

Table 14.2.7.1  
Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS)  
FAS Population

Evaluator: Investigator

Visit Question	Statistics	Alluzience (N=99)
Visit 3 (1-Month Follow-up) (n=99)		
Change in Appearance of Glabellar Lines at Rest		
Very Much Improved	n (%)	50 (50.5%)
	95% CI	(0.407, 0.604)
Much Improved	n (%)	35 (35.4%)
	95% CI	(0.259, 0.448)
Improved	n (%)	12 (12.1%)
	95% CI	(0.057, 0.186)
No Change	n (%)	2 (2.0%)
	95% CI	(0.000, 0.048)
Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)
Much Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)
Very Much Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)

Abbreviation: FAS=Full Analysis Set;  
Notes: Percentages are based on the FAS population at each visit.  
The FAS population is defined as all randomized and treated subjects.

Table 14.2.7.1  
Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS)  
FAS Population

Evaluator: Investigator

Visit Question		Statistics	Alluzience (N=99)
Visit 3 (1-Month Follow-up) (n=99)			
Change in Appearance of Glabellar Lines at Maximum Frown			
	Very Much Improved	n (%)	64 (64.6%)
		95% CI	(0.552, 0.741)
	Much Improved	n (%)	27 (27.3%)
		95% CI	(0.185, 0.360)
	Improved	n (%)	7 (7.1%)
		95% CI	(0.020, 0.121)
	No Change	n (%)	1 (1.0%)
		95% CI	(0.000, 0.030)
	Worse	n (%)	0
		95% CI	(NA, NA)
	Much Worse	n (%)	0
		95% CI	(NA, NA)
	Very Much Worse	n (%)	0
		95% CI	(NA, NA)

Abbreviation: FAS=Full Analysis Set;  
Notes: Percentages are based on the FAS population at each visit.  
The FAS population is defined as all randomized and treated subjects.

Table 14.2.7.1  
Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS)  
FAS Population

Evaluator: Investigator

Visit Question	Statistics	Alluzience (N=99)
Visit 4 (3-Month Follow-up) (n=98)		
Change in Appearance of Glabellar Lines at Rest		
Very Much Improved	n (%)	29 (29.6%)
	95% CI	(0.206, 0.386)
Much Improved	n (%)	36 (36.7%)
	95% CI	(0.272, 0.463)
Improved	n (%)	27 (27.6%)
	95% CI	(0.187, 0.364)
No Change	n (%)	6 (6.1%)
	95% CI	(0.014, 0.109)
Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)
Much Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)
Very Much Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)

Abbreviation: FAS=Full Analysis Set;

Notes: Percentages are based on the FAS population at each visit.

The FAS population is defined as all randomized and treated subjects.

Program Name: S:\Statistics\GAL\GAL2102\CSR\Programs\Production\TLFs\T2102\_GAIS.sas

Table 14.2.7.1  
Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS)  
FAS Population

Evaluator: Investigator

Visit Question		Statistics	Alluzience (N=99)
Visit 4 (3-Month Follow-up) (n=98)			
Change in Appearance of Glabellar Lines at Maximum Frown			
	Very Much Improved	n (%)	33 (33.7%)
		95% CI	(0.243, 0.430)
	Much Improved	n (%)	40 (40.8%)
		95% CI	(0.311, 0.505)
	Improved	n (%)	22 (22.4%)
		95% CI	(0.142, 0.307)
	No Change	n (%)	3 (3.1%)
		95% CI	(0.000, 0.065)
	Worse	n (%)	0
		95% CI	(NA, NA)
	Much Worse	n (%)	0
		95% CI	(NA, NA)
	Very Much Worse	n (%)	0
		95% CI	(NA, NA)

Abbreviation: FAS=Full Analysis Set;  
Notes: Percentages are based on the FAS population at each visit.  
The FAS population is defined as all randomized and treated subjects.

Table 14.2.7.1  
 Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS)  
 FAS Population

Evaluator: Investigator

Visit Question	Statistics	Alluzience (N=99)
Visit 5 (5-Month Follow-up) (n=97)		
Change in Appearance of Glabellar Lines at Rest		
Very Much Improved	n (%)	17 (17.5%)
	95% CI	(0.100, 0.251)
Much Improved	n (%)	26 (26.8%)
	95% CI	(0.180, 0.356)
Improved	n (%)	32 (33.0%)
	95% CI	(0.236, 0.423)
No Change	n (%)	22 (22.7%)
	95% CI	(0.143, 0.310)
Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)
Much Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)
Very Much Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)

Abbreviation: FAS=Full Analysis Set;  
 Notes: Percentages are based on the FAS population at each visit.  
 The FAS population is defined as all randomized and treated subjects.

Table 14.2.7.1  
Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS)  
FAS Population

Evaluator: Investigator

Visit Question	Statistics	Alluzience (N=99)
Visit 5 (5-Month Follow-up) (n=97)		
Change in Appearance of Glabellar Lines at Maximum Frown		
Very Much Improved	n (%)	15 (15.5%)
	95% CI	(0.083, 0.227)
Much Improved	n (%)	33 (34.0%)
	95% CI	(0.246, 0.434)
Improved	n (%)	33 (34.0%)
	95% CI	(0.246, 0.434)
No Change	n (%)	16 (16.5%)
	95% CI	(0.091, 0.239)
Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)
Much Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)
Very Much Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)

Abbreviation: FAS=Full Analysis Set;  
Notes: Percentages are based on the FAS population at each visit.  
The FAS population is defined as all randomized and treated subjects.

Table 14.2.7.1  
Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS)  
FAS Population

Evaluator: Investigator

Visit Question	Statistics	Alluzience (N=99)
Visit 6 (6-Month Follow-up/ET) (n=96)		
Change in Appearance of Glabellar Lines at Rest		
Very Much Improved	n (%)	9 (9.4%)
	95% CI	(0.035, 0.152)
Much Improved	n (%)	12 (12.5%)
	95% CI	(0.059, 0.191)
Improved	n (%)	46 (47.9%)
	95% CI	(0.379, 0.579)
No Change	n (%)	29 (30.2%)
	95% CI	(0.210, 0.394)
Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)
Much Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)
Very Much Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)

Abbreviation: FAS=Full Analysis Set;  
Notes: Percentages are based on the FAS population at each visit.  
The FAS population is defined as all randomized and treated subjects.

Table 14.2.7.1  
 Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS)  
 FAS Population

Evaluator: Investigator

Visit Question		Statistics	Alluzience (N=99)
Visit 6 (6-Month Follow-up/ET) (n=96)			
Change in Appearance of Glabellar Lines at Maximum Frown			
	Very Much Improved	n (%)	8 (8.3%)
		95% CI	(0.028, 0.139)
	Much Improved	n (%)	17 (17.7%)
		95% CI	(0.101, 0.253)
	Improved	n (%)	48 (50.0%)
		95% CI	(0.400, 0.600)
	No Change	n (%)	23 (24.0%)
		95% CI	(0.154, 0.325)
	Worse	n (%)	0
		95% CI	(NA, NA)
	Much Worse	n (%)	0
		95% CI	(NA, NA)
	Very Much Worse	n (%)	0
		95% CI	(NA, NA)

Abbreviation: FAS=Full Analysis Set;

Notes: Percentages are based on the FAS population at each visit.

The FAS population is defined as all randomized and treated subjects.

Program Name: S:\Statistics\GAL\GAL2102\CSR\Programs\Production\TLFs\T2102\_GAIS.sas



Table 14.2.7.1  
Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS)  
FAS Population

Evaluator: Subject

Visit Question	Statistics	Alluzience (N=99)
Visit 3 (1-Month Follow-up) (n=99)		
How would you rate the change in appearance of your glabellar lines (lines between your eyebrows) at rest compared to immediately before the injection?		
Very Much Improved	n (%)	50 (50.5%)
	95% CI	(0.407, 0.604)
Much Improved	n (%)	32 (32.3%)
	95% CI	(0.231, 0.415)
Improved	n (%)	14 (14.1%)
	95% CI	(0.073, 0.210)
No Change	n (%)	3 (3.0%)
	95% CI	(0.000, 0.064)
Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)
Much Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)
Very Much Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)

Abbreviation: FAS=Full Analysis Set;  
Notes: Percentages are based on the FAS population at each visit.  
The FAS population is defined as all randomized and treated subjects.

Table 14.2.7.1  
Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS)  
FAS Population

Evaluator: Subject

Visit Question	Statistics	Alluzience (N=99)
Visit 3 (1-Month Follow-up) (n=99)		
How would you rate the change in appearance of your glabellar lines (lines between your eyebrows) at maximum frown compared to immediately before the injection?		
Very Much Improved	n (%)	59 (59.6%)
	95% CI	(0.499, 0.693)
Much Improved	n (%)	32 (32.3%)
	95% CI	(0.231, 0.415)
Improved	n (%)	7 (7.1%)
	95% CI	(0.020, 0.121)
No Change	n (%)	1 (1.0%)
	95% CI	(0.000, 0.030)
Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)
Much Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)
Very Much Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)

Abbreviation: FAS=Full Analysis Set;  
Notes: Percentages are based on the FAS population at each visit.  
The FAS population is defined as all randomized and treated subjects.

Table 14.2.7.1  
 Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS)  
 FAS Population

Evaluator: Subject

Visit Question	Statistics	Alluzience (N=99)
Visit 4 (3-Month Follow-up) (n=98)		
How would you rate the change in appearance of your glabellar lines (lines between your eyebrows) at rest compared to immediately before the injection?		
Very Much Improved	n (%)	34 (34.7%)
	95% CI	(0.253, 0.441)
Much Improved	n (%)	30 (30.6%)
	95% CI	(0.215, 0.397)
Improved	n (%)	27 (27.6%)
	95% CI	(0.187, 0.364)
No Change	n (%)	7 (7.1%)
	95% CI	(0.020, 0.122)
Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)
Much Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)
Very Much Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)

Abbreviation: FAS=Full Analysis Set;  
 Notes: Percentages are based on the FAS population at each visit.  
 The FAS population is defined as all randomized and treated subjects.

Table 14.2.7.1  
Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS)  
FAS Population

Evaluator: Subject

Visit Question	Statistics	Alluzience (N=99)
Visit 4 (3-Month Follow-up) (n=98)		
How would you rate the change in appearance of your glabellar lines (lines between your eyebrows) at maximum frown compared to immediately before the injection?		
Very Much Improved	n (%)	37 (37.8%)
	95% CI	(0.282, 0.474)
Much Improved	n (%)	29 (29.6%)
	95% CI	(0.206, 0.386)
Improved	n (%)	29 (29.6%)
	95% CI	(0.206, 0.386)
No Change	n (%)	3 (3.1%)
	95% CI	(0.000, 0.065)
Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)
Much Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)
Very Much Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)

Abbreviation: FAS=Full Analysis Set;  
Notes: Percentages are based on the FAS population at each visit.  
The FAS population is defined as all randomized and treated subjects.

Table 14.2.7.1  
 Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS)  
 FAS Population

Evaluator: Subject

Visit Question	Statistics	Alluzience (N=99)
Visit 5 (5-Month Follow-up) (n=97)		
How would you rate the change in appearance of your glabellar lines (lines between your eyebrows) at rest compared to immediately before the injection?		
Very Much Improved	n (%)	23 (23.7%)
	95% CI	(0.152, 0.322)
Much Improved	n (%)	24 (24.7%)
	95% CI	(0.162, 0.333)
Improved	n (%)	32 (33.0%)
	95% CI	(0.236, 0.423)
No Change	n (%)	15 (15.5%)
	95% CI	(0.083, 0.227)
Worse	n (%)	2 (2.1%)
	95% CI	(0.000, 0.049)
Much Worse	n (%)	1 (1.0%)
	95% CI	(0.000, 0.030)
Very Much Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)

Abbreviation: FAS=Full Analysis Set;  
 Notes: Percentages are based on the FAS population at each visit.  
 The FAS population is defined as all randomized and treated subjects.

Table 14.2.7.1  
Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS)  
FAS Population

Evaluator: Subject

Visit Question	Statistics	Alluzience (N=99)
Visit 5 (5-Month Follow-up) (n=97)		
How would you rate the change in appearance of your glabellar lines (lines between your eyebrows) at maximum frown compared to immediately before the injection?		
Very Much Improved	n (%)	21 (21.6%)
	95% CI	(0.135, 0.298)
Much Improved	n (%)	23 (23.7%)
	95% CI	(0.152, 0.322)
Improved	n (%)	33 (34.0%)
	95% CI	(0.246, 0.434)
No Change	n (%)	18 (18.6%)
	95% CI	(0.108, 0.263)
Worse	n (%)	1 (1.0%)
	95% CI	(0.000, 0.030)
Much Worse	n (%)	1 (1.0%)
	95% CI	(0.000, 0.030)
Very Much Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)

Abbreviation: FAS=Full Analysis Set;  
Notes: Percentages are based on the FAS population at each visit.  
The FAS population is defined as all randomized and treated subjects.

Table 14.2.7.1  
Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS)  
FAS Population

Evaluator: Subject

Visit Question	Statistics	Alluzience (N=99)
Visit 6 (6-Month Follow-up/ET) (n=96)		
How would you rate the change in appearance of your glabellar lines (lines between your eyebrows) at rest compared to immediately before the injection?		
Very Much Improved	n (%)	11 (11.5%)
	95% CI	(0.051, 0.178)
Much Improved	n (%)	17 (17.7%)
	95% CI	(0.101, 0.253)
Improved	n (%)	46 (47.9%)
	95% CI	(0.379, 0.579)
No Change	n (%)	20 (20.8%)
	95% CI	(0.127, 0.290)
Worse	n (%)	2 (2.1%)
	95% CI	(0.000, 0.049)
Much Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)
Very Much Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)

Abbreviation: FAS=Full Analysis Set;  
Notes: Percentages are based on the FAS population at each visit.  
The FAS population is defined as all randomized and treated subjects.

Table 14.2.7.1  
Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS)  
FAS Population

Evaluator: Subject

Visit Question	Statistics	Alluzience (N=99)
Visit 6 (6-Month Follow-up/ET) (n=96)		
How would you rate the change in appearance of your glabellar lines (lines between your eyebrows) at maximum frown compared to immediately before the injection?		
Very Much Improved	n (%)	14 (14.6%)
	95% CI	(0.075, 0.216)
Much Improved	n (%)	17 (17.7%)
	95% CI	(0.101, 0.253)
Improved	n (%)	43 (44.8%)
	95% CI	(0.348, 0.547)
No Change	n (%)	21 (21.9%)
	95% CI	(0.136, 0.301)
Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)
Much Worse	n (%)	1 (1.0%)
	95% CI	(0.000, 0.031)
Very Much Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)

Abbreviation: FAS=Full Analysis Set;  
Notes: Percentages are based on the FAS population at each visit.  
The FAS population is defined as all randomized and treated subjects.



Table 14.2.7.1  
 Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS)  
 FAS Population

Evaluator: Investigator

Visit Question		Statistics	Alluzience (N=99)
Visit 3 (1-Month Follow-up) (n=99)			
Change in Appearance of Glabellar Lines at Rest			
	Very Much Improved/Much Improved/Improved	n (%)	97 (98.0%)
		95% CI	(0.952, 1.000)
	No Change	n (%)	2 (2.0%)
		95% CI	(0.000, 0.048)
	Worse/Much Worse/Very Much Worse	n (%)	0
		95% CI	(NA, NA)
Change in Appearance of Glabellar Lines at Maximum Frown			
	Very Much Improved/Much Improved/Improved	n (%)	98 (99.0%)
		95% CI	(0.970, 1.000)
	No Change	n (%)	1 (1.0%)
		95% CI	(0.000, 0.030)
	Worse/Much Worse/Very Much Worse	n (%)	0
		95% CI	(NA, NA)

Abbreviation: FAS=Full Analysis Set;  
 Notes: Percentages are based on the FAS population at each visit.  
 The FAS population is defined as all randomized and treated subjects.

Table 14.2.7.1  
Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS)  
FAS Population

Evaluator: Investigator

Visit Question	Statistics	Alluzience (N=99)
Visit 4 (3-Month Follow-up) (n=98)		
Change in Appearance of Glabellar Lines at Rest		
Very Much Improved/Much Improved/Improved	n (%)	92 (93.9%)
	95% CI	(0.891, 0.986)
No Change	n (%)	6 (6.1%)
	95% CI	(0.014, 0.109)
Worse/Much Worse/Very Much Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)
Change in Appearance of Glabellar Lines at Maximum Frown		
Very Much Improved/Much Improved/Improved	n (%)	95 (96.9%)
	95% CI	(0.935, 1.000)
No Change	n (%)	3 (3.1%)
	95% CI	(0.000, 0.065)
Worse/Much Worse/Very Much Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)

Abbreviation: FAS=Full Analysis Set;  
Notes: Percentages are based on the FAS population at each visit.  
The FAS population is defined as all randomized and treated subjects.

Table 14.2.7.1  
Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS)  
FAS Population

Evaluator: Investigator

Visit Question		Statistics	Alluzience (N=99)
Visit 5 (5-Month Follow-up) (n=97)			
Change in Appearance of Glabellar Lines at Rest			
	Very Much Improved/Much Improved/Improved	n (%)	75 (77.3%)
		95% CI	(0.690, 0.857)
	No Change	n (%)	22 (22.7%)
		95% CI	(0.143, 0.310)
	Worse/Much Worse/Very Much Worse	n (%)	0
		95% CI	(NA, NA)
Change in Appearance of Glabellar Lines at Maximum Frown			
	Very Much Improved/Much Improved/Improved	n (%)	81 (83.5%)
		95% CI	(0.761, 0.909)
	No Change	n (%)	16 (16.5%)
		95% CI	(0.091, 0.239)
	Worse/Much Worse/Very Much Worse	n (%)	0
		95% CI	(NA, NA)

Abbreviation: FAS=Full Analysis Set;  
Notes: Percentages are based on the FAS population at each visit.  
The FAS population is defined as all randomized and treated subjects.

Table 14.2.7.1  
 Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS)  
 FAS Population

Evaluator: Investigator

Visit Question		Statistics	Alluzience (N=99)
Visit 6 (6-Month Follow-up/ET) (n=96)			
Change in Appearance of Glabellar Lines at Rest			
	Very Much Improved/Much Improved/Improved	n (%)	67 (69.8%)
		95% CI	(0.606, 0.790)
	No Change	n (%)	29 (30.2%)
		95% CI	(0.210, 0.394)
	Worse/Much Worse/Very Much Worse	n (%)	0
		95% CI	(NA, NA)
Change in Appearance of Glabellar Lines at Maximum Frown			
	Very Much Improved/Much Improved/Improved	n (%)	73 (76.0%)
		95% CI	(0.675, 0.846)
	No Change	n (%)	23 (24.0%)
		95% CI	(0.154, 0.325)
	Worse/Much Worse/Very Much Worse	n (%)	0
		95% CI	(NA, NA)

Abbreviation: FAS=Full Analysis Set;  
 Notes: Percentages are based on the FAS population at each visit.  
 The FAS population is defined as all randomized and treated subjects.

Table 14.2.7.1  
Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS)  
FAS Population

Evaluator: Subject

Visit Question	Statistics	Alluzience (N=99)
Visit 3 (1-Month Follow-up) (n=99)		
How would you rate the change in appearance of your glabellar lines (lines between your eyebrows) at rest compared to immediately before the injection?		
Very Much Improved/Much Improved/Improved	n (%)	96 (97.0%)
	95% CI	(0.936, 1.000)
No Change	n (%)	3 (3.0%)
	95% CI	(0.000, 0.064)
Worse/Much Worse/Very Much Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)
How would you rate the change in appearance of your glabellar lines (lines between your eyebrows) at maximum frown compared to immediately before the injection?		
Very Much Improved/Much Improved/Improved	n (%)	98 (99.0%)
	95% CI	(0.970, 1.000)
No Change	n (%)	1 (1.0%)
	95% CI	(0.000, 0.030)
Worse/Much Worse/Very Much Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)

Abbreviation: FAS=Full Analysis Set;  
Notes: Percentages are based on the FAS population at each visit.  
The FAS population is defined as all randomized and treated subjects.

Table 14.2.7.1  
Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS)  
FAS Population

Evaluator: Subject

Visit Question	Statistics	Alluzience (N=99)
Visit 4 (3-Month Follow-up) (n=98)		
How would you rate the change in appearance of your glabellar lines (lines between your eyebrows) at rest compared to immediately before the injection?		
Very Much Improved/Much Improved/Improved	n (%)	91 (92.9%)
	95% CI	(0.878, 0.980)
No Change	n (%)	7 (7.1%)
	95% CI	(0.020, 0.122)
Worse/Much Worse/Very Much Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)
How would you rate the change in appearance of your glabellar lines (lines between your eyebrows) at maximum frown compared to immediately before the injection?		
Very Much Improved/Much Improved/Improved	n (%)	95 (96.9%)
	95% CI	(0.935, 1.000)
No Change	n (%)	3 (3.1%)
	95% CI	(0.000, 0.065)
Worse/Much Worse/Very Much Worse	n (%)	0
	95% CI	(NA, NA)

Abbreviation: FAS=Full Analysis Set;  
Notes: Percentages are based on the FAS population at each visit.  
The FAS population is defined as all randomized and treated subjects.

Table 14.2.7.1  
Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS)  
FAS Population

Evaluator: Subject

Visit Question	Statistics	Alluzience (N=99)
Visit 5 (5-Month Follow-up) (n=97)		
How would you rate the change in appearance of your glabellar lines (lines between your eyebrows) at rest compared to immediately before the injection?		
Very Much Improved/Much Improved/Improved	n (%)	79 (81.4%)
	95% CI	(0.737, 0.892)
No Change	n (%)	15 (15.5%)
	95% CI	(0.083, 0.227)
Worse/Much Worse/Very Much Worse	n (%)	3 (3.1%)
	95% CI	(0.000, 0.065)
How would you rate the change in appearance of your glabellar lines (lines between your eyebrows) at maximum frown compared to immediately before the injection?		
Very Much Improved/Much Improved/Improved	n (%)	77 (79.4%)
	95% CI	(0.713, 0.874)
No Change	n (%)	18 (18.6%)
	95% CI	(0.108, 0.263)
Worse/Much Worse/Very Much Worse	n (%)	2 (2.1%)
	95% CI	(0.000, 0.049)

Abbreviation: FAS=Full Analysis Set;  
Notes: Percentages are based on the FAS population at each visit.  
The FAS population is defined as all randomized and treated subjects.

Table 14.2.7.1  
Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS)  
FAS Population

Evaluator: Subject

Visit Question	Statistics	Alluzience (N=99)
Visit 6 (6-Month Follow-up/ET) (n=96)		
How would you rate the change in appearance of your glabellar lines (lines between your eyebrows) at rest compared to immediately before the injection?		
Very Much Improved/Much Improved/Improved	n (%)	74 (77.1%)
	95% CI	(0.687, 0.855)
No Change	n (%)	20 (20.8%)
	95% CI	(0.127, 0.290)
Worse/Much Worse/Very Much Worse	n (%)	2 (2.1%)
	95% CI	(0.000, 0.049)
How would you rate the change in appearance of your glabellar lines (lines between your eyebrows) at maximum frown compared to immediately before the injection?		
Very Much Improved/Much Improved/Improved	n (%)	74 (77.1%)
	95% CI	(0.687, 0.855)
No Change	n (%)	21 (21.9%)
	95% CI	(0.136, 0.301)
Worse/Much Worse/Very Much Worse	n (%)	1 (1.0%)
	95% CI	(0.000, 0.031)

Abbreviation: FAS=Full Analysis Set;  
Notes: Percentages are based on the FAS population at each visit.  
The FAS population is defined as all randomized and treated subjects.