



Clinical trial results:

A Phase 3b Randomized, Double-Blind, Double-Dummy, Active Controlled Multi-Center Study Assessing The Efficacy And Safety Of Abrocitinib Compared With Dupilumab In Adult Participants On Background Topical Therapy With Moderate To Severe Atopic Dermatitis Summary

| | |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| EudraCT number | 2019-004013-13 |
| Trial protocol | GB LV SK BE HU CZ DK FI BG FR IT |
| Global end of trial date | 13 July 2021 |

Results information

| | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|
| Result version number | v1 (current) |
| This version publication date | 24 June 2022 |
| First version publication date | 24 June 2022 |

Trial information

Trial identification

| | |
|-----------------------|----------|
| Sponsor protocol code | B7451050 |
|-----------------------|----------|

Additional study identifiers

| | |
|------------------------------------|---|
| ISRCTN number | - |
| ClinicalTrials.gov id (NCT number) | - |
| WHO universal trial number (UTN) | - |

Notes:

Sponsors

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Sponsor organisation name | Pfizer Inc. |
| Sponsor organisation address | 235 E 42nd Street, New York, NY 10017, United States, |
| Public contact | Pfizer ClinicalTrials.gov Call Center, Pfizer Inc., 001 8007181021, ClinicalTrials.gov_Inquiries@pfizer.com |
| Scientific contact | Pfizer ClinicalTrials.gov Call Center, Pfizer Inc., 001 8007181021, ClinicalTrials.gov_Inquiries@pfizer.com |

Notes:

Paediatric regulatory details

| | |
|--|----|
| Is trial part of an agreed paediatric investigation plan (PIP) | No |
| Does article 45 of REGULATION (EC) No 1901/2006 apply to this trial? | No |
| Does article 46 of REGULATION (EC) No 1901/2006 apply to this trial? | No |

Notes:

Results analysis stage

| | |
|--|------------------|
| Analysis stage | Final |
| Date of interim/final analysis | 09 December 2021 |
| Is this the analysis of the primary completion data? | No |
| Global end of trial reached? | Yes |
| Global end of trial date | 13 July 2021 |
| Was the trial ended prematurely? | No |

Notes:

General information about the trial

Main objective of the trial:

To compare the efficacy of abrocitinib 200 mg once daily (QD) versus dupilumab 300 mg once every 2 weeks (Q2W) (as per label guidelines) in adult subjects on background topical therapy with moderate to severe atopic dermatitis (AD).

Protection of trial subjects:

The study was in compliance with the ethical principles derived from the Declaration of Helsinki and in compliance with all International Conference on Harmonisation (ICH) Good Clinical Practice (GCP) Guidelines. All the local regulatory requirements pertinent to safety of trial subjects were followed.

Background therapy: -

Evidence for comparator: -

| | |
|---|--------------|
| Actual start date of recruitment | 11 June 2020 |
| Long term follow-up planned | No |
| Independent data monitoring committee (IDMC) involvement? | No |

Notes:

Population of trial subjects

Subjects enrolled per country

| | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | Australia: 51 |
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | Bulgaria: 17 |
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | Canada: 196 |
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | Chile: 37 |
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | Finland: 10 |
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | Germany: 66 |
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | Hungary: 21 |
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | Italy: 1 |
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | Korea, Republic of: 25 |
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | Latvia: 7 |
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | Poland: 135 |
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | Slovakia: 16 |
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | Spain: 9 |
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | Taiwan: 11 |
| Country: Number of subjects enrolled | United States: 125 |
| Worldwide total number of subjects | 727 |
| EEA total number of subjects | 282 |

Notes:

| Subjects enrolled per age group | |
|---|-----|
| In utero | 0 |
| Preterm newborn - gestational age < 37 wk | 0 |
| Newborns (0-27 days) | 0 |
| Infants and toddlers (28 days-23 months) | 0 |
| Children (2-11 years) | 0 |
| Adolescents (12-17 years) | 0 |
| Adults (18-64 years) | 695 |
| From 65 to 84 years | 32 |
| 85 years and over | 0 |

Subject disposition

Recruitment

Recruitment details:

This was a double-blind, double-dummy, active-controlled study in adult subjects with moderate to severe atopic dermatitis. The study was conducted across 143 sites in 15 countries.

Pre-assignment

Screening details:

A total of 940 subjects were screened, of which 213 were screen failures and were not enrolled. 727 subjects were enrolled in the study and assigned to a study intervention.

Period 1

| | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Period 1 title | Overall Study (overall period) |
| Is this the baseline period? | Yes |
| Allocation method | Randomised - controlled |
| Blinding used | Double blind |
| Roles blinded | Subject, Investigator |

Arms

| | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Are arms mutually exclusive? | Yes |
| Arm title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD |

Arm description:

Subjects were administered abrocitinib 200 mg (2 x 100 mg) oral tablets once daily (QD) from Day 1 to Week 26 along with dupilumab-matching placebo administered as a subcutaneous injection once every 2 weeks (Q2W) until Week 24. Subjects were followed for up to 4 weeks post last dose of study intervention.

| | |
|--|--|
| Arm type | Experimental |
| Investigational medicinal product name | Dupilumab Matching Placebo |
| Investigational medicinal product code | |
| Other name | |
| Pharmaceutical forms | Solution for injection in pre-filled syringe |
| Routes of administration | Subcutaneous use |

Dosage and administration details:

Dupilumab-matching placebo was administered as a subcutaneous injection once every 2 weeks until Week 24.

| | |
|--|-------------|
| Investigational medicinal product name | Abrocitinib |
| Investigational medicinal product code | |
| Other name | |
| Pharmaceutical forms | Tablet |
| Routes of administration | Oral use |

Dosage and administration details:

Abrocitinib was available as 100 mg tablets to be administered at a dose of 200 mg once daily orally from Day 1 to Week 26.

| | |
|------------------|----------------------|
| Arm title | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|------------------|----------------------|

Arm description:

Subjects were administered dupilumab 300 mg as a subcutaneous injection Q2W until Week 24 along with abrocitinib-matching placebo oral tablets administered once daily from Day 1 to Week 26. Subjects were followed for up to 4 weeks post last dose of study intervention.

| | |
|----------|-------------------|
| Arm type | Active comparator |
|----------|-------------------|

| | |
|--|------------------------------|
| Investigational medicinal product name | Abrocitinib Matching Placebo |
| Investigational medicinal product code | |
| Other name | |
| Pharmaceutical forms | Tablet |
| Routes of administration | Oral use |

Dosage and administration details:

Abrocitinib-matching placebo tablets were administered once daily orally from Day 1 to Week 26.

| | |
|--|--|
| Investigational medicinal product name | Dupilumab |
| Investigational medicinal product code | |
| Other name | |
| Pharmaceutical forms | Solution for injection in pre-filled syringe |
| Routes of administration | Subcutaneous use |

Dosage and administration details:

Dupilumab was administered at a dose of 300 mg as a subcutaneous injection Q2W until Week 24.

| Number of subjects in period 1 | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Started | 362 | 365 |
| Completed | 327 | 334 |
| Not completed | 35 | 31 |
| Consent withdrawn by subject | 11 | 11 |
| Adverse event, non-fatal | 10 | 9 |
| Death | 2 | - |
| Unspecified | 3 | 4 |
| Medication Error Without Associated Adverse Event | 1 | - |
| Lost to follow-up | 2 | 4 |
| Protocol deviation | 4 | 3 |
| Lack of efficacy | 2 | - |

Baseline characteristics

Reporting groups

| | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Reporting group title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|

Reporting group description:

Subjects were administered abrocitinib 200 mg (2 x 100 mg) oral tablets once daily (QD) from Day 1 to Week 26 along with dupilumab-matching placebo administered as a subcutaneous injection once every 2 weeks (Q2W) until Week 24. Subjects were followed for up to 4 weeks post last dose of study intervention.

| | |
|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Reporting group title | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------|----------------------|

Reporting group description:

Subjects were administered dupilumab 300 mg as a subcutaneous injection Q2W until Week 24 along with abrocitinib-matching placebo oral tablets administered once daily from Day 1 to Week 26. Subjects were followed for up to 4 weeks post last dose of study intervention.

| Reporting group values | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W | Total |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|-------|
| Number of subjects | 362 | 365 | 727 |
| Age categorical | | | |
| Units: Subjects | | | |
| In utero | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Preterm newborn infants (gestational age < 37 wks) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Newborns (0-27 days) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Infants and toddlers (28 days-23 months) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Children (2-11 years) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Adolescents (12-17 years) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Adults (18-64 years) | 341 | 354 | 695 |
| From 65-84 years | 21 | 11 | 32 |
| 85 years and over | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Age Continuous | | | |
| Units: Years | | | |
| arithmetic mean | 36.6 | 35.5 | - |
| standard deviation | ± 14.6 | ± 13.3 | - |
| Sex: Female, Male | | | |
| Units: Subjects | | | |
| Female | 169 | 161 | 330 |
| Male | 193 | 204 | 397 |
| Race | | | |
| Units: Subjects | | | |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Asian | 62 | 83 | 145 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Black or African American | 25 | 26 | 51 |
| White | 269 | 248 | 517 |
| More than one race | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Unknown or Not Reported | 4 | 5 | 9 |
| Ethnicity | | | |
| Units: Subjects | | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 30 | 27 | 57 |

| | | | |
|-------------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 331 | 337 | 668 |
| Unknown or Not Reported | 1 | 1 | 2 |

End points

End points reporting groups

| | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Reporting group title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|

Reporting group description:

Subjects were administered abrocitinib 200 mg (2 x 100 mg) oral tablets once daily (QD) from Day 1 to Week 26 along with dupilumab-matching placebo administered as a subcutaneous injection once every 2 weeks (Q2W) until Week 24. Subjects were followed for up to 4 weeks post last dose of study intervention.

| | |
|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Reporting group title | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------|----------------------|

Reporting group description:

Subjects were administered dupilumab 300 mg as a subcutaneous injection Q2W until Week 24 along with abrocitinib-matching placebo oral tablets administered once daily from Day 1 to Week 26. Subjects were followed for up to 4 weeks post last dose of study intervention.

Primary: Percentage of Subjects Achieving Greater Than or Equal to (>=) 4 Points Improvement in Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) from Baseline at Week 2

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| End point title | Percentage of Subjects Achieving Greater Than or Equal to (>=) 4 Points Improvement in Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (PP-NRS4) from Baseline at Week 2 |
|-----------------|---|

End point description:

The severity of itch (pruritus) due to AD was assessed using the PP-NRS, a validated horizontal NRS. Subjects were asked to assess their worst itching due to AD over the past 24 hours on an NRS with scale ranging from 0 to 10, where 0= no itch and 10= worst itch imaginable. Higher scores indicated worse itch. Full Analysis Set (FAS) comprised of all randomised subjects who received at least one dose of study intervention. Here, 'Number of Subjects Analysed' signifies subjects evaluable for this end point.

| | |
|----------------|---------|
| End point type | Primary |
|----------------|---------|

End point timeframe:

Week 2

| End point values | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 357 | 364 | | |
| Units: Percentage of subjects | | | | |
| number (confidence interval 95%) | 48.2 (43.0 to 53.4) | 25.5 (21.1 to 30.0) | | |

Statistical analyses

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|----------------------------|---|

| | |
|-------------------|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-------------------|--|

| | |
|---|-------------------------|
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 721 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other ^[1] |
| P-value | < 0.0001 ^[2] |
| Method | Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 22.6 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 15.8 |
| upper limit | 29.5 |

Notes:

[1] - The estimate and confidence interval (CI) for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions.

[2] - Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method adjusted by baseline disease severity.

Primary: Percentage of Subjects Achieving $\geq 90\%$ Improvement from Baseline in Eczema Area and Severity Index (EASI-90) Response at Week 4

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| End point title | Percentage of Subjects Achieving $\geq 90\%$ Improvement from Baseline in Eczema Area and Severity Index (EASI-90) Response at Week 4 |
|-----------------|---|

End point description:

Severity of clinical signs of AD lesions (erythema, induration/papulation, excoriation and lichenification) were scored separately for each of 4 body regions (head and neck, upper limbs, trunk) and lower limbs) on a 4-point scale: 0= absent; 1= mild; 2= moderate; 3= severe. EASI area score=% BSA with AD in body region: 0 (0%), 1 (>0 to <10%), 2 (10 to <30%), 3 (30 to <50%), 4 (50 to <70%), 5 (70 to <90%) and 6 (90 to 100%). Total EASI score = $0.1 * A_h * (E_h + I_h + E_xh + L_h) + 0.2 * A_u * (E_u + I_u + E_xu + L_u) + 0.3 * A_t * (E_t + I_t + E_xt + L_t) + 0.4 * A_l * (E_l + I_l + E_xl + L_l)$; A = EASI area score; E = erythema; I = induration/papulation; Ex = excoriation; L = lichenification; h = head and neck; u = upper limbs; t = trunk; l = lower limbs. Total EASI score ranged from 0.0 to 72.0, with higher scores indicating greater severity of AD. FAS comprised of all randomised subjects who received at least one dose of study intervention. Here, 'Number of Subjects Analysed' signifies subjects evaluable for this endpoint.

| | |
|----------------|---------|
| End point type | Primary |
|----------------|---------|

End point timeframe:

Week 4

| End point values | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 354 | 364 | | |
| Units: Percentage of subjects | | | | |
| number (confidence interval 95%) | 28.5 (23.8 to 33.2) | 14.6 (10.9 to 18.2) | | |

Statistical analyses

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|----------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 718 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| P-value | < 0.0001 [3] |
| Method | Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 14.1 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 8.2 |
| upper limit | 20 |

Notes:

[3] - CMH method adjusted by baseline disease severity.

Secondary: Percentage of Subjects Achieving EASI-90 Response at Week 16

| | |
|---|--|
| End point title | Percentage of Subjects Achieving EASI-90 Response at Week 16 |
| End point description: | |
| <p>Severity of clinical signs of AD lesions (erythema, induration/papulation, excoriation and lichenification) were scored separately for each of 4 body regions (head and neck, upper limbs, trunk) and lower limbs) on a 4-point scale: 0= absent; 1= mild; 2= moderate; 3= severe. EASI area score=% BSA with AD in body region: 0 (0%), 1 (>0 to <10%), 2 (10 to <30%), 3 (30 to <50%), 4 (50 to <70%), 5 (70 to <90%) and 6 (90 to 100%). Total EASI score =0.1*Ah*(Eh+Ih+Exh+Lh) + 0.2*Au*(Eu+Iu+ExU+Lu) + 0.3*At*(Et+It+Ext+Lt) + 0.4*Al*(El+Il+Exl+Ll); A = EASI area score; E = erythema; I = induration/papulation; Ex = excoriation; L = lichenification; h = head and neck; u = upper limbs; t = trunk; l = lower limbs. Total EASI score ranged from 0.0 to 72.0, with higher scores indicating greater severity of AD. FAS comprised of all randomised subjects who received at least one dose of study intervention. Here, 'Number of Subjects Analysed' signifies subjects evaluable for this endpoint.</p> | |
| End point type | Secondary |
| End point timeframe: | |
| Week 16 | |

| End point values | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 357 | 360 | | |
| Units: Percentage of subjects | | | | |
| number (confidence interval 95%) | 54.3 (49.2 to 59.5) | 41.9 (36.8 to 47.0) | | |

Statistical analyses

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| <p>The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions.</p> | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |

| | |
|---|-------------------------|
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 717 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| P-value | = 0.0008 [4] |
| Method | Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 12.5 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 5.3 |
| upper limit | 19.7 |

Notes:

[4] - CMH method adjusted by baseline disease severity.

Secondary: Percentage of Subjects Achieving EASI-90 Response at Weeks 2, 8, 12, 20 and 26

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| End point title | Percentage of Subjects Achieving EASI-90 Response at Weeks 2, 8, 12, 20 and 26 |
|-----------------|--|

End point description:

Severity of clinical signs of AD lesions (erythema, induration/papulation, excoriation and lichenification) were scored separately for each of 4 body regions (head and neck, upper limbs, trunk) and lower limbs) on a 4-point scale: 0= absent; 1= mild; 2= moderate; 3= severe. EASI area score=% BSA with AD in body region: 0 (0%), 1 (>0 to <10%), 2 (10 to <30%), 3 (30 to <50%), 4 (50 to <70%), 5 (70 to <90%) and 6 (90 to 100%). Total EASI score = $0.1 * A_h * (E_h + I_h + Ex_h + L_h) + 0.2 * A_u * (E_u + I_u + Ex_u + L_u) + 0.3 * A_t * (E_t + I_t + Ex_t + L_t) + 0.4 * A_l * (E_l + I_l + Ex_l + L_l)$; A = EASI area score; E = erythema; I = induration/papulation; Ex = excoriation; L = lichenification; h = head and neck; u = upper limbs; t = trunk; l = lower limbs. Total EASI score ranged from 0.0 to 72.0, with higher scores indicating greater severity of AD. FAS comprised of all randomised subjects who received at least one dose of study intervention. Here, 'n' signifies participants evaluable for the specified time points.

| | |
|----------------|-----------|
| End point type | Secondary |
|----------------|-----------|

End point timeframe:

Week 2, 8, 12, 20 and 26

| End point values | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 362 | 365 | | |
| Units: Percentage of subjects | | | | |
| number (confidence interval 95%) | | | | |
| Week 2; n=361, 362 | 11.6 (8.3 to 14.9) | 7.2 (4.5 to 9.8) | | |
| Week 8; n=355, 362 | 45.4 (40.2 to 50.5) | 25.4 (20.9 to 29.9) | | |
| Week 12; n=359, 363 | 47.6 (42.5 to 52.8) | 33.6 (28.7 to 38.5) | | |
| Week 20; n=356, 363 | 58.4 (53.3 to 63.5) | 45.7 (40.6 to 50.9) | | |
| Week 26; n=348, 361 | 54.6 (49.4 to 59.8) | 47.6 (42.5 to 52.8) | | |

Statistical analyses

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: Week 2. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 4.5 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 0.2 |
| upper limit | 8.7 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: Week 8. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 20 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 13.2 |
| upper limit | 26.9 |

| | |
|--|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: Week 12. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 14 |

| | |
|---------------------|---------|
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 6.9 |
| upper limit | 21.1 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Week 20. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 12.7 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 5.5 |
| upper limit | 20 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Week 26. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 6.9 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -0.4 |
| upper limit | 14.3 |

Secondary: Percentage of Subjects Achieving \geq 75% Improvement from Baseline in EASI (EASI-75) Response at Weeks 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20 and 26

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| End point title | Percentage of Subjects Achieving \geq 75% Improvement from Baseline in EASI (EASI-75) Response at Weeks 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20 and 26 |
|-----------------|--|

End point description:

Severity of clinical signs of AD lesions (erythema, induration/papulation, excoriation and lichenification)

were scored separately for each of 4 body regions (head and neck, upper limbs, trunk) and lower limbs) on a 4-point scale: 0= absent; 1= mild; 2= moderate; 3= severe. EASI area score=% BSA with AD in body region: 0 (0%), 1 (>0 to <10%), 2 (10 to <30%), 3 (30 to <50%), 4 (50 to <70%), 5 (70 to <90%) and 6 (90 to 100%). Total EASI score =0.1*Ah*(Eh+Ih+Exh+Lh) + 0.2*Au*(Eu+Iu+ExU+Lu) + 0.3*At*(Et+It+Ext+Lt) + 0.4*Al*(El+Il+Exl+Ll); A = EASI area score; E = erythema; I = induration/papulation; Ex = excoriation; L = lichenification; h = head and neck; u = upper limbs; t = trunk; l = lower limbs. Total EASI score ranged from 0.0 to 72.0, with higher scores indicating greater severity of AD. FAS comprised of all randomised subjects who received at least one dose of study intervention. Here, 'n' signifies participants evaluable for the specified time points.

| | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|
| End point type | Secondary |
| End point timeframe: | |
| Week 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20 and 26 | |

| End point values | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 362 | 365 | | |
| Units: Percentage of subjects | | | | |
| number (confidence interval 95%) | | | | |
| Week 2; n=361, 362 | 29.4 (24.7 to 34.1) | 21.3 (17.1 to 25.5) | | |
| Week 4; n=354, 364 | 57.6 (52.5 to 62.8) | 36.8 (31.9 to 41.8) | | |
| Week 8; n=355, 362 | 71.0 (66.3 to 75.7) | 52.8 (47.6 to 57.9) | | |
| Week 12; n=359, 363 | 76.3 (71.9 to 80.7) | 61.4 (56.4 to 66.4) | | |
| Week 16; n=357, 360 | 77.3 (73.0 to 81.7) | 67.8 (63.0 to 72.6) | | |
| Week 20; n=356, 363 | 76.1 (71.7 to 80.6) | 71.1 (66.4 to 75.7) | | |
| Week 26; n=348, 361 | 73.0 (68.3 to 77.7) | 72.3 (67.7 to 76.9) | | |

Statistical analyses

| | |
|--|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Week 2. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 8.1 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 1.8 |
| upper limit | 14.4 |

| | |
|--|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Week 8. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 18.4 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 11.4 |
| upper limit | 25.3 |

| | |
|--|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Week 4. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 20.9 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 13.8 |
| upper limit | 28 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Week 12. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 14.9 |

| | |
|---------------------|---------|
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 8.2 |
| upper limit | 21.5 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Week 16. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 9.5 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 3 |
| upper limit | 16 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Week 20. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 5 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -1.4 |
| upper limit | 11.5 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Week 26. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions.

| | |
|-------------------|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-------------------|--|

| | |
|---|------------------------|
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 0.7 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -5.9 |
| upper limit | 7.2 |

Secondary: Percentage of Subjects Achieving Investigator's Global Assessment (IGA) Score of 'Clear' or 'Almost Clear' and ≥ 2 Points Improvement from Baseline up to Week 26

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| End point title | Percentage of Subjects Achieving Investigator's Global Assessment (IGA) Score of 'Clear' or 'Almost Clear' and ≥ 2 Points Improvement from Baseline up to Week 26 |
|-----------------|--|

End point description:

IGA=severity of AD on a 5 point scale (0 to 4, higher scores=more severity). Scores: 0= clear, except any residual discoloration (post-inflammatory hyperpigmentation and/or hypopigmentation); 1= almost clear, AD not fully cleared- light pink residual lesions (except post-inflammatory hyperpigmentation), just perceptible erythema, papulation/induration lichenification, excoriation, and no oozing/crusting; 2= mild AD with light red lesions, slight but definite erythema, papulation/induration, lichenification, excoriation and no oozing/crusting; 3= moderate AD with red lesions, moderate erythema, papulation/induration, lichenification, excoriation and slight oozing/crusting; 4= severe AD with deep dark red lesions, severe erythema, papulation/induration, lichenification, excoriation and moderate to severe oozing/crusting. FAS comprised of all randomised subjects who received at least one dose of study intervention. Here, 'n' signifies subjects evaluable for the specified time points.

| | |
|----------------|-----------|
| End point type | Secondary |
|----------------|-----------|

End point timeframe:

Week 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20 and 26

| End point values | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 362 | 365 | | |
| Units: Percentage of subjects | | | | |
| number (confidence interval 95%) | | | | |
| Week 2; n=361, 362 | 14.4 (10.8 to 18.0) | 7.2 (4.5 to 9.8) | | |
| Week 4; n=353, 364 | 38.0 (32.9 to 43.0) | 17.6 (13.7 to 21.5) | | |
| Week 8; n=355, 362 | 50.1 (44.9 to 55.3) | 30.9 (26.2 to 35.7) | | |
| Week 12; n=359, 364 | 51.8 (46.6 to 57.0) | 36.0 (31.1 to 40.9) | | |
| Week 16; n=358, 360 | 55.3 (50.2 to 60.5) | 42.5 (37.4 to 47.6) | | |
| Week 20; n=355, 364 | 60.0 (54.9 to 65.1) | 50.8 (45.7 to 56.0) | | |
| Week 26; n=347, 362 | 55.6 (50.4 to 60.8) | 51.1 (46.0 to 56.3) | | |

Statistical analyses

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: Week 2. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 7.3 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 2.8 |
| upper limit | 11.7 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: Week 4. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 20.6 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 14.3 |
| upper limit | 26.9 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: Week 8. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |

| | |
|---|------------------------|
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 19.5 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 12.5 |
| upper limit | 26.4 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Week 12. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 15.8 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 8.7 |
| upper limit | 23 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Week 16. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 13 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 5.9 |
| upper limit | 20.2 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Week 20. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 9.2 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 2 |
| upper limit | 16.4 |

Statistical analysis title

Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W

Statistical analysis description:

Week 26. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 4.5 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -2.8 |
| upper limit | 11.8 |

Secondary: Percentage of Subjects Achieving PP-NRS4 from Baseline at Days 2 to 15

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| End point title | Percentage of Subjects Achieving PP-NRS4 from Baseline at Days 2 to 15 |
|-----------------|--|

End point description:

The severity of itch (pruritus) due to AD was assessed using the PP-NRS, a validated horizontal NRS. Subjects were asked to assess their worst itching due to AD over the past 24 hours on an NRS with scale ranging from 0 to 10, where 0= no itch and 10= worst itch imaginable. Higher scores indicated worse itch. FAS comprised of all randomised subjects who received at least one dose of study intervention. Here, 'n' signifies subjects evaluable for the specified time points.

| | |
|----------------|-----------|
| End point type | Secondary |
|----------------|-----------|

End point timeframe:

Day 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15

| End point values | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 362 | 365 | | |
| Units: Percentage of subjects | | | | |
| number (confidence interval 95%) | | | | |
| Day 2; n=319, 317 | 11.0 (7.5 to 14.4) | 3.8 (1.7 to 5.9) | | |
| Day 3; n=345, 350 | 15.1 (11.3 to 18.8) | 8.6 (5.6 to 11.5) | | |
| Day 4; n=349, 356 | 22.1 (17.7 to 26.4) | 10.7 (7.5 to 13.9) | | |
| Day 5; n=352, 353 | 26.4 (21.8 to 31.0) | 11.9 (8.5 to 15.3) | | |
| Day 6; n=348, 348 | 28.4 (23.7 to 33.2) | 15.5 (11.7 to 19.3) | | |
| Day 7; n=347, 355 | 33.1 (28.2 to 38.1) | 13.2 (9.7 to 16.8) | | |
| Day 8; n=353, 347 | 36.3 (31.2 to 41.3) | 14.1 (10.5 to 17.8) | | |
| Day 9; n=348, 350 | 38.5 (33.4 to 43.6) | 16.9 (12.9 to 20.8) | | |
| Day 10; n=345, 353 | 40.0 (34.8 to 45.2) | 18.7 (14.6 to 22.8) | | |
| Day 11; n=349, 347 | 40.1 (35.0 to 45.3) | 20.2 (16.0 to 24.4) | | |
| Day 12; n=347, 351 | 41.5 (36.3 to 46.7) | 20.2 (16.0 to 24.4) | | |
| Day 13; n=348, 354 | 44.0 (38.8 to 49.2) | 21.5 (17.2 to 25.7) | | |
| Day 14; n=347, 354 | 45.5 (40.3 to 50.8) | 23.2 (18.8 to 27.6) | | |
| Day 15; n=350, 359 | 48.6 (43.3 to 53.8) | 25.6 (21.1 to 30.1) | | |

Statistical analyses

| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Day 2. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 7.1 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 3.1 |
| upper limit | 11.1 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Day 3. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 6.5 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 1.7 |
| upper limit | 11.3 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Day 4. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 11.4 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 6 |
| upper limit | 16.8 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Day 5. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 14.5 |

| | |
|---------------------|---------|
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 8.8 |
| upper limit | 20.3 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Day 6. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 12.9 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 6.9 |
| upper limit | 19 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Day 7. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 19.9 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 13.8 |
| upper limit | 26 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Day 8. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions.

| | |
|-------------------|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-------------------|--|

| | |
|---|------------------------|
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 22.1 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 15.9 |
| upper limit | 28.3 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Day 10. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of other |
| Point estimate | 21.3 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 14.7 |
| upper limit | 27.9 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Day 9. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 21.7 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 15.2 |
| upper limit | 28.1 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Day 11. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 20 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 13.3 |
| upper limit | 26.6 |

Statistical analysis title

Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W

Statistical analysis description:

Day 12. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 21.3 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 14.6 |
| upper limit | 27.9 |

Statistical analysis title

Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W

Statistical analysis description:

Day 14. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 22.4 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 15.6 |
| upper limit | 29.2 |

| | |
|--|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Day 13. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 22.5 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 15.8 |
| upper limit | 29.2 |

| | |
|--|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Day 15. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 22.9 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 16 |
| upper limit | 29.9 |

Secondary: Percentage of Subjects Achieving PP-NRS4 from Baseline at Week 4, 8, 12, 16, 20 and 26

| | |
|---|--|
| End point title | Percentage of Subjects Achieving PP-NRS4 from Baseline at Week 4, 8, 12, 16, 20 and 26 |
| End point description: | |
| The severity of itch (pruritus) due to AD was assessed using the PP-NRS, a validated horizontal NRS. Subjects were asked to assess their worst itching due to AD over the past 24 hours on an NRS with scale ranging from 0 to 10, where 0= no itch and 10= worst itch imaginable. Higher scores indicated worse itch. FAS comprised of all randomised subjects who received at least one dose of study intervention. Here, 'n' signifies subjects evaluable for the specified time points. | |
| End point type | Secondary |
| End point timeframe: | |
| Week 4, 8, 12, 16, 20 and 26 | |

| End point values | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 362 | 365 | | |
| Units: Percentage of subjects | | | | |
| number (confidence interval 95%) | | | | |
| Week 4; n=356, 363 | 58.1 (53.0 to 63.3) | 40.8 (35.7 to 45.8) | | |
| Week 8; n=357, 364 | 65.8 (60.9 to 70.7) | 52.7 (47.6 to 57.9) | | |
| Week 12; n=356, 364 | 66.0 (61.1 to 70.9) | 61.5 (56.5 to 66.5) | | |
| Week 16; n=357, 363 | 67.2 (62.4 to 72.1) | 63.6 (58.7 to 68.6) | | |
| Week 20; n=357, 364 | 65.3 (60.3 to 70.2) | 63.2 (58.2 to 68.1) | | |
| Week 26; n=354, 363 | 68.1 (63.2 to 72.9) | 63.1 (58.1 to 68.0) | | |

Statistical analyses

| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis description: Week 4. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 17.3 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 10.1 |
| upper limit | 24.5 |

| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis description: Week 8. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |

| | |
|---|------------------------|
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 13 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 6 |
| upper limit | 20.1 |

| | |
|--|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: Week 12. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 4.4 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -2.5 |
| upper limit | 11.4 |

| | |
|--|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: Week 16. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 3.6 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -3.4 |
| upper limit | 10.5 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Week 20. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 2 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -4.9 |
| upper limit | 9 |

Statistical analysis title

Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W

Statistical analysis description:

Week 26. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 5 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -1.9 |
| upper limit | 11.9 |

Secondary: Time to Achieve ≥ 4 Points Improvement in PP-NRS4

End point title Time to Achieve ≥ 4 Points Improvement in PP-NRS4

End point description:

The severity of itch (pruritus) due to AD was assessed using the PP-NRS, a validated horizontal NRS. Subjects were asked to assess their worst itching due to AD over the past 24 hours on an NRS with scale ranging from 0 to 10, where 0= no itch and 10= worst itch imaginable. Higher scores indicated worse itch. FAS comprised of all randomised subjects who received at least one dose of study intervention. Here, 'Number of Subjects Analysed' signifies subjects evaluable for this end point.

End point type Secondary

End point timeframe:

Baseline (Day 1) up to Week 30

| End point values | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 313 | 303 | | |
| Units: Days | | | | |
| median (confidence interval 95%) | 11.0 (9.0 to 14.0) | 25.0 (21.0 to 30.0) | | |

Statistical analyses

No statistical analyses for this end point

Secondary: Percent Change from Baseline in the Percentage (%) Body Surface Area (BSA) Affected at Week 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20 and 26

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| End point title | Percent Change from Baseline in the Percentage (%) Body Surface Area (BSA) Affected at Week 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20 and 26 |
|-----------------|--|

End point description:

Extent (%) of body region involvement with AD was determined using handprint method. Number of handprints (size of subject's hand with fingers in a closed position) fitting in affected area of a body region was estimated. 4 body regions were evaluated: head and neck, upper limbs, trunk (including axillae and groin/genitals) and lower limbs (including buttocks). Total number of handprints=10 for head and neck, 20 for upper limbs, 30 for trunk and 40 for lower limbs. Surface area of body region equivalent to 1 handprint: 1 handprint =10% for head and neck, 5% for upper limbs, 3.33% for trunk and 2.5% for lower limbs. Percent BSA for a body region= total number of handprints in a body region * % surface area equivalent to 1 handprint. Overall % BSA for an individual was derived as sum of % BSA across all 4 body regions and ranged from 0 to 100%, with higher values representing greater severity of AD. FAS=all randomised subjects who received at least one dose of study intervention.

| | |
|----------------|-----------|
| End point type | Secondary |
|----------------|-----------|

End point timeframe:

Baseline (Day 1), Week 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20 and 26

| End point values | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W | | |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 362 | 365 | | |
| Units: Percent change | | | | |
| least squares mean (confidence interval 95%) | | | | |
| Week 2 | -42.7 (-46.0 to -39.4) | -33.4 (-36.7 to -30.0) | | |
| Week 4 | -62.0 (-65.5 to -58.5) | -49.5 (-53.0 to -46.1) | | |
| Week 8 | -74.0 (-77.2 to -70.7) | -62.8 (-66.0 to -59.7) | | |
| Week 12 | -78.8 (-81.9 to -75.7) | -69.4 (-72.5 to -66.4) | | |
| Week 16 | -80.6 (-83.5 to -77.8) | -73.7 (-76.5 to -70.9) | | |
| Week 20 | -82.2 (-84.8 to -79.6) | -76.9 (-79.5 to -74.3) | | |
| Week 26 | -82.3 (-85.0 to -79.6) | -79.0 (-81.6 to -76.3) | | |

Statistical analyses

| | |
|--|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: Week 2. Analysis was performed using Mixed Model Repeated Measure (MMRM) with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -9.3 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -14 |
| upper limit | -4.6 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: Week 4. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -12.5 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -17.4 |
| upper limit | -7.6 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: Week 8. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |

| | |
|---|------------------------------|
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -11.1 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -15.6 |
| upper limit | -6.6 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Week 12. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -9.4 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -13.7 |
| upper limit | -5.1 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Week 16. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -6.9 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -10.9 |
| upper limit | -2.9 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Week 20. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -5.3 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -9 |
| upper limit | -1.7 |

Statistical analysis title

Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W

Statistical analysis description:

Week 26. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -3.4 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -7.1 |
| upper limit | 0.4 |

Secondary: Percent Change from Baseline in the SCORing Atopic Dermatitis (SCORAD) Total Score at Week 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20 and 26

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| End point title | Percent Change from Baseline in the SCORing Atopic Dermatitis (SCORAD) Total Score at Week 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20 and 26 |
|-----------------|---|

End point description:

SCORAD=scoring index for AD combining extent (A), severity (B) and subjective symptoms (C). For A, rule of 9 used to calculate BSA affected by AD as % of whole BSA for each body region- head and neck 9%; upper limbs 9% each; lower limbs 18% each; anterior trunk 18%; back 18%; genitals 1%. Score of each body region added to determine A (range: 0-100). B: severity of each sign (erythema; edema/papulation; oozing/crusting; excoriation; skin thickening; dryness) was assessed as none (0), mild (1), moderate (2), severe (3); severity scores were added to give B (range: 0-18). C: pruritus and sleep loss, each scored by subject/caregiver using visual analog scale (VAS) where, 0=no itch/no sleep loss and 10=worst imaginable itch/sleep loss, higher scores=worse symptoms. Scores for itch and sleep loss were added to give 'C' (range: 0-20). SCORAD total score =A/5+7*B/2+C; range (0-103);higher values=worse outcome. FAS=all randomised subjects who received at least one dose of study intervention.

| | |
|----------------|-----------|
| End point type | Secondary |
|----------------|-----------|

End point timeframe:

Baseline (Day 1), Week 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20 and 26

| End point values | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W | | |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 362 | 365 | | |
| Units: Percent change | | | | |
| least squares mean (confidence interval 95%) | | | | |
| Week 2 | -44.5 (-47.0 to -42.1) | -33.5 (-35.9 to -31.0) | | |
| Week 4 | -59.6 (-61.9 to -57.3) | -46.8 (-49.1 to -44.5) | | |
| Week 8 | -65.8 (-68.2 to -63.4) | -55.6 (-58.0 to -53.3) | | |
| Week 12 | -67.9 (-70.2 to -65.6) | -60.6 (-62.9 to -58.3) | | |
| Week 16 | -70.6 (-72.8 to -68.3) | -64.4 (-66.7 to -62.2) | | |
| Week 20 | -71.8 (-74.1 to -69.4) | -66.8 (-69.1 to -64.5) | | |
| Week 26 | -71.5 (-73.9 to -69.1) | -68.2 (-70.6 to -65.9) | | |

Statistical analyses

| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|--|---|
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Week 2. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -11 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -14.5 |
| upper limit | -7.6 |

| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|--|---|
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Week 8. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |

| | |
|---|------------------------------|
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -10.2 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -13.6 |
| upper limit | -6.8 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Week 4. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -12.8 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -16 |
| upper limit | -9.5 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Week 12. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -7.3 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -10.5 |
| upper limit | -4.1 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Week 16. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -6.1 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -9.3 |
| upper limit | -3 |

Statistical analysis title

Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W

Statistical analysis description:

Week 20. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -4.9 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -8.2 |
| upper limit | -1.7 |

Statistical analysis title

Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W

Statistical analysis description:

Week 26. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -3.3 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -6.6 |
| upper limit | 0.1 |

Secondary: Change from Baseline in Total Anxiety Score Measured Using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) at Week 12,16 and 26

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| End point title | Change from Baseline in Total Anxiety Score Measured Using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) at Week 12,16 and 26 |
|-----------------|--|

End point description:

HADS was a validated 14-item questionnaire to assess states of anxiety and depression over the past week. HADS consisted of 2 subscales: HADS-Anxiety (HADS-A) scale and HADS-Depression (HADS-D) scale, each of which comprised of 7 items. Each item was rated on a 4-point scale, with scores ranging from 0 to 3, where higher scores indicated more anxiety/depression symptoms. HADS-A assessed state of generalized anxiety (anxious mood, restlessness, anxious thoughts, panic attacks). HADS-A total score was calculated as the sum of all 7 items with score ranging from 0 (no presence of anxiety) to 21 (severe feeling of anxiety); higher score indicated greater severity of anxiety. FAS comprised of all randomised subjects who received at least one dose of study intervention.

| | |
|----------------|-----------|
| End point type | Secondary |
|----------------|-----------|

End point timeframe:

Baseline (Day 1), Week 12, 16 and 26

| End point values | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 362 | 365 | | |
| Units: Units on a scale | | | | |
| least squares mean (confidence interval 95%) | | | | |
| Week 12 | -0.8 (-1.1 to -0.5) | -0.8 (-1.1 to -0.6) | | |
| Week 16 | -1.1 (-1.3 to -0.8) | -1.2 (-1.4 to -0.9) | | |
| Week 26 | -1.1 (-1.4 to -0.7) | -1.2 (-1.5 to -0.9) | | |

Statistical analyses

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|----------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Week 12. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | 0 |

| | |
|---------------------|---------|
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -0.4 |
| upper limit | 0.4 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Week 26. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | 0.1 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -0.3 |
| upper limit | 0.6 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Week 16. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | 0.1 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -0.3 |
| upper limit | 0.5 |

Secondary: Change from Baseline in Total Depression Score Measured Using the HADS at Week 12,16 and 26

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| End point title | Change from Baseline in Total Depression Score Measured Using the HADS at Week 12,16 and 26 |
|-----------------|---|

End point description:

HADS was a validated 14-item questionnaire to assess states of anxiety and depression over the past week. HADS consisted of 2 subscales: HADS-A scale and HADS-D scale, each of which comprised of 7

items. Each item was rated on a 4-point scale, with scores ranging from 0 to 3, where higher scores indicated more anxiety/depression symptoms. HADS-D assessed the state of lost interest and diminished pleasure response (lowering of hedonic tone). HADS-D: total score was calculated as the sum of all 7 items with score ranging from 0 (no presence of depression) to 21 (severe feeling of depression); higher score indicated greater severity of depression symptoms. FAS comprised of all randomised subjects who received at least one dose of study intervention.

| | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| End point type | Secondary |
| End point timeframe: | |
| Baseline (Day 1), Week 12, 16 and 26 | |

| End point values | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 362 | 365 | | |
| Units: Units on a scale | | | | |
| least squares mean (confidence interval 95%) | | | | |
| Week 12 | -0.7 (-1.0 to -0.5) | -0.7 (-1.0 to -0.5) | | |
| Week 16 | -0.8 (-1.0 to -0.5) | -0.9 (-1.1 to -0.7) | | |
| Week 26 | -0.8 (-1.0 to -0.5) | -1.0 (-1.3 to -0.8) | | |

Statistical analyses

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Week 12. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | 0 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -0.3 |
| upper limit | 0.3 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Week 26. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |

| | |
|---|------------------------------|
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | 0.2 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -0.1 |
| upper limit | 0.6 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Week 16. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | 0.1 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -0.2 |
| upper limit | 0.5 |

Secondary: Change from Baseline in Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) Total Score at Week 2, 12, 16, 20 and 26

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| End point title | Change from Baseline in Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) Total Score at Week 2, 12, 16, 20 and 26 |
|-----------------|--|

End point description:

DLQI is a 10-item questionnaire that measured the impact of skin disease. Each question was evaluated on a 4-point scale (range 0 to 3) where, 0 = not at all, 1= a little, 2= a lot, 3= very much, where higher scores indicated more impact on quality of life. Scores from all 10 questions were added up to give DLQI total score, ranging from 0 (not at all) to 30 (very much). Higher scores indicated more impact on quality of life of subjects. FAS comprised of all randomised subjects who received at least one dose of study intervention. Here, 'Number of Subjects Analysed' signifies subjects evaluable for this end point.

| | |
|----------------|-----------|
| End point type | Secondary |
|----------------|-----------|

End point timeframe:

Baseline (Day 1), Week 2, 12, 16, 20 and 26

| End point values | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W | | |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 361 | 363 | | |
| Units: Units on a scale | | | | |
| least squares mean (confidence interval 95%) | | | | |
| Week 2 | -8.6 (-9.1 to -8.2) | -6.7 (-7.1 to -6.2) | | |
| Week 12 | -10.7 (-11.1 to -10.2) | -9.7 (-10.1 to -9.3) | | |
| Week 16 | -10.8 (-11.2 to -10.4) | -10.0 (-10.5 to -9.6) | | |
| Week 20 | -10.8 (-11.2 to -10.3) | -10.1 (-10.6 to -9.7) | | |
| Week 26 | -10.3 (-10.8 to -9.9) | -10.0 (-10.5 to -9.6) | | |

Statistical analyses

| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis description: Week 2. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 724 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -2 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -2.6 |
| upper limit | -1.3 |

| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|--|---|
| Statistical analysis description: Week 12. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 724 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -1 |

| | |
|---------------------|---------|
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -1.6 |
| upper limit | -0.4 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Week 16. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 724 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -0.8 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -1.4 |
| upper limit | -0.2 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Week 26. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 724 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -0.3 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -1 |
| upper limit | 0.4 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Week 20. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|-------------------|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-------------------|--|

| | |
|---|------------------------------|
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 724 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -0.6 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -1.2 |
| upper limit | 0 |

Secondary: Change from Baseline in EuroQol Quality of Life 5-Dimension 5-Level (EQ-5D-5L) Visual Analog Scale (VAS) Score at Week 12, 16 and 26

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| End point title | Change from Baseline in EuroQol Quality of Life 5-Dimension 5-Level (EQ-5D-5L) Visual Analog Scale (VAS) Score at Week 12, 16 and 26 |
|-----------------|--|

End point description:

The EQ-5D-5L is a self-reported health status questionnaire that consisted of six questions used to calculate a health utility score. There were two components to the EQ-5D-5L: a five-item health state profile that assessed mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression used to obtain an Index Utility Score, as well as a VAS that measured health state. EQ-5D VAS was used to record subject's rating for his/her current health-related quality of life state on a vertical VAS with scores ranging from 0 to 100, where 0 = worst imaginable health state and 100 = best imaginable health state. FAS comprised of all randomised subjects who received at least one dose of study intervention. Here, 'Number of Subjects Analysed' signifies subjects evaluable for this end point.

| | |
|----------------|-----------|
| End point type | Secondary |
|----------------|-----------|

End point timeframe:

Baseline (Day 1), Week 12, 16 and 26

| End point values | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W | | |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 362 | 364 | | |
| Units: Units on a scale | | | | |
| least squares mean (confidence interval 95%) | | | | |
| Week 12 | 12.370 (10.917 to 13.822) | 11.552 (10.123 to 12.981) | | |
| Week 16 | 12.567 (11.015 to 14.118) | 10.474 (8.951 to 11.997) | | |
| Week 26 | 13.484 (11.982 to 14.985) | 14.300 (12.836 to 15.764) | | |

Statistical analyses

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Week 12. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 726 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | 0.818 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -1.22 |
| upper limit | 2.856 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Week 26. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 726 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -0.816 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -2.914 |
| upper limit | 1.281 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Week 16. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 726 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | 2.093 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -0.081 |
| upper limit | 4.267 |

Secondary: Change from Baseline in Patient-Oriented Eczema Measure (POEM) Total Score at Week 12, 16 and 26

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| End point title | Change from Baseline in Patient-Oriented Eczema Measure (POEM) Total Score at Week 12, 16 and 26 |
| End point description: | POEM was a 7-item patient reported outcome measure used to assess the impact of AD (dryness, itching, flaking, cracking, sleep loss, bleeding and weeping) over the past week. Each item was scored as: no days=0, 1-2 days=1, 3-4 days=2, 5-6 days=3 and every day=4. The item scores were added to provide a total score ranging from 0 to 28, where higher score indicated greater severity. FAS comprised of all randomised subjects who received at least one dose of study intervention. |
| End point type | Secondary |
| End point timeframe: | Baseline (Day 1), Week 12, 16 and 26 |

| End point values | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W | | |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 362 | 365 | | |
| Units: Units on a scale | | | | |
| least squares mean (confidence interval 95%) | | | | |
| Week 12 | -14.2 (-14.9 to -13.6) | -12.6 (-13.3 to -12.0) | | |
| Week 16 | -14.2 (-14.8 to -13.6) | -12.8 (-13.4 to -12.2) | | |
| Week 26 | -13.8 (-14.5 to -13.1) | -13.4 (-14.0 to -12.7) | | |

Statistical analyses

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | Week 12. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -1.6 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -2.5 |
| upper limit | -0.7 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Week 16. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -1.4 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -2.2 |
| upper limit | -0.5 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Week 26. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -0.4 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -1.3 |
| upper limit | 0.5 |

Secondary: Change from Baseline in Medical Outcomes Study – Sleep Scale (MOS-Sleep Scale) at Week 12, 16 and 26

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| End point title | Change from Baseline in Medical Outcomes Study – Sleep Scale (MOS-Sleep Scale) at Week 12, 16 and 26 |
|-----------------|--|

End point description:

The MOS Sleep Scale is a 12-item measure that is segregated into subscales addressing seven sleep domains (i.e. sleep disturbance, snoring, short of breath or headache, adequacy of sleep, somnolence, sleep problems index I and sleep problems index II). An additional single item assessed quantity of sleep. Each of the sleep domains were scored on a range of 0-100, and higher scores indicated worse outcomes. The quantity of sleep scores ranged from 0 to 24 (number of hours slept). Change from baseline scores for each individual sleep domain and quantity of sleep are reported in this outcome measure. FAS comprised of all randomised subjects who received at least one dose of study intervention.

| | |
|----------------|-----------|
| End point type | Secondary |
|----------------|-----------|

End point timeframe:

Baseline (Day 1), Week 12, 16 and 26

| End point values | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W | | |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 362 | 365 | | |
| Units: Units on a scale | | | | |
| least squares mean (confidence interval 95%) | | | | |
| Quantity Hours Slept Score: Week 12 | 0.7 (0.5 to 0.9) | 0.5 (0.2 to 0.7) | | |
| Quantity Hours Slept Score: Week 16 | 0.6 (0.3 to 0.8) | 0.5 (0.3 to 0.7) | | |
| Quantity Hours Slept Score: Week 26 | 0.5 (0.3 to 0.7) | 0.4 (0.2 to 0.6) | | |
| Short of Breath or Headache score: Week 12 | -0.7 (-2.2 to 0.9) | -1.9 (-3.4 to 0.4) | | |
| Short of Breath or Headache score: Week 16 | -1.2 (-2.7 to 0.3) | -1.3 (-2.8 to 0.2) | | |
| Short of Breath or Headache score: Week 26 | -2.0 (-3.5 to 0.6) | -2.6 (-4.0 to 1.2) | | |
| Snoring Score: Week 12 | -5.3 (-7.0 to 3.6) | -3.5 (-5.2 to 1.8) | | |
| Snoring Score: Week 16 | -4.9 (-6.6 to 3.1) | -4.0 (-5.7 to 2.3) | | |
| Snoring Score: Week 26 | -3.9 (-5.7 to 2.0) | -4.7 (-6.5 to 2.9) | | |
| Sleep Disturbance Score: Week 12 | -20.5 (-22.3 to -18.8) | -16.4 (-18.1 to -14.7) | | |
| Sleep Disturbance Score: Week 16 | -21.5 (-23.2 to -19.7) | -17.7 (-19.4 to -16.0) | | |
| Sleep Disturbance Score: Week 26 | -21.2 (-23.0 to -19.5) | -19.5 (-21.2 to -17.8) | | |
| Sleep Adequacy Score: Week 12 | 13.9 (12.1 to 15.8) | 12.9 (11.1 to 14.7) | | |
| Sleep Adequacy Score: Week 16 | 15.7 (13.9 to 17.4) | 12.7 (11.0 to 14.5) | | |
| Sleep Adequacy Score: Week 26 | 14.0 (12.1 to 15.8) | 13.2 (11.4 to 15.1) | | |
| Sleep Somnolence Score: Week 12 | -7.7 (-9.1 to 6.2) | -6.9 (-8.3 to 5.5) | | |
| Sleep Somnolence Score: Week 16 | -9.8 (-11.3 to 8.4) | -7.4 (-8.8 to 6.0) | | |
| Sleep Somnolence Score: Week 26 | -9.9 (-11.3 to 8.5) | -7.6 (-9.0 to 6.2) | | |
| Sleep Problems Index I Score: Week 12 | -12.1 (-13.4 to -10.8) | -10.9 (-12.2 to -9.6) | | |
| Sleep Problems Index I Score: Week 16 | -13.3 (-14.5 to -12.0) | -11.0 (-12.2 to -9.8) | | |
| Sleep Problems Index I Score: Week 26 | -12.9 (-14.2 to -11.7) | -12.1 (-13.3 to -10.9) | | |
| Sleep Problems Index II Score: Week 12 | -14.4 (-15.7 to -13.1) | -12.2 (-13.5 to -10.9) | | |
| Sleep Problems Index II Score: Week 16 | -15.7 (-17.0 to -14.4) | -12.8 (-14.0 to -11.5) | | |
| Sleep Problems Index II Score: Week 26 | -15.4 (-16.7 to -14.1) | -14.0 (-15.2 to -12.7) | | |

Statistical analyses

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: Quantity of hours slept: Week 12. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | 0.2 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -0.1 |
| upper limit | 0.6 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: Quantity of hours slept: Week 16. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | 0.1 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -0.2 |
| upper limit | 0.4 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: Quantity of hours slept: Week 26. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |

| | |
|---|------------------------------|
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | 0.1 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -0.2 |
| upper limit | 0.4 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Short of Breath or Headache score: Week 12. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | 1.2 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -0.9 |
| upper limit | 3.4 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Short of Breath or Headache score: Week 16. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | 0.1 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -2 |
| upper limit | 2.2 |

| | |
|--|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Short of Breath or Headache score: Week 26. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | 0.6 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -1.5 |
| upper limit | 2.6 |

| | |
|--|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Snoring score: Week 12. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -1.8 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -4.2 |
| upper limit | 0.5 |

| | |
|--|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Snoring score: Week 16. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -0.9 |

| | |
|---------------------|---------|
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -3.3 |
| upper limit | 1.5 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Snoring score: Week 26. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | 0.8 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -1.7 |
| upper limit | 3.4 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Sleep disturbance score: Week 12. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -4.1 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -6.6 |
| upper limit | -1.6 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Sleep disturbance score: Week 16. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -3.8 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -6.2 |
| upper limit | -1.4 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Sleep disturbance score: Week 26. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -1.7 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -4.1 |
| upper limit | 0.7 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Sleep adequacy score: Week 16. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | 2.9 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 0.4 |
| upper limit | 5.4 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Sleep adequacy score: Week 12. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | 1 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -1.6 |
| upper limit | 3.6 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Sleep somnolence score: Week 12. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -0.8 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -2.8 |
| upper limit | 1.3 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Sleep adequacy score: Week 26. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |

| | |
|---|------------------------------|
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | 0.7 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -1.9 |
| upper limit | 3.4 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Sleep somnolence score: Week 26. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -2.3 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -4.3 |
| upper limit | -0.3 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Sleep somnolence score: Week 16. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -2.4 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -4.4 |
| upper limit | -0.4 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Sleep Problems Index I score: Week 12. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -1.2 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -3 |
| upper limit | 0.7 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Sleep Problems Index I score: Week 16. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -2.3 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -4 |
| upper limit | -0.5 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Sleep Problems Index I score: Week 26. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -0.8 |

| | |
|---------------------|---------|
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -2.5 |
| upper limit | 0.9 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Sleep Problems Index II score: Week 16. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -2.9 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -4.8 |
| upper limit | -1.1 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Sleep Problems Index II score: Week 12. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -2.2 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -4 |
| upper limit | -0.3 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Sleep Problems Index II score: Week 26. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -1.4 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -3.2 |
| upper limit | 0.4 |

Secondary: Change from Baseline in Skin Pain NRS at Week 2, 12, 16, 20 and 26

| | |
|--|--|
| End point title | Change from Baseline in Skin Pain NRS at Week 2, 12, 16, 20 and 26 |
| End point description: | |
| The skin pain NRS was a patient reported outcome where subjects were asked to rate the "worst skin pain" in the past 24 hours on a 11-point scale from 0=no skin pain to 10=worst skin pain imaginable. Higher scores indicated worse pain. FAS comprised of all randomised subjects who received at least one dose of study intervention. | |
| End point type | Secondary |
| End point timeframe: | |
| Baseline (Day 1), Week 2, 12, 16, 20 and 26 | |

| End point values | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 362 | 365 | | |
| Units: Units on a scale | | | | |
| least squares mean (confidence interval 95%) | | | | |
| Week 2 | -3.7 (-3.9 to -3.4) | -2.6 (-2.8 to -2.3) | | |
| Week 12 | -4.5 (-4.7 to -4.2) | -4.0 (-4.3 to -3.8) | | |
| Week 16 | -4.4 (-4.7 to -4.2) | -4.2 (-4.4 to -4.0) | | |
| Week 20 | -4.8 (-5.0 to -4.5) | -4.5 (-4.7 to -4.2) | | |
| Week 26 | -4.5 (-4.8 to -4.3) | -4.3 (-4.6 to -4.1) | | |

Statistical analyses

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Week 12. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. | |

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -0.4 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -0.8 |
| upper limit | -0.1 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Week 2. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -1.1 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -1.5 |
| upper limit | -0.8 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Week 20. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -0.3 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -0.6 |
| upper limit | 0 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Week 26. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -0.2 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -0.5 |
| upper limit | 0.1 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Week 16. Analysis was performed using MMRM with fixed factors of treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction, baseline disease severity, baseline value and an unstructured covariance matrix. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | -0.2 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -0.6 |
| upper limit | 0.1 |

Secondary: Medicated topical background therapy-free days

| | |
|--|--|
| End point title | Medicated topical background therapy-free days |
| End point description: | |
| Medicated topical background therapy-free days was defined as number of days where a subject maintained a response of EASI-90 or greater without the use of medicated topical background therapy. FAS comprised of all randomised subjects who received at least one dose of study intervention. | |
| End point type | Secondary |
| End point timeframe: | |
| Day 1 up to Week 26 | |

| | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| End point values | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W | | |
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 362 | 365 | | |
| Units: Days | | | | |
| least squares mean (confidence interval 95%) | 51.4 (46.0 to 56.8) | 33.3 (27.9 to 38.7) | | |

Statistical analyses

| | |
|--|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Statistical analysis description: | |
| Analysis was performed using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) model including treatment as a main effect and baseline disease severity as covariates. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Least square mean difference |
| Point estimate | 18.1 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 10.5 |
| upper limit | 25.7 |

Secondary: Percentage of Subjects Achieving ≥ 4 Points Improvement from Baseline in DLQI at Week 2, 12, 16, 20 and 26

| | |
|--|---|
| End point title | Percentage of Subjects Achieving ≥ 4 Points Improvement from Baseline in DLQI at Week 2, 12, 16, 20 and 26 |
| End point description: | |
| DLQI was a 10-item questionnaire that measured the impact of skin disease. Each question was evaluated on a 4-point scale (range 0 to 3) where, 0 = not at all, 1= a little, 2= a lot, 3= very much, where higher scores indicated more impact on quality of life. Scores from all 10 questions were added up to give DLQI total score range from 0 (not at all) to 30 (very much). Higher scores indicated more impact on quality of life of subjects. FAS comprised of all randomised subjects who received at least one dose of study intervention. Here, 'n' signifies subjects evaluable for the specified time points. | |
| End point type | Secondary |
| End point timeframe: | |
| Week 2, 12, 16, 20 and 26 | |

| End point values | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 362 | 365 | | |
| Units: Percentage of subjects | | | | |
| number (confidence interval 95%) | | | | |
| Week 2; n=342, 351 | 81.3 (77.2 to 85.4) | 67.5 (62.6 to 72.4) | | |
| Week 12; n=343, 350 | 85.4 (81.7 to 89.2) | 81.4 (77.4 to 85.5) | | |
| Week 16; n=342, 349 | 82.7 (78.7 to 86.8) | 84.2 (80.4 to 88.1) | | |
| Week 20; n=341, 350 | 81.8 (77.7 to 85.9) | 83.7 (79.8 to 87.6) | | |
| Week 26; n=334, 349 | 76.6 (72.1 to 81.2) | 80.8 (76.7 to 84.9) | | |

Statistical analyses

| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|---|---|
| Statistical analysis description: Week 2. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 13.7 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | 7.3 |
| upper limit | 20.1 |

| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|--|---|
| Statistical analysis description: Week 12. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions. | |
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | 3.9 |

| | |
|---------------------|---------|
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -1.6 |
| upper limit | 9.4 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Week 16. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | -1.6 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -7.1 |
| upper limit | 4 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Week 20. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions.

| | |
|---|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | -2 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -7.6 |
| upper limit | 3.6 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Statistical analysis title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD vs Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------------------|---|

Statistical analysis description:

Week 26. The estimate and CI for difference were calculated based on the weighted average of difference by disease severity group using the normal approximation of binomial proportions.

| | |
|-------------------|--|
| Comparison groups | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD v Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-------------------|--|

| | |
|---|------------------------|
| Number of subjects included in analysis | 727 |
| Analysis specification | Pre-specified |
| Analysis type | other |
| Parameter estimate | Estimate of difference |
| Point estimate | -4.2 |
| Confidence interval | |
| level | 95 % |
| sides | 2-sided |
| lower limit | -10.3 |
| upper limit | 1.9 |

Other pre-specified: Number of Subjects with Treatment Emergent Adverse Events (TEAEs)

| | |
|--|---|
| End point title | Number of Subjects with Treatment Emergent Adverse Events (TEAEs) |
| End point description: | |
| An AE was any untoward medical occurrence in a clinical study subject, temporally associated with the use of study intervention, whether or not considered related to the study intervention. An AE was considered a TEAE if the event started on or after the first dosing day until 28 days post last dose of study drug. AEs included both serious and non-serious AEs. Safety population comprised of all subjects randomly assigned to study intervention and who took at least 1 dose of study intervention. | |
| End point type | Other pre-specified |
| End point timeframe: | |
| From start of study intervention to 28 days post last dose of study intervention (Up to Week 30) | |

| End point values | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 362 | 365 | | |
| Units: Subjects | 268 | 239 | | |

Statistical analyses

No statistical analyses for this end point

Other pre-specified: Number of Subjects with Serious Adverse Events (SAEs) and AEs Leading to Study Discontinuation

| | |
|---|--|
| End point title | Number of Subjects with Serious Adverse Events (SAEs) and AEs Leading to Study Discontinuation |
| End point description: | |
| An SAE was defined as any untoward medical occurrence that, at any dose: resulted in death; was life-threatening; required inpatient hospitalization or prolongation of existing hospitalization; resulted in persistent disability/incapacity; a congenital anomaly/birth defect and other important medical events. Safety population comprised of all subjects randomly assigned to study intervention and who took at least 1 dose of study intervention. | |
| End point type | Other pre-specified |

End point timeframe:

From start of study intervention to 28 days post last dose of study intervention (Up to Week 30)

| End point values | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 362 | 365 | | |
| Units: Subjects | | | | |
| SAEs | 6 | 6 | | |
| AEs Leading to Study Discontinuation | 12 | 9 | | |

Statistical analyses

No statistical analyses for this end point

Other pre-specified: Number of Subjects with Laboratory Abnormalities Meeting Pre-Defined Criteria

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| End point title | Number of Subjects with Laboratory Abnormalities Meeting Pre-Defined Criteria |
|-----------------|---|

End point description:

The pre-defined criteria for laboratory parameters included: haemoglobin (<9 grams per decilitre or decreases to ≥ 2 below baseline); platelets ($< 75 \times 10^3$ cells per millimetre cube [mm^3]); lymphocytes ($< 0.5 \times 10^3$ cells per mm^3); neutrophils ($< 1 \times 10^3$ cells per mm^3); aspartate aminotransferase and alanine aminotransferase ($> 3 \times$ upper limit of normal). Safety population comprised of all subjects randomly assigned to study intervention and who took at least 1 dose of study intervention.

| | |
|----------------|---------------------|
| End point type | Other pre-specified |
|----------------|---------------------|

End point timeframe:

From start of study intervention to 28 days post last dose of study intervention (Up to Week 30)

| End point values | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 362 | 365 | | |
| Units: Subjects | 38 | 10 | | |

Statistical analyses

No statistical analyses for this end point

Other pre-specified: Number of Subjects with Clinically Significant Change from Baseline in Vital Signs

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| End point title | Number of Subjects with Clinically Significant Change from Baseline in Vital Signs |
|-----------------|--|

End point description:

Vital signs including temperature, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, and pulse rate were measured in a seated position after 5 minutes rest. Clinically significant change from baseline in vital signs were determined by the investigator. Safety population comprised of all subjects randomly assigned to study intervention and who took at least 1 dose of study intervention.

End point type Other pre-specified

End point timeframe:

From start of study intervention to 28 days post last dose of study intervention (Up to Week 30)

| End point values | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 362 | 365 | | |
| Units: Subjects | 0 | 0 | | |

Statistical analyses

No statistical analyses for this end point

Other pre-specified: Number of Subjects with Clinically Significant Change from Baseline in Electrocardiogram (ECG) Data

End point title Number of Subjects with Clinically Significant Change from Baseline in Electrocardiogram (ECG) Data

End point description:

A single 12-lead ECG was performed after the subject has rested for at least 10 minutes quietly in the supine position. Clinically significant change from baseline in ECG data was determined by the investigator. Safety population comprised of all subjects randomly assigned to study intervention and who took at least 1 dose of study intervention.

End point type Other pre-specified

End point timeframe:

From start of study intervention to 28 days post last dose of study intervention (Up to Week 30)

| End point values | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Subject group type | Reporting group | Reporting group | | |
| Number of subjects analysed | 362 | 365 | | |
| Units: Subjects | 0 | 0 | | |

Statistical analyses

No statistical analyses for this end point

Adverse events

Adverse events information

Timeframe for reporting adverse events:

From start of study intervention up to 28 days after last dose of study intervention (Up to Week 30)

Adverse event reporting additional description:

An AE term may be reported as both a serious and non-serious AE, but are distinct events. An AE may be serious for 1 subject and non-serious for another subject, or a subject may have experienced both a serious and non-serious episode of the same event.

| | |
|-----------------|----------------|
| Assessment type | Non-systematic |
|-----------------|----------------|

Dictionary used

| | |
|-----------------|--------|
| Dictionary name | MedDRA |
|-----------------|--------|

| | |
|--------------------|------|
| Dictionary version | 24.0 |
|--------------------|------|

Reporting groups

| | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Reporting group title | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|

Reporting group description:

Subjects were administered abrocitinib 200 mg (2 x 100 mg) oral tablets once daily from Day 1 to Week 26 along with dupilumab-matching placebo administered as a subcutaneous injection Q2W until Week 24. Subjects were followed for up to 4 weeks post last dose of study intervention.

| | |
|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Reporting group title | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W |
|-----------------------|----------------------|

Reporting group description:

Subjects were administered dupilumab 300 mg as a subcutaneous injection Q2W until Week 24 along with abrocitinib-matching placebo oral tablets administered once daily from Day 1 to Week 26. Subjects were followed for up to 4 weeks post last dose of study intervention.

| Serious adverse events | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|--|
| Total subjects affected by serious adverse events | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 6 / 362 (1.66%) | 6 / 365 (1.64%) | |
| number of deaths (all causes) | 2 | 0 | |
| number of deaths resulting from adverse events | | | |
| Investigations | | | |
| Alanine aminotransferase increased | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 0 / 362 (0.00%) | 1 / 365 (0.27%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 1 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Injury, poisoning and procedural complications | | | |
| Upper limb fracture | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 1 / 362 (0.28%) | 0 / 365 (0.00%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 1 | 0 / 0 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Cardiac disorders | | | |

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| Cardio-respiratory arrest subjects affected / exposed | 1 / 362 (0.28%) | 0 / 365 (0.00%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 1 | 0 / 0 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 1 | 0 / 0 | |
| Nervous system disorders Haemorrhage intracranial subjects affected / exposed | 1 / 362 (0.28%) | 0 / 365 (0.00%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 1 | 0 / 0 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 1 | 0 / 0 | |
| Hepatobiliary disorders Cholecystitis acute subjects affected / exposed | 1 / 362 (0.28%) | 0 / 365 (0.00%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 1 | 0 / 0 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders Asthma subjects affected / exposed | 0 / 362 (0.00%) | 1 / 365 (0.27%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 1 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders Dermatitis atopic subjects affected / exposed | 0 / 362 (0.00%) | 1 / 365 (0.27%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 1 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Renal and urinary disorders Nephrotic syndrome subjects affected / exposed | 0 / 362 (0.00%) | 1 / 365 (0.27%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 1 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders Musculoskeletal chest pain subjects affected / exposed | 0 / 362 (0.00%) | 1 / 365 (0.27%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 1 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Rhabdomyolysis | | | |

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| subjects affected / exposed | 0 / 362 (0.00%) | 1 / 365 (0.27%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 1 / 1 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Infections and infestations | | | |
| COVID-19 | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 1 / 362 (0.28%) | 0 / 365 (0.00%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 1 | 0 / 0 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 1 | 0 / 0 | |
| COVID-19 pneumonia | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 1 / 362 (0.28%) | 0 / 365 (0.00%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 1 | 0 / 0 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |
| Pneumonia | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 1 / 362 (0.28%) | 0 / 365 (0.00%) | |
| occurrences causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 1 | 0 / 0 | |
| deaths causally related to treatment / all | 0 / 0 | 0 / 0 | |

Frequency threshold for reporting non-serious adverse events: 2 %

| Non-serious adverse events | Abrocitinib 200 mg QD | Dupilumab 300 mg Q2W | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|--|
| Total subjects affected by non-serious adverse events | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 203 / 362 (56.08%) | 144 / 365 (39.45%) | |
| Investigations | | | |
| Blood creatine phosphokinase increased | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 14 / 362 (3.87%) | 13 / 365 (3.56%) | |
| occurrences (all) | 14 | 13 | |
| Natural killer cell count decreased | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 10 / 362 (2.76%) | 0 / 365 (0.00%) | |
| occurrences (all) | 13 | 0 | |
| SARS-CoV-2 test positive | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 15 / 362 (4.14%) | 13 / 365 (3.56%) | |
| occurrences (all) | 15 | 13 | |
| Weight increased | | | |

| | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--|
| subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all) | 8 / 362 (2.21%) 8 | 3 / 365 (0.82%) 3 | |
| Nervous system disorders | | | |
| Dizziness | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 10 / 362 (2.76%) | 4 / 365 (1.10%) | |
| occurrences (all) | 11 | 4 | |
| Headache | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 47 / 362 (12.98%) | 24 / 365 (6.58%) | |
| occurrences (all) | 57 | 26 | |
| General disorders and administration site conditions | | | |
| Fatigue | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 10 / 362 (2.76%) | 5 / 365 (1.37%) | |
| occurrences (all) | 13 | 5 | |
| Gastrointestinal disorders | | | |
| Diarrhoea | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 8 / 362 (2.21%) | 8 / 365 (2.19%) | |
| occurrences (all) | 9 | 10 | |
| Nausea | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 70 / 362 (19.34%) | 8 / 365 (2.19%) | |
| occurrences (all) | 79 | 12 | |
| Vomiting | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 11 / 362 (3.04%) | 6 / 365 (1.64%) | |
| occurrences (all) | 12 | 6 | |
| Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders | | | |
| Epistaxis | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 8 / 362 (2.21%) | 1 / 365 (0.27%) | |
| occurrences (all) | 8 | 1 | |
| Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders | | | |
| Acne | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 46 / 362 (12.71%) | 10 / 365 (2.74%) | |
| occurrences (all) | 51 | 11 | |
| Dermatitis atopic | | | |
| subjects affected / exposed | 17 / 362 (4.70%) | 13 / 365 (3.56%) | |
| occurrences (all) | 20 | 14 | |
| Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders | | | |

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Arthralgia subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all) | 2 / 362 (0.55%) 2 | 8 / 365 (2.19%) 8 | |
| Infections and infestations | | | |
| COVID-19 subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all) | 14 / 362 (3.87%) 14 | 12 / 365 (3.29%) 12 | |
| Conjunctivitis subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all) | 8 / 362 (2.21%) 8 | 35 / 365 (9.59%) 41 | |
| Herpes simplex subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all) | 12 / 362 (3.31%) 13 | 5 / 365 (1.37%) 5 | |
| Folliculitis subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all) | 12 / 362 (3.31%) 13 | 3 / 365 (0.82%) 3 | |
| Nasopharyngitis subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all) | 14 / 362 (3.87%) 15 | 12 / 365 (3.29%) 12 | |
| Herpes zoster subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all) | 9 / 362 (2.49%) 9 | 2 / 365 (0.55%) 2 | |
| Oral herpes subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all) | 9 / 362 (2.49%) 9 | 15 / 365 (4.11%) 20 | |
| Upper respiratory tract infection subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all) | 10 / 362 (2.76%) 11 | 9 / 365 (2.47%) 9 | |
| Urinary tract infection subjects affected / exposed occurrences (all) | 8 / 362 (2.21%) 9 | 7 / 365 (1.92%) 7 | |

More information

Substantial protocol amendments (globally)

Were there any global substantial amendments to the protocol? Yes

| Date | Amendment |
|----------------|---|
| 14 July 2020 | Amendment 1: Country specific changes for Czech republic Section 5.2: Subjects with increased risk of developing venous thromboembolism were excluded. Section 6.5.2.: If a subject required high potency topical therapy or systemic rescue therapy, they were to be permanently discontinued from the study intervention, have an End of Treatment visit and enter the 4-week follow-up period. |
| 14 August 2020 | Amendment 2: Per updated safety information, subjects with increased risk of developing venous thromboembolism were excluded. Inclusion criterion updated to require a clinical diagnosis of AD at least 6 months prior to Day 1 instead of at least 1 year. Exclusion of prior use of dupilumab has been expanded to include all IL-4 and IL-13 antagonists. Healthcare Resource Utilization (HCRU) assessment was moved from Week 16 to Week 12. Requirements for mental health professional assessments and recurrent suicidal ideation and behavior were clarified. Data collection requirements for adverse events of conjunctivitis were added. Temporary discontinuation timeframe updated for the injectable study intervention and requirement added for subjects who need systemic rescue therapy to temporarily discontinue study intervention while taking systemic rescue therapy. Added isoniazid as a prohibited concomitant medication. |

Notes:

Interruptions (globally)

Were there any global interruptions to the trial? No

Limitations and caveats

None reported