidence for comparator:

Comparator was placebo

| | |
|---|---------------|
| Actual start date of recruitment | 04 April 2019 |
| Long term follow-up planned | No |
| Independent data monitoring committee (IDMC) involvement? | No |

Notes:

Population of trial subjects

Subjects enrolled per country

| | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | Argentina: 23 |
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | Brazil: 26 |
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | Bulgaria: 32 |
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | Canada: 59 |
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | Denmark: 43 |
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | Italy: 26 |
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | Japan: 53 |
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | Korea, Republic of: 25 |
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | Slovakia: 58 |

| | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | South Africa: 23 |
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | Sweden: 37 |
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | United States: 99 |
| Worldwide total number of subjects | 504 |
| EEA total number of subjects | 196 |

Notes:

| Subjects enrolled per age group | |
|---|-----|
| In utero | 0 |
| Preterm newborn - gestational age < 37 wk | 0 |
| Newborns (0-27 days) | 0 |
| Infants and toddlers (28 days-23 months) | 0 |
| Children (2-11 years) | 0 |
| Adolescents (12-17 years) | 0 |
| Adults (18-64 years) | 102 |
| From 65 to 84 years | 371 |
| 85 years and over | 31 |

Subject disposition

Recruitment

Recruitment details:

A total of 504 patients were randomised in this international, multi-center study which was conducted at 102 centers in 12 countries between 04 April 2019 and 09 July 2020.

Pre-assignment

Screening details:

In DETERMINE-preserved, at the first visit, i.e. the enrollment visit 1, patients were evaluated regarding the protocol mandated inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Period 1

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Period 1 title | Overall Study (overall period) |
| Is this the baseline period? | Yes |
| Allocation method | Randomised - controlled |
| Blinding used | Double blind |
| Roles blinded | Subject, Investigator, Monitor, Carer, Data analyst, Assessor |

Arms

| | |
|------------------------------|------------|
| Are arms mutually exclusive? | Yes |
| Arm title | Dapa 10 mg |

Arm description:

Dapagliflozin 10 mg, given once daily per oral use

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Arm type | Experimental |
| Investigational medicinal product name | Dapagliflozin |
| Investigational medicinal product code | |
| Other name | |
| Pharmaceutical forms | Tablet |
| Routes of administration | Oral use |

Dosage and administration details:

Dapagliflozin 10 mg tablets administered orally once daily

| | |
|------------------|---------|
| Arm title | Placebo |
|------------------|---------|

Arm description:

Placebo tablet to match dapagliflozin 10 mg, given once daily per oral use

| | |
|--|----------|
| Arm type | Placebo |
| Investigational medicinal product name | Placebo |
| Investigational medicinal product code | |
| Other name | |
| Pharmaceutical forms | Tablet |
| Routes of administration | Oral use |

Dosage and administration details:

Matching placebo for dapagliflozin 10 mg administered orally once daily

| Number of subjects in period 1 | Dapa 10 mg | Placebo |
|--|------------|---------|
| Started | 253 | 251 |
| Completed | 248 | 243 |
| Not completed | 5 | 8 |
| Adverse event, serious fatal | 3 | 2 |
| Consent withdrawn by subject | 1 | 6 |
| Patient is alive, just unable to come for visits | 1 | - |

Baseline characteristics

Reporting groups

| | |
|--|------------|
| Reporting group title | Dapa 10 mg |
| Reporting group description: Dapagliflozin 10 mg, given once daily per oral use | |
| Reporting group title | Placebo |
| Reporting group description: Placebo tablet to match dapagliflozin 10 mg, given once daily per oral use | |

| Reporting group values | Dapa 10 mg | Placebo | Total |
|---|------------|---------|-------|
| Number of subjects | 253 | 251 | 504 |
| Age categorical Units: Subjects | | | |
| Adults (18-64 years) | 50 | 52 | 102 |
| Elderly (From 65-84 years) | 187 | 184 | 371 |
| Elderly 85 years and over | 16 | 15 | 31 |
| Age Continuous Units: Years | | | |
| arithmetic mean | 72.0 | 71.7 | |
| standard deviation | ± 9.1 | ± 9.7 | - |
| Sex: Female, Male Units: Subjects | | | |
| Male | 162 | 158 | 320 |
| Female | 91 | 93 | 184 |
| Ethnicity (NIH/OMB) Units: Subjects | | | |
| Hispanic/Latino | 28 | 31 | 59 |
| Not Hispanic/Not Latino | 225 | 220 | 445 |
| Race/Ethnicity, Customized Units: Subjects | | | |
| White | 192 | 178 | 370 |
| Black or African American | 17 | 17 | 34 |
| Asian | 36 | 50 | 86 |
| Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Other | 7 | 6 | 13 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Subject analysis sets

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| Subject analysis set title | Full analysis set |
| Subject analysis set type | Full analysis |
| Subject analysis set description: All patients that were randomised, regardless of whether treated or not. | |

| | | | |
|---|-------------------|--|--|
| Reporting group values | Full analysis set | | |
| Number of subjects | 504 | | |
| Age categorical Units: Subjects | | | |
| Adults (18-64 years) | 102 | | |
| Elderly (From 65-84 years) | 371 | | |
| Elderly 85 years and over | 31 | | |
| Age Continuous Units: Years arithmetic mean standard deviation | \pm | | |
| Sex: Female, Male Units: Subjects | | | |
| Male | | | |
| Female | | | |
| Ethnicity (NIH/OMB) Units: Subjects | | | |
| Hispanic/Latino | | | |
| Not Hispanic/Not Latino | | | |
| Race/Ethnicity, Customized Units: Subjects | | | |
| White | | | |
| Black or African American | | | |
| Asian | | | |
| Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander | | | |
| Other | | | |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | | | |

End points

End points reporting groups

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| Reporting group title | Dapa 10 mg |
| Reporting group description: Dapagliflozin 10 mg, given once daily per oral use | |
| Reporting group title | Placebo |
| Reporting group description: Placebo tablet to match dapagliflozin 10 mg, given once daily per oral use | |
| Subject analysis set title | Full analysis set |
| Subject analysis set type | Full analysis |
| Subject analysis set description: All patients that were randomised, regardless of whether treated or not. | |

Primary: Change from baseline in Kansas-City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire-Total Symptom Score (KCCQ-TSS) at week 16 (higher scores represent less HF symptom frequency and burden)

| | |
|--|---|
| End point title | Change from baseline in Kansas-City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire-Total Symptom Score (KCCQ-TSS) at week 16 (higher scores represent less HF symptom frequency and burden) |
| End point description: Change from baseline in KCCQ-TSS was defined as the endpoint value at week 16 minus the baseline value. KCCQ is a 23-item, self-administered instrument that quantifies physical function, symptoms (frequency, severity and recent change), social function, self-efficacy and knowledge, and quality of life. KCCQ-TSS incorporates symptom frequency (4 items) and symptom burden (3 items) domains into a single score. The score is transformed to a range of 0-100 (higher score reflects better health status). Baseline value is the last value on or prior to the randomisation visit. Deaths are treated as the worst outcome and ordering among deaths is based on last value while alive. In rank ANCOVA and HL estimation, multiple imputation was performed on missing values for patients alive at the week 16 visit but without KCCQ-TSS values. All the data for the endpoint, except for death, collected during COVID-19, are set as missing and imputed same way as pre-COVID-19 missing data. | |
| End point type | Primary |
| End point timeframe: At baseline and at week 16 or death before week 16 | |

| End point values | Dapa 10 mg | Placebo | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 253 | 251 | | |
| Units: Score on a scale | | | | |
| median (inter-quartile range (Q1-Q3)) | | | | |
| Change from baseline at week 16 | 5.21 (-3.13 to 12.50) | 1.04 (-5.73 to 15.10) | | |

Statistical analyses

| | |
|--|--|
| Statistical analysis title | HL estimate of treatment diff. and rank ANCOVA |
| Statistical analysis description: Rank ANCOVA model is used to analyse ranked data based on the hierarchical composite rank-based | |

endpoint. The model includes baseline rank of outcome variable and weeks impacted by COVID-19 as covariates, treatment group as a factor, and is stratified by T2DM status at randomisation.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Dapa 10 mg v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 504 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | superiority ^[1] |
| P-value | = 0.07905 ^[2] |
| Method | Rank ANCOVA |
| Parameter estimate | Hodges-Lehmann median diff. vs placebo |
| Point estimate | 3.16 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 0.36 |
| upper limit | 6.01 |

Notes:

[1] - For the primary efficacy endpoint KCCQ-TSS, the following hypothesis was tested using the significance level 0.04990 • H0: $m(r(A)) = m(r(C))$ versus • H1: $m(r(A)) \neq m(r(C))$ Where H0 and H1 are the null and alternative hypotheses, respectively, and $m(r(A))$ and $m(r(C))$ represent the median of the ranked changes in the primary efficacy endpoint, KCCQ-TSS, from baseline to week 16, among patients receiving dapagliflozin (Active) and placebo (Control) treatment, respectively.

[2] - To account for multiplicity, a pre-specified testing strategy was followed to control the overall type I error rate.

Primary: Change from baseline in Kansas-City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire-Physical Limitation Score (KCCQ-PLS) at week 16 (higher scores represent less physical limitation due to HF)

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| End point title | Change from baseline in Kansas-City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire-Physical Limitation Score (KCCQ-PLS) at week 16 (higher scores represent less physical limitation due to HF) |
|-----------------|---|

End point description:

Change from baseline in KCCQ-PLS was defined as the endpoint value at week 16 minus the baseline value. KCCQ is a 23-item, self-administered instrument that quantifies physical function, symptoms (frequency, severity and recent change), social function, self-efficacy and knowledge, and quality of life. KCCQ-PLS incorporates 6 physical limitation items into a single score. The score is transformed to a range of 0-100 (higher score reflects better health status). Baseline value is the last value on or prior to the randomisation visit. Deaths are treated as the worst outcome and ordering among deaths is based on last value while alive. In rank ANCOVA and HL estimation, multiple imputation was performed on missing values for patients who were alive at week 16 visit but without KCCQ-PLS values. All the data for the endpoint, except for death, collected during COVID-19, are set as missing and imputed same way as pre-COVID-19 missing data.

| | |
|----------------|---------|
| End point type | Primary |
|----------------|---------|

End point timeframe:

At baseline and at week 16 or death before week 16

| End point values | Dapa 10 mg | Placebo | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 250 | 250 | | |
| Units: Score on a scale | | | | |
| median (inter-quartile range (Q1-Q3)) | | | | |
| Change from baseline at week 16 | 0.00 (-4.17 to 12.50) | 0.00 (-8.33 to 12.50) | | |

Statistical analyses

| | |
|--|--|
| Statistical analysis title | HL estimate of treatment diff. and rank ANCOVA |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Rank ANCOVA model is used to analyse ranked data based on the hierarchical composite rank-based endpoint. Model includes baseline rank of outcome variable and weeks impacted by COVID-19 as covariates, treatment group as a factor, and is stratified by T2DM status at randomisation. | |
| Comparison groups | Dapa 10 mg v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 500 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | superiority ^[3] |
| P-value | = 0.23215 ^[4] |
| Method | Rank ANCOVA |
| Parameter estimate | Hodges-Lehmann median diff. vs placebo |
| Point estimate | 3.12 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -0.09 |
| upper limit | 5.37 |

Notes:

[3] - For the primary efficacy endpoint KCCQ-PLS, the following hypothesis was tested at significant level of 0.00005 • H0: $m(r(A)) = m(r(C))$ versus • H1: $m(r(A)) \neq m(r(C))$ Where H0 and H1 are the null and alternative hypotheses, respectively, and $m(r(A))$ and $m(r(C))$ represent the median of the ranked changes in the primary efficacy endpoint, KCCQ-PLS, from baseline to week 16, among patients receiving dapagliflozin (Active) and placebo (Control) treatment, respectively.

[4] - To account for multiplicity, a pre-specified testing strategy was followed to control the overall type I error rate.

Primary: Change from baseline in 6-minute walk distance (6MWD) at week 16 (larger distances represent better functional capacity)

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| End point title | Change from baseline in 6-minute walk distance (6MWD) at week 16 (larger distances represent better functional capacity) |
|-----------------|--|

End point description:

Change from baseline in 6-minute walk distance (6MWD) (exercise capacity) at week 16 was defined as the distance walked in 6 minutes at week 16 minus the baseline value. Baseline value is the last value on or prior to the randomisation visit. Deaths are treated as the worst outcome and ordering among deaths is based on last value while alive. In rank ANCOVA and HL estimation, multiple imputation was performed on missing values for patients who were alive at the visit at week 16 but did not have 6MWD values.

| | |
|----------------|---------|
| End point type | Primary |
|----------------|---------|

End point timeframe:

At baseline and at week 16 or death before week 16

| End point values | Dapa 10 mg | Placebo | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 253 | 251 | | |
| Units: meters | | | | |
| median (inter-quartile range (Q1-Q3)) | | | | |
| Change from baseline at week 16 | 9.0 (-15.0 to 37.0) | 8.5 (-14.5 to 35.5) | | |

Statistical analyses

| Statistical analysis title | HL estimate of treatment diff. and rank ANCOVA |
|--|--|
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Rank ANCOVA model is used to analyse ranked data based on the hierarchical composite rank-based endpoint. The model includes baseline rank of outcome variable as a covariate, treatment group as a factor, and is stratified by T2DM status at randomisation. | |
| Comparison groups | Dapa 10 mg v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 504 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | superiority ^[5] |
| P-value | = 0.66801 ^[6] |
| Method | Rank ANCOVA |
| Parameter estimate | Hodges-Lehmann median diff. vs placebo |
| Point estimate | 1.6 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -5.9 |
| upper limit | 9 |

Notes:

[5] - For the primary efficacy endpoint 6MWD, the following hypothesis was tested using the significance level 0.00005: H0: $m(r(A)) = m(r(C))$ versus H1: $m(r(A)) \neq m(r(C))$ Where H0 and H1 are the null and alternative hypotheses, respectively, and $m(r(A))$ and $m(r(C))$ represent the median of the ranked changes in the primary efficacy endpoint, 6MWD, from baseline to week 16, among patients receiving dapagliflozin (Active) and placebo (Control) treatment, respectively.

[6] - To account for multiplicity, a pre-specified testing strategy was followed to control the overall type I error rate.

Secondary: Change from baseline at the end of the study in the total time spent in light to vigorous physical activity, as assessed using a wearable activity monitor (accelerometer).

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| End point title | Change from baseline at the end of the study in the total time spent in light to vigorous physical activity, as assessed using a wearable activity monitor (accelerometer). |
|-----------------|---|

End point description:

Change from baseline at the end of the study in total time spent in light to vigorous physical activity (LVPA), as assessed using a wearable activity monitor, was defined as the total time [per day] spent in LVPA at the end of the study minus the baseline value. Baseline is the 7 day period starting on the day of enrolment and ending before randomisation. End of study is defined as the period starting on the day of week 14 and prior to the week 16 visit. Deaths are treated as the worst outcome and ordering among deaths is based on last value while alive.

| | |
|----------------|-----------|
| End point type | Secondary |
|----------------|-----------|

End point timeframe:

At baseline and at end of study or death before week 16.

| End point values | Dapa 10 mg | Placebo | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 67 ^[7] | 71 ^[8] | | |
| Units: hours | | | | |
| median (inter-quartile range (Q1-Q3)) | | | | |
| Change from baseline at the end of the study | -0.06 (-0.63 to 0.44) | -0.07 (-0.67 to 0.13) | | |

Notes:

[7] - 165 patients from device-sites had wearable activity monitor data collected in Dapa 10mg group

[8] - 155 patients from device-sites had wearable activity monitor data collected in Placebo group

Statistical analyses

| Statistical analysis title | HL estimate of treatment diff. and rank ANCOVA |
|----------------------------|--|
|----------------------------|--|

Statistical analysis description:

Rank ANCOVA model is used to analyse ranked data based on the hierarchical composite rank-based endpoint. Model includes baseline rank of outcome variable as a covariate, treatment group as a factor, and is stratified by T2DM status at randomisation.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Dapa 10 mg v Placebo |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 138 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | superiority ^[9] |
| P-value | = 0.12523 ^[10] |
| Method | Rank ANCOVA |
| Parameter estimate | Hodges-Lehmann median diff. vs placebo |
| Point estimate | 0.19 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -0.06 |
| upper limit | 0.48 |

Notes:

[9] - For the secondary efficacy endpoint, total time spent in LVPA, the testing hypothesis is • H0: $m(r(A)) = m(r(C))$ versus • H1: $m(r(A)) \neq m(r(C))$ Where H0 and H1 are the null and alternative hypotheses, respectively, and $m(r(A))$ and $m(r(C))$ represent the median of the ranked changes in secondary efficacy endpoint, total time spent in LVPA, from baseline to End of study among patients receiving dapagliflozin (Active) and placebo (Control) treatment, respectively.

[10] - Total time spent in LVPA was not tested for statistical significance and the p-value is considered nominal because the test for 6MWD was not statistically significant.

Adverse events

Adverse events information^[1]

Timeframe for reporting adverse events:

Includes data collected on or after date of first dose and up to (including) 30 days following last dose of randomised study drug, and no later than visit 5 (up to day 119). Deaths collected on or after first dose of randomised study drug, up to 119 days.

Adverse event reporting additional description:

For analysis of Adverse Events Safety analysis set is used. Safety analysis set: All randomised participants who received at least one dose of study drug.

| | |
|-----------------|------------|
| Assessment type | Systematic |
|-----------------|------------|

Dictionary used

| | |
|-----------------|--------|
| Dictionary name | MedDRA |
|-----------------|--------|

| | |
|--------------------|------|
| Dictionary version | 23.0 |
|--------------------|------|

Reporting groups

| | |
|-----------------------|---------|
| Reporting group title | Placebo |
|-----------------------|---------|

Reporting group description: -

| | |
|-----------------------|------------|
| Reporting group title | Dapa 10 mg |
|-----------------------|------------|

Reporting group description: -

Notes:

[1] - There are no non-serious adverse events recorded for these results. It is expected that there will be at least one non-serious adverse event reported.

Justification: None of non-serious adverse event meet 5% threshold cut-off for reporting. So there is no non-serious adverse event reported.

| Serious adverse events | Placebo | Dapa 10 mg | |
|---|------------------|-------------------|--|
| Total subjects affected by serious adverse events | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 19 / 249 (7.63%) | 26 / 252 (10.32%) | |
| number of deaths (all causes) | 2 | 3 | |
| number of deaths resulting from adverse events | 2 | 3 | |
| Neoplasms benign, malignant and unspecified (incl cysts and polyps) | | | |
| Bladder cancer | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 0 / 249 (0.00%) | 1 / 252 (0.40%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 1 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Vascular disorders | | | |
| Aortic dissection | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 1 / 249 (0.40%) | 0 / 252 (0.00%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 1 | 0 / 0 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Haematoma | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 0 / 249 (0.00%) | 1 / 252 (0.40%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 1 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |

| | | | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| General disorders and administration site conditions | | | |
| Death | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 0 / 249 (0.00%) | 1 / 252 (0.40%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 1 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 1 | |
| Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders | | | |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 2 / 249 (0.80%) | 0 / 252 (0.00%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 2 | 0 / 0 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Epistaxis | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 0 / 249 (0.00%) | 2 / 252 (0.79%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 2 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Pulmonary embolism | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 0 / 249 (0.00%) | 1 / 252 (0.40%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 1 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 1 | |
| Injury, poisoning and procedural complications | | | |
| Fall | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 1 / 249 (0.40%) | 0 / 252 (0.00%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 1 | 0 / 0 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Post procedural haematuria | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 0 / 249 (0.00%) | 1 / 252 (0.40%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 1 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Skin laceration | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 0 / 249 (0.00%) | 2 / 252 (0.79%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 2 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Cardiac disorders | | | |
| Acute left ventricular failure | | | |

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| subjects affected / exposed | 0 / 249 (0.00%) | 1 / 252 (0.40%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 1 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Acute myocardial infarction | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 1 / 249 (0.40%) | 1 / 252 (0.40%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 1 | 0 / 1 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Atrial fibrillation | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 1 / 249 (0.40%) | 2 / 252 (0.79%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 1 | 0 / 2 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Cardiac arrest | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 1 / 249 (0.40%) | 0 / 252 (0.00%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 1 | 0 / 0 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 1 | 0 / 0 | |
| Cardiac failure | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 4 / 249 (1.61%) | 2 / 252 (0.79%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 4 | 0 / 2 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Cardiac failure acute | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 2 / 249 (0.80%) | 1 / 252 (0.40%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 2 | 0 / 1 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Cardiac failure congestive | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 0 / 249 (0.00%) | 2 / 252 (0.79%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 2 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Cardiopulmonary failure | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 0 / 249 (0.00%) | 1 / 252 (0.40%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 1 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 1 | |
| Conduction disorder | | | |

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| subjects affected / exposed | 0 / 249 (0.00%) | 1 / 252 (0.40%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 1 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Sinus node dysfunction | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 0 / 249 (0.00%) | 1 / 252 (0.40%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 1 / 1 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Ventricular fibrillation | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 0 / 249 (0.00%) | 1 / 252 (0.40%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 1 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Ventricular tachycardia | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 0 / 249 (0.00%) | 1 / 252 (0.40%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 1 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Nervous system disorders | | | |
| Cerebrovascular accident | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 1 / 249 (0.40%) | 0 / 252 (0.00%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 1 | 0 / 0 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Ischaemic stroke | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 0 / 249 (0.00%) | 1 / 252 (0.40%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 1 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Transient ischaemic attack | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 1 / 249 (0.40%) | 0 / 252 (0.00%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 1 | 0 / 0 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Gastrointestinal disorders | | | |
| Hiatus hernia | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 0 / 249 (0.00%) | 1 / 252 (0.40%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 1 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Hepatobiliary disorders | | | |

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| Cholelithiasis | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 1 / 249 (0.40%) | 0 / 252 (0.00%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 1 | 0 / 0 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders | | | |
| Skin ulcer | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 0 / 249 (0.00%) | 1 / 252 (0.40%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 1 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Renal and urinary disorders | | | |
| Acute kidney injury | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 1 / 249 (0.40%) | 1 / 252 (0.40%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 1 | 0 / 1 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders | | | |
| Arthritis | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 1 / 249 (0.40%) | 0 / 252 (0.00%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 1 | 0 / 0 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Infections and infestations | | | |
| Clostridial infection | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 1 / 249 (0.40%) | 0 / 252 (0.00%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 1 | 0 / 0 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Influenza | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 0 / 249 (0.00%) | 1 / 252 (0.40%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 1 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Pneumonia | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 3 / 249 (1.20%) | 2 / 252 (0.79%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 3 | 0 / 2 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Urinary tract infection | | | |

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| subjects affected / exposed | 0 / 249 (0.00%) | 4 / 252 (1.59%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 1 / 4 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Metabolism and nutrition disorders | | | |
| Decreased appetite | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 0 / 249 (0.00%) | 1 / 252 (0.40%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 1 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Metabolic acidosis | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 0 / 249 (0.00%) | 1 / 252 (0.40%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 1 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |

Frequency threshold for reporting non-serious adverse events: 5 %

| Non-serious adverse events | Placebo | Dapa 10 mg | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| Total subjects affected by non-serious adverse events | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 0 / 249 (0.00%) | 0 / 252 (0.00%) | |

More information

Substantial protocol amendments (globally)

Were there any global substantial amendments to the protocol? Yes

| Date | Amendment |
|---------------|---|
| 04 March 2020 | The main purpose of amendment was to add a primary objective to the study (ie, effect on physical limitation). The primary objectives are now to determine whether dapagliflozin is superior to placebo in reducing heart failure symptoms, reducing physical limitation, or improving exercise capacity in patients with chronic heart failure. The family of primary endpoints are KCCQ-TSS, KCCQ-PLS, and 6MWD. Most amendments were consequential to the change in primary objectives/endpoints. The secondary objective/endpoint was switched with one of the exploratory objectives/endpoints and exploratory endpoints were revised. The remaining amendments were intended to add clarity and correct minor errors. The following details the key changes: Section 1.2 (Synopsis): Updated to reflect changes in the CSP text, including the changes to the objectives/endpoints as detailed in Section 3. Section 2: (INTRODUCTION) Updated to reflect changes in the objectives/endpoints as detailed in Section 3. Section 3 (OBJECTIVES AND ENDPOINTS): A third primary efficacy objective/endpoint was added (ie, KCCQ-PLS). The secondary objective/endpoint (now 'total time spent in light to vigorous physical activity') was switched with an exploratory objective/endpoint (now 'movement intensity during walking'). The exploratory KCCQ endpoints and the description of the subset of patients to be assessed for certain exploratory measures were revised. Section 4 (STUDY DESIGN): Updated to reflect changes in the objectives/endpoints as detailed in Section 3. Further explanation/clarification was added for the choice of primary endpoints. Section 8.1 (Efficacy assessments): Updated to reflect changes in the objectives/endpoints as detailed in Section 3. Section 9 (STATISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS): Updated to reflect changes in the objectives/endpoints as detailed in Section 3, including updated power calculations and methodology for type I error control. Minor editing corrections to improve clarity and consistency. |

Notes:

Interruptions (globally)

Were there any global interruptions to the trial? No

Limitations and caveats

Limitations of the trial such as small numbers of subjects analysed or technical problems leading to unreliable data.

Data collection from the wearable device worn by a subset of patients at home for 3 periods of 7 days was challenging and a substantial amount of data was missing. This limits the use of the data based on the wearable activity monitors.

Notes: